

Identification of Magnetic Anomaly at Geothermal Subsurface Area PLTP Sarulla Unit I Pahae Jae, North Sumatera, Indonesia

by Togi Tampubolon

Submission date: 19-Dec-2022 08:43PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1984477852

File name: mpubolon_2018_J._Phys._Conf._Ser._1120_012045_Togi_2018_Cek.pdf (767.21K)

Word count: 2676

Character count: 14527

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

6

Identification of Magnetic Anomaly at Geothermal Subsurface Area PLTP Sarulla Unit I Pahae Jae, North Sumatera, Indonesia

To cite this article: Togi Tampubolon ² et al 2018 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1120** 012045

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

4

- [Magnetic anomaly inversion using magnetic dipole reconstruction based on the pipeline section segmentation method](#)
Qi Pan, De-Jun Liu, Zhi-Yong Guo et al.

- [A brief review of magnetic anomaly detection](#)

Yue Zhao, Junhai Zhang, Jiahui Li et al.

5

- [Gradient signals analysis of scalar magnetic anomaly using orthonormal basis functions](#)
Liming Fan, Chong Kang, Hao Hu et al.



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

243rd ECS Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

Boston, MA • May 28 – June 2, 2023

**Abstract Submission Extended
Deadline: December 16**

[Learn more and submit!](#)

⁶
Identification of Magnetic Anomaly at Geothermal Subsurface Area PLTP Sarulla Unit I Pahae Jae, North Sumatera, Indonesia

Togi Tampubolon, Rita Juliani, Juniar Hutahean, Syarifah Widiyanti

Department of Physics, Universitas Negeri Medan Jl. Willem V Medan Estate Iskandar Pasar. ZIP code 20222

topartam@gmail.com

Abstract. This research was done entitled Identification of Magnetic Anomalies at Geothermal Subsurface Area at PLTP Sarulla Unit I Pahae Jae, North Tapanuli. This research was conducted to find out the dispersion pattern of earth magnetic anomaly based on its magnetism and to know the rock structure based on susceptibility value in the geothermal area. The measurements of Total magnetic field using *Proton Precision Magnetometer* (PPM) Elsec 770 type, Global Position System (GPS) for positioning and geological compass for north direction orientation. The data were collected randomly with the number of points obtained as much as 50 measuring point, then data analysis was done by using surfer 11 to get contour map and Mag2DC for windows maps to get the magnetic anomaly cross section. The results showed that the magnetic anomaly value had the lowest value of 34,4358 nT and the highest value 144,789 nT moving from North toward East. While the results of quantitative interpretation of A/A model show sedimentary rock with k value (0.0035 x 10³, 0.0059 x 10³, 0.0061 x 10³), and esite lava with k value (0.0277 x 10³, 0.0241 x 10³) and basalt with k value (0.2437 x 10³).

Keywords: *Magnetic method, GPS, Magnetic Anomaly, Susceptibility*

1. Introduction

Increasing of population makes the need for energy resources in Indonesia both in the community and industry field will also increase. Currently, the utilization of renewable energy and friendly for environment becomes very important; it is because decreasing of the availability of oil and gas energy sources in Indonesia.

One of the most potential energy to be utilized as an alternative energy is geothermal from an energy source which is friendly for environment contained in the heat of the Earth [1,2,3,4]. Heat energy comes to the surface will be collected in the earth crust which is composed of various types of rocks which has different melting point. Rocks that can not stand with high temperatures from earth's core will melt and become liquids called magma [5]. This energy is directly derived from a terrestrial heat source that is essentially endless under the earth's crust and does not depend on direct solar energy [6]. Temperature under the earth crust which relatively thin could reach 1300^o C [7].



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Sumatra island is the province with the most geothermal potential of 1,857.00 MW located in six districts of Suro, Simalungun, North Tapanuli, South Tapanuli, Padang Lawas and Mandailing Natal [8]. One of the existing geothermal energy developments in North Sumatra is Sarulla geothermal (330 MW) located in North Tapanuli District, Pahae Jae Subdistrict, located in Silangkitang Village and Namora Village. Geographically this subdistrict is in position 10 20'- 20 41 'North Latitude and 98 05'- 99 16' East longitude which is an area with potential for promising Natural Resources. This area is interesting for exploration, to see rock type beneath its surface.

In conducting geothermal exploration primarily to determine the geothermal area distribution and identify the anomalous type at subsurface geothermal area, Geomagnet Method can be utilized. This method utilizes the earth magnetic characteristics, based on the measurement of geomagnetic anomalies caused by differences in the susceptibility or magnetic permeability of surrounding areas [9].

Based on the description of some research above, the researcher is interested for doing research in PLTP Sarulla area by using Geomagnet Method to identify some physical characteristics of subsurface geothermal area. In addition, this study was conducted because there has never been a researcher doing the research in this area. So by using geomagnet method, it is expected to provide more complete information for further researchers in the field of geothermal exploration of geothermal manifestation of PLTP Sarulla.

2. Research Method

2.1 Tools

In Identification of Magnetic Anomalies at Geothermal Subsurface Area, total magnetic field measurements at 50 point measurements were randomized and repeated three times using the Proton Precision Magnetometer (PPM) instrument type Elsec 770, positioning using Global Position System (GPS) and determination north orientation using geological compass.

2.2 Geomagnetic Data Analysis

The magnitude of the magnetic field measured at each station is essentially the contribution of the earth's main magnetic field, the outer magnetic field and the anomalous magnetic field. To obtain the anomalous magnetic field strength generated by the rock beneath each surface measurement point, it is necessary to make corrections to the main magnetic field and the external magnetic field measured by PPM. Corrections made include [10]:

1. Daily Correction

Correction is done to eliminate the effect of external magnetic field on the price of measurement result. This correction is made if there is a difference in the measurement of the earth's magnetic field at the base with the survey area. The price of such deviation is obtained by the interpolation equation as follows:

$$I_f = \left[\frac{t_f - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \right] x (I_2 - I_1) \quad (2.1)$$

The above equation is the measurement between t1 and t2 to I1 and I2. As for the daily correction is:

$$T_{vh} = I_1 \pm I_f \quad (2.2)$$

2. Topographic Correction

Topographic correction is a correction performed to eliminate the magnetic field effect generated by the magnetized hills towards the field price of observations. If the topography is considered not magnetized, then what is done is only the height correction, with reference to the vertical gradient price of the earth's magnetic field, namely: for the polar region around γ / m and for the equatorial region around γ / m .

Note :

where $1 \gamma = 1$ nanotesla.

Because the research was done in aquator area, so the topographic correction is:

$$T_T = T_{vh} - (\Delta h * 0,015 \gamma) \quad (2.3)$$

3. IGRF Correction

The main magnetic value is none other than the IGRF value. IGRF correction can be done by subtracting the IGRF value against the daily corrected total magnetic field value at each measurement point based on its geographic position. Correction equations are as follows:

$$\Delta H = H_{obs} \pm \Delta H_{harian} - H_0 \quad (2.4)$$

4. Anomaly Spreading Pattern

The magnetic field anomaly is the deviation of the average value of the earth's magnetic field, which is caused by the magnetic minerals found on earth surface. Daily correction data and IGRF correction are called earth magnetic field anomalies on topography, written on the following equation:

$$\Delta T = T_T - T_{IGRF} \quad (2.5)$$

And the anomaly spreading pattern will be shown in contour map by surfer 11 computer program.

5. Magnetic Susceptibility

Rocks susceptibility magnetic is a fundamental physical parameter in magnetic investigation, since it is a measure of the ability of a rock to receive magnetization from the Earth's magnetic field. To obtain a clear picture of the magnetism properties encountered in the study area, magnetic susceptibility measurements were made at each measurement point. Using the following equation [11]:

$$k = \frac{i}{H} \quad (2.6)$$

7.3 Interpretation

In general, the interpretation of geomagnetic data is divided into two, namely the qualitative and quantitative interpretation. The qualitative interpretation is based on the magnetic field anomaly contour pattern derived from geomagnetic objects distribution or geological structures at earth subsurface by using surfer software 11. Quantitative data interpretation begins by making an incision profile on the anomaly magnetic field contour map. This incision profile can be interpreted directly to estimate the location based on existing geological information. Interpretation is not done directly by processing the profile of this incision with Mag2DC Software, but by creates a subsurface model that is adjusted with existing geological information. This quantitative interpretation creates additional information of the rock susceptibility value at the research location.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Magnetic Earth Anomaly Spreading Pattern

The magnetic field anomaly obtained from the calculation results is shown in the form of anomaly contour map by using surfer software 11. Based on the anomaly value and 3d map mensi anomaly obtained from each measurement, the pattern of spreading anomalies of the area can be seen in Figure 1 below.




Figure 1. Anomaly Earth Magnetic contour at Survey location and 3d map mensi anomaly

Figure 1. It can be seen that the color difference is a magnetic intensity value that ranges from 30 nT to a minimum value of up to 145 nT for maximum value, the minimum value pattern tends toward the North. The magnetic anomaly values in the study area can be grouped into three groups of anomalies: low magnetic anomalies with values of 30 - 65 nT, medium magnetic anomalies with values of 60- 110 nT, and high magnetic anomalies with values more than 115 nT.

Based on the three groups of magnetic field anomaly values, research location is dominated by low magnetic field anomaly values which is scattered in Central longitudinal research area from North to East, West and South, medium magnetic anomalies scattered in Central research area, and high magnetic anomalies scattered in Central research area.

3.2 Rocks Susceptibility of Research Location

Based on susceptibility value from each measurement, so rocks susceptibility at the location and 3d map mensi Susceptibility can be seen in Figure 2 as follows:




Figure 2. Susceptibility Contour Map at Research Location and 3d map mensi Susceptibility

From the calculation of susceptibility value, it is obtained that research area has susceptibility value from the lowest to the highest value, it is: $0,178617332 \times 10^3$ to $0,751016484 \times 10^3$. The susceptibility which is obtained will be used to know the rock types at subsurface research location.

3.3 Magnetic Anomaly Model

Quantitative interpretation is needed to describe the subsurface structure of data measurement. Quantitative interpretation aims to determine the lithology of the research area. Interpretation is done to create a geomagnetic sectional model using Mag2DC software. In numerical modeling some geometric magnetic field parameters of the research location are required including IGRF value (41837.1 nT), declination angle (-0.2582°), inclination angle (-13.0164°), and some modeling parameters.




Figure3. Geomagnetic Sectional Model by using *softwareMag2DC*

Figure 3 shows the AA cross-sectional model, where the x-axis denotes the length of the latitude, the positive y-axis represents the strength value of magnetic anomaly, field and the negative y-axis represent depth. The magnetic modeling results using the mag2dc software, so the anomaly body and magnetic susceptibility value are clearly illustrated. Where the magnetic susceptibility (k) is enough varied on its each body.

Top layer with value $k = 0.0035 \times 10^3$ SI, 0.0059×10^3 SI, 0.0061×10^3 SI at depth ± 5 up to ± 40 meters. This body layer is defined as a type of pyroclastic species including sedimentary rocks from volcanic eruptions. The second layer consists of a body having $k = 0,0277 \times 10^3$ SI, $0,0241 \times 10^3$ SI at a depth of ± 8 up to ± 85 meters, geologically this layer is identified as andesite lava rock which is a volcanic eruption product. This layer is a rock cap zone (supporters) that serves as a barrier to the loss of geothermal steam. While the third layer consists of a body with a value of $k = 0,2437 \times 10^3$ SI at a depth of ± 65 to ± 90 meters, geologically this layer is identified as basalt rock.

The geomagnetic sectional value at (figure 3) is linked to rock type susceptibility values table by Thelfrod where the andesite rock susceptibility (0,0100-0,0500) and geological data of the research area to determine the subsurface rock structure. The value of the andesite's magnetic susceptibility is at 0.0200 -0.0400 [12].

4. Conclusion

1. The magnetic anomaly value in the survey area ranges from: 34.4358 nT at coordinates 501132 N and 208300 E to 144.789 nT at coordinates 501139 N and 208317 E, the magnetic field anomalies is caused by contact between several rock types in the research location.

2. Based on the susceptibility value obtained, the types of rocks found in this study area are sedimentary rocks with k values (0.0035×10^3 , 0.0059×10^3 , 0.0061×10^3), andesite lava with k values (0.0277×10^3 , 0.0241×10^3) and basalt with the value of k (0.2437×10^3).

Suggestions

1. Further research should be conducted by expanding the data retrieval area, so that the spread of rock types can be seen both on the surface and below the surface.
2. 3D modeling should be done to get a picture below the surface of the research area in more detail.
3. This research can be continued with geophysical methods such as, gravity, geo electricity and others, as supporting components to obtain better results in interpretation.

References

- [1] Axelsson, G., Stefansson, V., (2003). "Sustainable Management of Geothermal Resources." *International*
- [2] Calvin, W. M., Coolbaugh, M., Kratt, C., Vaughan, R. G., Calvin, W. (2005), *Application Of Remote Sensing Technology To Geothermal Exploration. Nevada, Us: Geological Survey Of Nevada*; 1083–1089.
- [3] Kunkel, T., Ghomshei, M & Ellis, R., (2012), *Geothermal Energy As An Indigenous Alternative Energysource In British Columbia*. *Journal Of Ecosystems And Management* 13(2):1–16. Lillesand, T.M., Dan F.W.
- [4] Jina, T., Zahra, Z., Ali, H., (2015), Utilization Of Geothermal Energy For Industrial Use In The World. *Journal Of Applied And Basic Sciences*. Vol. 9 (11): 2060-2064.
- [5] Barbier, E. (2002), *Geothermal Energy Technology And Current Status: An Overview, Renewable And*
- [6] Kolar, J.L. (2000), *Alternative Energy Technologies. Environmental Quality Management* 10(2):45–54.
- [7] Ghomshei, M.M. (2010). *Canadian Geothermal Power Prospects. World Geothermal Congress 2010*. Bali, Indonesia
- [8] Gunawan, H. (2013), Potensi panas Bumi di Samosir Siap Dilelang, *Tribunnews: <http://tribunnews.com>* accessed on 14 November 2017.
- [9] Broto, S., T. T. Putranto, (2011), *Aplikasi Metode Geomagnet Dalam Eksplorasi Panas Bumi*. Undip: Semarang.
- [10] Tampubolon, T., Hutahean, J., Siregar, S., (2017), *Subsurface Structure Determination of Geothermal Area in Siogung-ogung Samosir District by Using Magnetic Method*, IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 970(2018) 012002.
- [11] Awaliyatun, F. Z. (2015), *Penentuan Struktur Bawah Permukaan Tanah Daerah Potensi Panas Bumi Dengan Metode Geomagnet di Tinggi Raja Kabupaten Simalungun*. Skripsi, Fmipa, Universitas Negeri Medan (UNIMED), Medan.
- [12] Lita, F., (2012), *Identifikasi Anomali Magnetik Di Daerah Prospek Panas bumi Arjuna-Welirang*, Skripsi, Fmipa, Universitas Indonesia (Ui), Depok.

Identification of Magnetic Anomaly at Geothermal Subsurface Area PLTP Sarulla Unit I Pahae Jae, North Sumatera, Indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

17%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

12%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1 Submitted to Syiah Kuala University 7%
Student Paper

2 eprints.unram.ac.id 2%
Internet Source

3 Togi Tampubolon, Jeddah Yanti, Rita Juliani, Junior Hutahaean. "The influence of Mount Sinabung eruption on the geothermal in Tinggi Raja Simalungun", Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 2022 2%
Publication

4 N.E. Parcutela, C.B. Dimalanta, L.T. Armada, R.S. Austria, J.A. Gabo-Ratio, G.P. Yumul. "Band processing of Landsat 8-OLI multi-spectral images as a tool for delineating alteration zones associated with porphyry prospects: A case from Suyoc, Benguet, Philippines", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022 2%
Publication

5	Hongpeng Wang, Ruiping Yang, Wang Luo, Jian Ge, Huan Liu, Haobin Dong. "Investigation of a tunnel magnetoresistance based vector magnetometer for magnetic anomaly detection", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2022 Publication	1 %
6	nlist.inflibnet.ac.in Internet Source	1 %
7	www.ijpera.com Internet Source	1 %
8	jem.forrex.org Internet Source	1 %
9	sites.ualberta.ca Internet Source	1 %
10	Submitted to Universitas Mataram Student Paper	1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 1%

Exclude bibliography On