

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions

After analyzing the data by the judge and the defendant at the verdict text of the courtroom issued on Youtube, some conclusions are drawn as the following.

- (1) All types of speech acts were used by the judge and defendant in the courtroom they are representative, directive, expressive, and declarative but commissive was not found in the data.
- (2) The dominant speech act used by the judge during the court session is the Directive Speech Act in the form of questioning. The dominant speech act used by the defendant is representative in the form of informing.
- (3) There are two ways to perform the speech acts in the courtroom. They are direct-literal and direct-non-literal. The dominant way performed by the judge and the defendant is direct – literal.

5.2 Suggestions

About the conclusions, suggestions are offered. They are:

- (1) It is suggested that the lecturers should develop the theory of speech acts by introducing it to the students so that they will be interested in conducting research related to the field.
- (2) Learning about speech acts can greatly enhance a student's understanding of language and communication.

Further research need to be conducted in other courtrooms to compare the use of speech acts by the judge, defendant, to enrich speech acts theory.