

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusions

Based on the analysis, the conclusions were stated as the following:

1. Based on the data analysis, it was found that male argue by using only three types of arguments, namely; conjunction, conditional and biconditional, while female argued by using five types of arguments, namely; negation, disjunction, conjunction, conditional and biconditional.
2. The different social status is the factor underlying male and female make arguments. Male with higher status argued by biconditional and conditional to female with lower status, female who has higher status argued by negation, disjunction and biconditional to female with lower status. Male with lower status argued by conjunction to female with higher status, female who has lower status argued by conjunction and conditional to female with higher status. Male with equal status argued by conjunction, to female and female with equal status argued by negation and conditional to female. It indicates that males are wiser and more aware with their social status than females because females are likely more considerate with their interlocutor.

5.2 Suggestions

In relation to the conclusions, suggestions are offered as the following:

1. Based on the finding that all not pair interactions are discussed in this study, it is suggested to further researcher to conduct another pair of interaction which is male to male interaction in expressing the arguments to enrich the researchers and the readers' knowledge in gender arguments.
2. Based on the finding, this study is focused on social status, it is suggested to further reasercher to point out the other factors to get deeper findings, such as; culture, age, and etc.