## CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

## **5.1 Conclusions**

After analyzing and drawing all the politeness principle in interruptions Indonesia Lawyers Club, the researchers draws the conclusion as follows:

- Five of six types of politeness principle occurred in interruptions in Indonesia Lawyers Club. They were tact maxim, generosity maxim, approbation maxim, modesty maxim, and agreement maxim.
- 2. The dominant type of politeness principle occurred in interruptions in Indonesia Lawyers Club was the violation of tact maxim. It was done as it was not appropriate employed in interruptions as a way to dig up the information accurately so the discussion can satisfy the audience's curiosity and give clear information on the topic discussed during talk show's time.
- 3. The reason for the occurrences of politeness principle are (1) to reduce competition between addressee's purpose and what politeness claimed (2) to find out affable, (3) to cause offence. New criteria found namely (1) teasing others, (2) making a joke or humorous interruption.

## **5.2 Suggestions**

Having seen the result of the study, the researcher would like to offer the suggestions as follows:

- 1. It is advisable for the people in conveying the opinion to be polite even though in interrupting someone to build harmonious communication.
- It is suggested to other researcher and students of English Applied
   Linguistics who are taking pragmatics or conducting research to find out
   more reason for the obedience and violation in politeness principle in other
   context.
- 3. It is suggested to producers TV program especially talk show to manage their program. So the TV programs show the value of politeness to audience/viewers. It serves the education function of TV program that

