

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Preface

To cite this article: 2020 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1485** 011001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- [Study on Optimal Power Shift Schedule of Self-propelled Combined Harvester](#)  
Yizheng Zhang, Yu'e Yang, Jinkai Zhang et al.
- [Programme Schedule](#)
- [Heuristic methods for job shop scheduling: Active schedule generation algorithm, non-delay schedule generation algorithm and heuristic schedule generation algorithm](#)  
M. Arul Zaini and Dwi Agustina Kurniawati



**245th ECS Meeting**  
**San Francisco, CA**  
May 26–30, 2024

**PRiME 2024**  
**Honolulu, Hawaii**  
October 6–11, 2024

Bringing together industry, researchers, and government across 50 symposia in electrochemistry and solid state science and technology

Learn more about ECS Meetings at  
<http://www.electrochem.org/upcoming-meetings>

**ECS** Save the Dates for future ECS Meetings!

## PREFACE

The International Conference on Science and Technology Applications 2019 (ICoSTA) presents 4 distinguished invited speakers from UTM Malaysia, NPRU - Thailand, Chiang Mai University - Thailand, and Bangalose, India. In addition, presenters come from various Government and Private Universities, Institutions, Academy, and Schools. Some of them are researcher from National Atomic Energy Agency (BATAN), Chikkaballapur-India, NPRU Thailand, University of Indonesia Jakarta, Bogor, Jambi, Aceh, UNJ Jakarta, Padang, Palembang, IPB Bogor, LIPI Serpong, BINUS Jakarta, ITS Surabaya, USU Medan, UGM Yogyakarta, Bandung, those who have sat and will sit in the oral defence examination.

There are 80 papers received by committee, some of which are presented orally in parallel sessions, and others are presented through posters. The articles have been peer reviewed by reviewers and decided by editor. Moreover, 64 of them have been accepted to publish on Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS) that indexed by scopus.

We hope that the scientific attitude and skills through research will promote Universitas Negeri Medan (Unimed) to be a well-known university which persists to be developed and excelled in the future.

Thank you, the Rector of Unimed, who always supports us in organizing the conference. Thank you all invited and plenary speakers. Special thanks to organizing committee who have well coordinated and collaborated in actualizing the conference.

Editors team,

**Prof. Dr. Bornok Sinaga, M.Pd**

**Dr. Juniastel Rajagukguk, M.Si.**



## Committee

### Advisors

Dr. Syamsul Gultom, S.KM.,M.Kes (Rector of Unimed)  
 Prof. Dr. Bornok Sinaga, M.Pd (Director of Postgraduate Program of Unimed)  
 Prof. Dr. Sahyar, MS.,MM (Vice Director 1 of Postgraduate School of Unimed)  
 Dr. Darwin, M.Pd (Vice Director 2 of Postgraduate Program of Unimed)

Conference Chairman	: Dr. Juniastel Rajagukguk, M.Si
Secretary	: Dr. Elvis Napitupulu, M.Ed
Trasurer	: Dedi Agus Syahputra, SE
Secretariat	: Vivi Emilawati, SE.,M.Si
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Erika, S.Pd.,M.Pd</li> <li>2. Nurul Fazrika, S.Pd.,M.Pd</li> <li>3. Amir Husin Sitompul, S.Pd.I</li> <li>4. Agus Harriyanto</li> </ol>
Program/Event	: 1. Dr. Rachmat Mulyana, M.Si
	2. Dr. Saronom Silaban, M.Pd
	3. Mangaratua Simanjorang, M.Pd.,Ph.D
	4. Dr. Elmanani Simamora, M.Si
Scientific Committee	: 1. Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A.,Ph.D
	2. Prof. Dr. Abinus Silalahi, M.S
	3. Prof. Dr. Abdul Hasan Saragih, M.Pd
	4. Prof. Dr. Edi Syahputra, M.Pd
	5. Prof. Dr. Anita Yus, M.Pd
	6. Prof. Dr. Paningkat Siburian, M.Pd
	7. Dr. Edy Surya, M.Si
	8. Dr. Fauziah Harahap, M.Si
	9. Dr. Rahmatsyah, M.Si
	10. Dr. Arif Rahman, M.Pd
	11. Dr. Ir. Nurfajriani, M.Si
	12. Dr. Hidayat, M.Si
	13. Dr. Fitrawaty, SP.,M.Si
	14. Dr. Albadi Sinulingga, M.Pd
	15. Dr. Wisman Hadi, M.Hum
	16. Dr. Imran Ikhmad, M.Pd
	17. Dr. Arfan Ikhsan, M.Si
	18. Dr. Saidun Hutasuhut, M.Si
	19. Dra. Jubliana Sitompul, M.Hum
	20. Dr. R Mursid, ST., M.Pd
Papers and Proceedings :	1. Dr. Anni Holila Pulungan, M.Hum
	2. Dr. Syamsidar Tanjung, M.Pd
	3. Dr. Sukarman Purba, M.Pd
	4. Dr. Ajat Sudrajat, M.Si
	5. Dr. Ratih Baiduri, M.Si
	6. Dr. Muhammad Fitri Ramadhana, M.Si
	7. Dr. Mulyono, S.Si.,M.Si
	8. Dr. Daulat Saragi, M.Hum
	9. Dr. Tumiur Gultom, SP.,MP
	10. Dr. Derlina, M.Si
	11. Dr. Elly Prihasti W, M.Pd
	12. Dr. Nurhayati Simatupang, M.Kes

13. Dr. Amir Supriadi, M.Pd
  14. Ali Fikri Hasibuan, SE.,M.Si
  15. Drs. Thamrin, M.Si
  16. Junita Friska, S.Pd.,M.Pd
- Public relations :
  1. Muhammad Surip, S.Pd.,M.Si
  2. Jihan Siska
- Accommodation :
  1. Ater Budiman Sinaga, M.Si
  2. Hendry Dalimunthe, MA
  3. Yandri Imanuel Siburian, SE., M.Si.
  4. Jasmi Assayuti, SHi
- Equipment :
  1. Eko Budianto
  2. Sofianto Gultom
  3. Suhana Nasution
  4. Farid Ma'ruf Harahap
  5. Isachar Adry Utomo
  6. Diky Arisandi
  7. Herianto Samosir, S.Pd
  8. Ahmad Rosyadi Nasution, S.Pd
  9. Hizrah Saputra Harahap, S.Pd
  10. Muhammad Isnaini, M.Pd
  11. Nasiruddin, S.Pd
- IT & ICT :
  1. Jerry S. Pauned, S.Si
  2. Mulyanto Duha
- Transportation :
  1. Hisar P. Sianturi, SH
  2. Sari Purnamawati Siregar, M.Hum
  3. Yutia Hafwenny, S.KM
- Consumption :
  1. Fitria Ramadhani
  2. Azizi Apri Indaya, S.Pd
  3. Susiani, S.Sos
  4. Siti Rohana, M.Pd
  5. Yutia Hafweny, S.KM
  6. Tiarma Nova, M.Pd.
- Receptions :
  1. Nisa Ansyari Gultom, S.Pd
  2. Desi Yulian, S.Pd
  3. Siti Rohana, S.Pd.,M.Pd
  4. Cecilia Tampubolon, S.Sos

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## The Influence of Learning models and Cognitive Styles on Geography Learning Outcomes in SMA N 2 Percut Sei Tuan

To cite this article: Zuilen V Bay Sinaga *et al* 2020 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1485** 012058

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Experimentation of Cooperative Learning Model STAD-TGT Type against Students' Learning Results](#)  
Hasmyati and Suwardi
- [Student Team Achievement Divisions: Its Effect on Electrical Motor Installation Knowledge Competence](#)  
Ahmad Hanafi and Ismet Basuki
- [Student-Team Achievement Division \(STAD\) and Its Effect on the Academic Performance of Grade 8 Students](#)  
Justina T. Lantajo and Roderick L. Tipolo



**245th ECS Meeting**  
San Francisco, CA  
May 26–30, 2024

**PRiME 2024**  
Honolulu, Hawaii  
October 6–11, 2024

Bringing together industry, researchers, and government across 50 symposia in electrochemistry and solid state science and technology

Learn more about ECS Meetings at  
<http://www.electrochem.org/upcoming-meetings>

 Save the Dates for future ECS Meetings!

# The Influence of Learning models and Cognitive Styles on Geography Learning Outcomes in SMA N 2 Percut Sei Tuan

Zuilen V Bay Sinaga<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Hamid K<sup>2</sup>, Sugiharto<sup>3</sup>

Education Technology Postgraduate University Of Medan

Email : Zuilenvanboy16@gmail.com

**Abstract.** This study aims to: (1) Know the learning outcomes of students who are learning geography learning with problem based learning models and STAD learning models (2) to find out the geography learning outcomes of students who have independent cognitive styles, and dependent cognitive styles (3) know the interactions between learning models with cognitive styles in influencing student learning outcomes in geography. This research is a quasi-experimental research. Student learning outcomes taught by the problem based learning model are higher than students who are taught with the STAD learning model

## 1. Introduction

One of the problems facing the world of education today is the weakness of the learning process in the classroom. In classroom learning students are not encouraged to develop thinking skills. The learning process in the classroom only encourages students to remember and memorize information, (Sanjaya, 2006: 86). Effective learning should prioritize the empowerment of students in processing information in the learning process. Teacher-oriented learning must be changed by student-oriented learning, which is why a learning model is needed that encourages students to construct the existing knowledge within the students themselves. Geography lessons are considered very important because as a study of natural phenomena and life on earth as well as interactions between humans and the environment in relation to spatial and territorial relations or arrangement. Geography material in class XI IPS that discusses Indonesia as a World Maritime Axis is material that is It is very important for students to understand that our country is a unitary state that is connected by many islands. Students must also understand that our country has a lot of marine potential that must be exploited and enforced. The above material must be taught properly by using learning models that are able to foster student interest in learning, especially students who live close to sea areas such as Percut Sei Tuan Larasati (2014) in her research concluded that there was a significant influence of PBL models on problem solving abilities. Gainscore learning that uses PBL models is higher than conventional. The average value of the gaincore of the experimental class was 22.9032 and the control was 11.0938. The results of the analysis of the Independent Sample T-Test, the difference shows the p-level value of 0,000. The p-level value is smaller than 0.05 (p0.05). So the conclusion "PBL model has a significant effect on the ability to solve high school geography problems." Research Karuniasih, et al (2012) The results of this study indicate that the ability to solve problems in students has increased from before being given an action that is 18% increased to 64.7% in Cycle I and increased again to 88.2% in Cycle II. Based on these results it can be concluded that by applying the PBL learning model the ability to solve the problem of Geography lessons of XI IPS 2 students has increased.



Research conducted by Istianah, (2015) The results showed that the application of the Problem Based Learning model could improve students' critical thinking skills. In the first cycle, the average value of students' critical thinking skills reached 55.97, while in the implementation of the second cycle actions 74.81. Based on these results it is known that an increase in critical thinking skills is 33.66%. The conclusion of this research is that the application of the Problem Based Learning model can improve the critical thinking skills of students of class X IPS-I of SMA Laboratory of State University of Malang on atmospheric material. The objectives of this study are (1) To find out the differences in the learning outcomes of students who are taught by the learning model of problem based learning and the learning outcomes of students who are taught with the STAD type learning model. (2) Knowing the differences in geography learning outcomes of students who have independent field cognitive styles and geography learning outcomes of students who have field dependent cognitive styles. (3) Knowing the significant interaction between learning models and cognitive styles in influencing student learning outcomes in geography

## 2. Method

This research is a quasy experiment research because in this study the class that received treatment (experiment) is a class that has been previously formed without changing the situation and conditions of the class that has been formed which aims to approach estimates for the state that can be achieved through actual experiments in circumstances that make it impossible to control and / or manipulate all relevant variables. One sample class was given treatment with the implementation of learning using the problem based learning (PBL) learning model and another class was given treatment by implementing learning using the Student Team Achievement Divisions (STAD) learning model.

## 3. Result

**Table 1.** Summary of Data from Descriptive Analysis Calculation Results

	STATISTIK	PBL	STAD	JUMLAH
Field Independent	N	20	12	32
	$\sum X$	684	334	1018
	$\sum X^2$	23552	9386	32938
	M	34,2	27,83	31,81
Field Dependent	N	10	19	29
	$\sum X$	318	564	882
	$\sum X^2$	10168	16898	27066
	M	31,8	29,68	30,41
JUMLAH	N	30	31	61
	$\sum X$	1002	898	1900
	$\sum X^2$	33720	26284	60004
	M	33,4	28,96	62,36

**Table 2.** 2-way ANOVA analysis results 2x2 factorial

Sumber varian	JK	db	RJK	Fhitung	Ftabel
Antar baris	299, 51	1	29,76	4,66	4,01
Antar kolom	29,76	1	299, 51	36,93	
Antar baris dan kolom (interaksi)	31,73	1	31,73	5,91	
Dalam kelompok kekeliruan	462,67	57	8,11	-	
Total		61	-	-	

### 3.1. The first hypothesis

The first hypothesis testing is the learning outcomes of students' geographies that are taught using the PBL learning model are different from the groups of students who are taught by the STAD learning model. From the results of data analysis, it was found that the average geography learning outcomes of groups of students who were taught with PBL learning models was 33.4 and the average results of learning geography of students who were taught with STAD learning models of 28.96. Based on Anava calculation, Fcount was 3.66 while Ftable was 4.01 so  $H_0$  was rejected. Thus it can be concluded that the geography learning outcomes of groups of students who were taught with the PBL learning model were higher than the groups of students who were taught with the STAD Class learning model whose truth was tested.

### 3.2. The second hypothesis

The second hypothesis testing is the learning outcomes of groups of students who have a cognitive style of FI and FD. From the results of data analysis found the average geography learning outcomes of students who have a Field Independent cognitive style of 31.81 and the average geography learning outcomes that have a field dependent cognitive style of 30.41. Based on the Anava calculation, it was obtained that the F count was 36.93 while the F table 4.01 so that  $H_0$  was rejected. Thus it can be concluded that the geography learning outcomes of groups of students who have independent cognitive field style is higher than the group of students who have field dependent cognitive styles whose truth is tested.

### 3.3. Third hypothesis

The third hypothesis testing is the interaction between learning models and cognitive styles on geography learning outcomes. Based on data analysis found the average value of student geography learning outcomes taught with PBL models that have independent field cognitive styles of 34.2 and the average geographic learning outcomes learned with PBL learning models that have field dependent cognitive styles of 31.8, then the average geography learning outcomes of the students studied with the STAD learning model that has an independent field cognitive style of 27.83 and the average geography learning outcomes of students taught with the STAD learning model that has a field dependent cognitive style of 29.68. Based on the Anava calculation, Fcount 5.91, while Ftable 4.01 for dk (1.57) with a 5% significance level, it turns out that the calculated F value is  $5.91 > F$  table 3.98 so that  $H_0$  is rejected. Thus it can be concluded that there is an interaction between learning models and cognitive styles on geography learning outcomes.



#### 4. Conclusion

1. There are differences in geography learning outcomes taught using PBL learning models compared to using STAD learning models, student geography learning outcomes taught with PBL learning models are higher than student Geography learning outcomes using STAD learning models.
2. There are differences in learning outcomes of independent and field dependent cognitive style geography, independent field geography learning outcomes are higher than field dependent geography learning outcomes
3. There is an interaction between learning models and students' cognitive styles on geography learning outcomes, students who have independent field cognitive styles and are taught with PBL models are higher than students who have field dependent cognitive styles and are taught with STAD model learning models.

#### References

- [1] Istianah 2015, Application of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Learning Model to Improve Critical Thinking Ability in Learning Geography Class X IPS-I of SMA Laboratory of State University of Malang (online) [http:// Karya- scientific.um.ac.id/index. php / Geography / article / view / 40070](http://Karya-scientific.um.ac.id/index.php/Geography/article/view/40070) (accessed Monday May 27 2019)
- [2] Karuniasih, et al 2012 Application of Problem Based Learning Model to Improve the Ability to Solve Geography Lessons in Class XI IPS 2 Sma N 8 Malang Students (online). [http://jurnalonline.um.ac.id/data/artikel/artikelCFF48F427FEBC003BC062C0F88E1F5EF.p df](http://jurnalonline.um.ac.id/data/artikel/artikelCFF48F427FEBC003BC062C0F88E1F5EF.pdf) (accessed on Monday 27 May 2019)
- [3] Larasati, Diyas Age. 2014. The Effect of Problem Based Learning Models on High School Geography Problem Solving Capabilities. Thesis, Geography Education Study Program, PostSarjana, State University of Malang.
- [4] Sanjana, W. 2006. Standard Process Oriented Learning Strategies. Jakarta. Kencana, Prenada Media Group