



influence of Problem Based
Learning (PBL) Model Towards
Critical Thinking Ability Class VI
SDN 14 Tanjung Medan

by Tappil Rambe, Dkk

THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY

Submission date: 04-Jun-2023 10:28PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2108615381

File name: Article.pdf (356.11K)

Word count: 2670

Character count: 14169

Influence of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model Towards Critical Thinking Ability Class VI SDN 14 Tanjung Medan

Timbul Amar Hotib¹, Deny Setiawan², Tappil Rambe³

{ amarhotib78@gmail.com }

Postgraduate Student at Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia¹, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia², Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia³

Abstract. This study aims to determine: (1) The influence of students' critical thinking ability taught with the Problem Based Learning (PBL) model compared to the critical thinking ability taught with the Direct Instruction Class VI model of Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan for the 2021/2022 Academic Year; (2) The interaction of the Problem Based Learning (PBL) learning model and critical thinking in the face of students' critical thinking skills. The sample was carried out in two classes, class VII as many as 36 students as an experiential class with a Problem Based Learning (PBL) model and class VI2 as a control class as many as 32 students who were taught with the Direct Instruction model. Data collection instruments using critical thinking tests in the form of Multiple Choice as many as 15 items. This research method is quasi-experimental data analysis techniques using a 2-line Anova test with a significant level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The results of this study obtained that: (1) The ability to think critically based on the learning model was obtained that the Fhitung value = 7,558 and the probability value or significant value of the learning model was $0.010 < 0.05$; (2) There is an interaction between learning models and critical thinking and critical thinking; ($0.008 < 0.05$). For other researchers, it is recommended that before conducting the PBL model treatment, it should be socialized to class VI children and teachers in the school where the research is being studied.

Keywords: Problem Based Learning Models, and Critical Thinking.

1 Introduction

The industrial revolution 5.0 affected various fields, including the field of education. Education is faced with global and digital-based challenges. In facing these challenges, it requires not just conceptual science, but the ability to apply knowledge and various skills in thinking. In carrying out the response, the improvement of critical thinking skills in students must be driven by stimulus. This is in line with behavioristic learning theory. Experts in behaviorism argue that learning is a change in behavior as a result of experience. Learning is the result of the interaction between stimulus (S) and response (R). According to this theory, in learning, what is important is the existence of inputs in the form of stimulus and outputs in the form of responses (Suryono and Hariyanto, 2012: 59).¹ Throughing is one of the cognitive strategies in solving more complex problems and demanding higher patterns. Critical thinking essentially develops elements of rational and empirical thinking based on scientific knowledge (Winarno, 2013:97-98)²

The critical thinking ability of Class VI students of SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan in the PPKn subject is still considered very low. This is evidenced based on the results of an interview with a Class VI teacher at SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan stating that questions related to interpretation, analysis, evaluation, and inference are still many students have not ²⁰own satisfactory results. According to Facione (in Fithri ¹⁹ et al, 2016:582) there are six indicators of critical thinking ability involved in the critical thinking process. These indicators include interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation, and self-regulation.³

Problem Based Learning according to Tan (in Rusman, 2011: ²²⁹) Problem-Based Learning is an innovation in learning because in PBL students' thinking ability is really optimized through a systematic group or teamwork process, so that students can empower, hone, test, and ¹⁰develop their thinking skills on an ongoing basis. The steps of this PBL model are: 1. Formulating the problem. 2. Analyze the problem. 3. Formulate hypotheses. 4. Collecting ¹¹data. 5. Hypothesis testing. 6. Formulate problem-solving recommendations. *Project-based Learning* is a learning model that uses real-world problems as a context for students to stimulate higher-level thinking in ⁷real-world problem-oriented situations. The steps of this PjBL model are as follows: (1) student orientation to the problem; (2) organizing students to study; (3) guiding individual/group experiences; (4) develop and present works; and (5) analyze and evaluate the problem-solving process. (Rusman, 2012: 241).⁴

The formulation of the problem in this study is: Is the critical ⁵thinking ability of students taught with the Problem Based Learning model higher than the critical thinking ability taught with the Direct Instruction model in class VI at SDN 14 Tanjung Medan? ²⁷Were any interaction between the Problem Based Learning model and critical thinking and the critical thinking skills of Grade VI students of SDN 14 Tanjung Medan?

Based on observations in the field, it is still classified as the ability to think critically and think critically, students are also low, so it needs to be improved. To improve it is necessary to apply a wide variety of learning models. One of them is the learning model that is predicted to have a greater influence and have a greater relationship with critical thinking skills is the PBL model². To what extent this can affect the need for research with the title, "The Influence of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Models on Critical Thinking Ability grade 6SDN 14 Tanjung Medan".⁶

¹Suryono & Hariyanto. (2012). *Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.Hal.59

²Winarno (2013). *Pembelajaran Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan: Isi, Strategi, dan Penilaian*. Jakarta: ¹PT Bumi Aksara. Hal. 97-98

³Fithriyah dkk. (2016), "Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa kelas IX-D SMPN 17 Malang". Prosiding Konferensi Nasional Penelitian Matematika dan Pembelajarannya, Universitas ⁹Uhammadiyah Surakarta. Hal.582

⁴Rusman. (2011). *Model-Model Pembelajaran: Mengembangkan Profesionalisme Guru*. Jakarta: ¹³Wahana Perss. Hal. 22

⁵Surya Mohamad. (2016) *Strategi Kognitif dalam Pembelajaran*. Bandung:Alfabeta

2. Method

This research was conducted with a quasi-experimental type. Pseudo-experiential research is carried out to determine the influence of a treatment on the character of the subject under study. This research was carried out at SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan Jl. Beringin Tanjung Medan Village, Kampung Rakyat District, 26th Labuhanbatu Regency, Zip Code 21463 North Sumatra. The research time has been carried out on the implementation of the learning process or in the process of teaching and learning activities in the even semester of the 2021/2022 academic year, which is precisely in April to June 2022. The research samples are class VI-A and class VI-B. Class VI-A as an experimental class taught with a Problem Based Learning model with a total of 36 students. Meanwhile, the control class is taught with a direct learning model selected by class VI-A with a total of 32 students. Class determination is carried out by cluster random sampling and the selected class is class VI of SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan.

3 Result and Discussion

The results of the study Both classes of samples were given pretests to see if the two classes were normally distributed, homogeneous and had the same initial ability can be seen in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Student Critical Thinking Pretest Data

PBL Class			Direct Introduction Class		
Score	F	Percentage	Score	f	Percentage
20-31	2	6%	33-41	10	31%
32-43	6	17%	42-50	2	6%
44-55	8	22%	51-59	8	25%
56-67	11	31%	60-68	7	22%
68-79	5	14%	69-77	3	9%
80-91	4	11%	78-86	2	6%
Total	36		Total	32	
Average of pretest	58	100	Average of pretest	53	100

Table 1 shows that the average critical thinking pretest of students taught with the PBL learning model was 58 and those taught with the Direct Introduction learning model was 53. From the average, it can be said that the two classes have a difference in initial critical thinking ability of 5.

In order for the data from the study to be analyzed with parametric statistics, it is necessary to test assumptions or prerequisites. The first condition tested is normality. The purpose of the Normality Test is to see the distribution of student pretest data in both classes of normally distributed samples or not. The results of the data normality test can be seen in Table 2. Such results were obtained using the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test with the help of SPSS 26.

Table 2. Normality Test Results Data Pre Test Critical Thinking Pre Test Scores Students

Class	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	DF	Significant	Statistic	DF	Significant
Experiment	.140	36	.073	.948	36	0.90
Control	.133	32	.158	.936	32	0.58

The caption from Table 2 shows that Kolmogorov-Smirnov values of each of the above data of students' critical thinking variables are normally distributed. The homogeneity test of the result data obtained an analysis of the significant value of pretests of students' critical thinking learning outcomes can be seen in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Homogeneity Test of Students' Critical Thinking Data

No.	Score	Significant Score	Description
1	Critical Thinking	0,338	Homogen

From Table 3 it can be stated that the data on students' critical thinking scores were declared homogeneous with significant values of $0.338 > 0.05$. Thus it can be concluded that the variance of students' critical thinking data is homogeneous.

The treatment carried out in both classes is the application of learning model. The learning model applied is different in each class. In class VI-1 (experimental class) a Problem Based Learning (PBL) learning model is applied. This model begins with the orientation of the problem. At this stage the teacher acts as a motivator, where the teacher motivates students to be actively involved and enthusiastic in solving a given problem. The next phase is that the teacher guides individual and group investigations and facilitates the needs of students in the investigation. Next, the teacher directs the students in planning and preparing the appropriate work in the problem solving report. The report is used as material to present the results of solving the problem. A summary of the data postes critical thinking of students in both classes can be seen in the table below.

Table 4. Data Postes Critical Thinking Students

PBL Class			Direct Introduction Class		
Score	f	Percentage	Score	F	Percentage
40-51	2	6%	33-42	4	13%
52-63	6	17%	43-52	4	13%
64-75	8	22%	53-62	10	31%
76-87	11	31%	63-72	4	13%
88-99	5	14%	73-82	9	28%
100-111	4	11%	83-92	1	3%
Total	36	100	Total	32	100
Average	78		Average	60	

Table 4 shows that the average critical thinking postes of students taught with the PBL learning model were 78 and those taught with the Direct Introduction learning model were 60. From this average, it can be said that students' critical thinking skills using the PBL learning model are better when compared to the Direct Introduction model.

After the learning was completed, ppkn postes questions were given which consisted of students' critical thinking questions to students both in PBL and Direct Introduction classes. The PPKn postes questions in the form of multiple choices totaled 15 questions. Postes are given during two hours of learning. The matter of postes is synonymous with pretests. This is done to see if there is an improvement or improvement after the student is taught with PBL or Direct Introduction. Meanwhile, the normality test for students' critical thinking postes value data obtained results in the following Table 5:

Table 5. Normality Test Results Student Critical Thinking Postes Value Data

Class	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	DF	Significant	Statistic	DF	Significant
Experiment	.140	36	.073	.948	36	0.90
Control	.133	32	.162	.961	32	0.288

The caption from Table 5 above shows the Kolmogorov-Smirnov values of each of the above data of the students' critical thinking variables are normally distributed. The data requirement is called normal if the probability or p of > 0.05 on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The table above shows that the p value > 0.05 , then from each of the data the critical thinking variables of the students above are normally distributed.

Table 5 above explains that the scores of critical thinking postes of students taught with the PBL learning model are declared to be normally distributed with a significant value of $0.090 > 0.05$. For the normality value of critical thinking data, direct introduction class students obtained a significant score of $0.288 > 0.05$. Thus it is concluded that the entire data of students' critical thinking scores are normally distributed or meet the requirements of the normality test.

Data Homogeneity Test The homogeneity test of the result data obtained an analysis of the significant value of postes of PPKN learning outcomes and the value of critical thinking can

be seen in Table 6 below.

Table 6. Homogeneity Test of Student Learning Outcomes and Critical Thinking Data

No.	Data Nilai	Signifant Score	Description
1	Critical Thinking	0,585	Homogen

From Table 6 it can be explained that the data on students' critical thinking scores were declared homogeneous with significant values of $0.585 > 0.05$. Thus it can be concluded that the variance of data on student learning outcomes and critical thinking is homogeneous.

The requirements for hypothesis testing with parametric testing have been met, that is, the group data are normally distributed and have a homogeneous variance. Hypothesis testing this study uses a two-lane ANAVA with a factorial of 2², hypothesis testing is calculated with the help of SPSS version 26. Hypothesis testing data can be seen in the following table:

Table 7. Output SPSS Hasil Perhitungan ANAVATests of Between-Subjects Effects Dependent Variable: Critical Thinking

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	828.320 ^a	3	276.107	15.192	.000
Intercept	119095.380	1	119095.380	6553.050	.000
Model_Pembelajaran	137.365	1	137.365	7.558	.010
Motivasi_Belajar	146.111	1	146.111	8.040	.008
Model_Pembelajaran * Motivasi_Belajar	342.861	1	342.861	18.865	.000
Error	581.18	32	18.174		
Total	149122.000	36			
Corrected Total	1409.889	35			

a. R Squared = .588 (Adjusted R Squared = .549)

Based on the SPSS output of anava calculation results in Table 7 on the ability to think critically based on the learning model, it was obtained that the Fhitung value = 7.558 and the probability value or significant value of the learning model was $0.010 < 0.05$. Thus it can be stated that there is a significant difference between the average critical thinking ability of students taught with the Problem Based Learning learning model compared to the Direct Introduction learning model.

Based on the SPSS output of anava calculation results in Table 7 it is obtained that Fcount = 18.865 and a significant value of 0.000 with $\alpha = 0.05$. Then it can be seen that the value of the sig. $0.00 < 0.05$ so hypothesis testing rejects H_0 and accepts H_a . Thus, it can be concluded that there is an interaction between learning models and critical thinking in influencing students' critical thinking ability.

4 Conclusion

Students' critical thinking ability taught with the Problem Based Learning (PBL) model is higher than the critical thinking ability of students taught with the Direct Introduction model.

in Class VI of SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan for the 2021/2022 Academic Year. There is an interaction between the learning model and the critical thinking ability of students of SD Negeri 14 Tanjung Medan for the 2021/2022 Academic Year. The interaction can be seen from the significant difference between the average critical thinking ability of students taught with the Problem Based Learning learning model and the Direct Introduction learning model.

Acknowledgments. A thank you to all research supporters, especially to the supervisors and lecturers of the PPs basic education study program, Medan State University.

References

- [1] Fithriyah dkk. (2016), "Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa kelas IX-D SMPN 17 Malang", Prosiding Konferensi Nasional Penelitian Matematika dan Pembelajarannya. Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta
- [2] Rusman. (2011). *Model-Model Pembelajaran: Mengembangkan Profesionalisme Guru*. Jakarta: Rajawali Perss
- [3] Yana, H.A. (2014) *Keterampilan Berpikir*. Yogyakarta: Ombak.
- [4] Surya Mohamad. (2016) *Strategi Kognitif dalam Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- [5] Suryono & Hariyanto. (2012). *Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya
- [6] Winarno (2013). *Pembelajaran Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan: Isi, Strategi, dan Penilaian*. Jakarta : PT Bumi Aksara

Influence of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model Towards Critical Thinking Ability Class VI SDN 14 Tanjung Medan

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

16%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journal.uinsi.ac.id Internet Source	2%
2	jurnal.radenfatah.ac.id Internet Source	1%
3	ejournal.uin-suska.ac.id Internet Source	1%
4	E Kurniawan, H Sofyan. "Application of problem based learning model to improve problem solving ability of student of XI science grade in chemistry", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	1%
5	Iin Khairunnisa, Muhammad Thariq Aziz. "Implementation of Cooperative Learning Model Learning Through The STAD Method in Improving Student's Critical Thinking Ability", IJECA (International Journal of Education and Curriculum Application), 2019 Publication	1%

6	Submitted to Universitas Muria Kudus Student Paper	1 %
7	www.gci.or.id Internet Source	1 %
8	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	1 %
9	123dok.com Internet Source	1 %
10	journal.universitaspahlawan.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	niehd.nie.edu.sg Internet Source	1 %
12	journal.iainnumetrolampung.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
13	journal.uinjkt.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
14	eprints.iain-surakarta.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
15	Submitted to Queen Margaret University College, Edinburgh Student Paper	1 %
16	Risnawati, Z Amir, M S Lubis, M Syafri. "The effect of problem based learning model (PBL) towards creative thinking ability and self-	<1 %

efficacy of junior high school students in
Pekanbaru", Journal of Physics: Conference
Series, 2018

Publication

17

ijmmu.com

Internet Source

<1 %

18

Submitted to Miami University of Ohio

Student Paper

<1 %

19

Submitted to Siena College

Student Paper

<1 %

20

ejournal.radenintan.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

21

U. Hijriyah, E. Pratiwi, A. Susanti, W. Anggraini,
A. P. Febriani. "The Effect of Problem Posing
Type Post-Solution Posing Learning Model on
Self-regulation Skills and Science Process Skill
of the Tenth-grade Students of Islamic Senior
High School Kebumen, Tanggamus", Journal
of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

<1 %

22

pubs.sciepub.com

Internet Source

<1 %

23

Aan Hasanah, Dwi Haryanto, Maya Evayanti,
Annisa UI Husnah, Samsudin Samsudin,
Rosida Marasabessy. "IMPLEMENTATION OF
PBL-HOTS MODEL TO STUDENTS

<1 %

MATHEMATICAL LITERACY SKILL", AKSIOMA:
Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika,
2022

Publication

24

M L Nasution, Y Yerizon, R Gusmiyanti.
"Students' Mathematical Problem-Solving
Abilities Through The Application of Learning
Models Problem Based Learning", IOP
Conference Series: Materials Science and
Engineering, 2018

Publication

<1 %

25

e-journal.undikma.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

26

ejurnal-mapalus-unima.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

27

jurnal.unimed.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

28

jurnal.uns.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

Off