

[RPD] Journal Registration

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Fri, Aug 6, 2021 at 14:08

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

You have now been registered as a user with Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology). We have included your username and password in this email, which are needed for all work with this journal through its website. At any point, you can ask to be removed from the journal's list of users by contacting me.

Username: syamsulgultom

Password: syamsul1234

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Submission Acknowledgement

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Fri, Aug 6, 2021 at 14:12

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance" to Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: <https://www.rpd-online.com/index.php/rpd/authorDashboard/submission/698>

Username: syamsulgultom

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Editor Decision

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Sat, Oct 2, 2021 at 00:44

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to *Revista de Psicología del Deporte* (Journal of Sport Psychology), "Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Please see the comment of reviewers at the end of the email and submit the revision in a month. Let me know if you have any questions.

best

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

Comments to Authors

Reviewer - A

The study "*Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance*" is in accordance with the scope of the journal. However, I have some concerns that, if addressed by the authors, will make this study a valuable contribution to the body of literature.

Abstract:

Please restructure the abstract to reflect the study purpose, methods, results, and implications in the sequence.

Introduction:

The introduction needs to justify and articulate the focus and questions of this study from the relevant scholarship, policy, and practice perspectives using relevant literature as support. There is a need to explain in detail the Sports activities in educational institutions that support students' mental and physical well-being and help them grow healthy. Researchers should develop research objectives at the end of the introduction in alignment with the study focus.

Also, many claims throughout the manuscript are not supported by sources/references.

Few instances,

Page 1: Performance in sports activities is based on students' perceptions of higher achievement through more robust physical and sports activity

Page 2: Most of the studies have focused on mental health, but focused investigations on the role of student-athletes perceived physical health are scarce in the literature. It relates to the physical perception of sports competence, physical strength, physical condition, body attractiveness and overall physical self-worth

I suggest authors should avoid such claims without references.

Literature Review:

Before proceeding with the hypothesis development, authors should explain separately the Arbitration theory and justification of the study model based on the theoretical foundation. The mediation hypothesis needs to be more detailed and current literature based. Moreover, the literature review shows minimum exposure of authors to the latest work of many renowned scholars. Authors should dig deep into the existing studies to build a stronger case for hypothesized paths, specifically mediation links.

Likewise, in the introduction in literature, there are many claims/statements/paragraphs without reference, i.e.,

Page 7: A peaceful mind can help an athlete focus their energies toward achieving a set target goal. Stress and anxiety can do the opposite by leading to depression and other mental illnesses to decrease athletes' overall performance

Methods

There is a need to elaborate on the research methodology in detail, along with the participant information and the study's mode. How did the authors recruit respondents? What we're including and excluding criteria etc.? It is also good that the authors explain how the data was analyzed.

Data Analysis and Results

How did the authors assess the discriminate validity of the study constructs? Add a figure for the structural model assessment. Also, it is recommended to present the regression results in tabular form.

Discussion

The Discussion is much abbreviated. This section needs a description of your study aims and a brief summary of the findings as they relate to these aims, followed by a comprehensive summary of how your findings compare and contrast to those of previous researchers (including relevant citations) that I only see a few. This will give the paper greater consistency and structural coherence, leaving readers with a clear sense of the paper's aims and trajectory. Also, authors should present theoretical and practical implications with separate headings

There is a need to add a separate section for the Limitations and Future Research

References:

Authors should double-check their citations and match them with the end-text references. Moreover, there is a need to follow the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study. Also, there is a need to add DOIs for the reference

Reviewer - B

The detailed comments regarding each part of the manuscript are given as follows, which may be helpful to the authors to improve their paper.

- a. The start of the paper is good. However, too much detail in the introduction makes it boring and overworked. Rather than focusing on the gap and discussing the reason for proposing the model and justifying the proposed associations, the author/authors primarily focused too much on explaining *Student-Athletes and their activities*, etc. The detail of how this study can advance our knowledge in this area is missing. Moreover, the authors have not properly utilized to devise the research gap to make the manuscript more influential. The authors tried to present a gap, but the references are not updated.
- b. The literature review needs to be much more clearly written. The ideas are there, but they need to be explained further, and the ideas need to be more clearly linked together. An in-depth literature review is required to build a hypothesis and clearly understand the main concepts. Most of the references are from 2010 or before; only a couple of 2019 to 2022 studies are referred by the authors. In contrast, there are a lot many studies available regarding the area from 2019-to 2022, which the authors overlook. I suggest authors dig deep into the latest literature to add something valuable to the existing body of literature.
- c. There are many statements and claims and paragraphs without references/citations. I must say there is a dire need to read the latest literature and support the arguments in hypothesis building.
- d. There is a need to explain how the instrument was developed. How many respondents participated in the study? And which research methodology was employed?
- e. Results need to be more detailed, and authors should also report the determinant of the coefficient value.
- f. The discussion of the study requires a more explanation of the study findings and should present separate headings for findings, implications, and future research directions
- g. There is also a need to separately discuss the implications of the study.
- h. There are many grammatical issues in the article. Authors are especially confused about where to use and where not to use "articles" and commas, singular or plural, which need to be fixed to make the manuscript more influential.

Revision Submission to RPD

Inbox

Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com >
Kepada: editor@rpd-online.com

Wed, Nov 3, 2022 14.04

Dear Editor

Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology),

I have revised the paper to meet the reviewer comments as shown below. I have uploaded the revision file and response to reviewer comment on the ojs system and also attached here.

Thank you very much and please let me know the next level of the publication process.

Dr. Syamsul Gultom

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-A

Sr. #	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	The study " <i>Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance</i> " is in accordance with the scope of the journal. However, I have some concerns that, if addressed by the authors, will make this study a valuable contribution to the body of literature.	Thanks very much, dear reviewer, for your kind remarks and for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We are very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.
1	Abstract: Please restructure the abstract to reflect the study purpose, methods, results, and implications in the sequence.	Dear reviewer, Abstract Revised as per your kind suggestions Please see page 1
2.	Introduction: The introduction needs to justify and articulate the focus and questions of this study from the relevant scholarship, policy, and practice perspectives using relevant literature as support. There is a need to explain in detail the sports activities in educational institutions that support students' mental and physical well-being and help them grow healthy. Researchers should develop research objectives at the end of the introduction in alignment with the study focus.	Dear reviewer, we have revised the introduction by problem identification and explained in detail the sports activities in educational institutions that support students' mental and physical well-being and help them grow healthy. Following your kind guidelines, we have also added the research objectives at the end of the introduction section Please see pages 2-4

[RPD] Revision Submission Acknowledgement

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Wed, Nov 3, 2021 at 15:33

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript revision, "Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance" to Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: <https://www.rpd-online.com/index.php/rpd/authorDashboard/submission/698>

Username: syamsulgultom

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Editor Decision

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Mon, Dec 6, 2021 at 11:37

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to *Revista de Psicología del Deporte* (Journal of Sport Psychology), "Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance".

Our decision is: Accept Submission

Your paper will be published on Vol. 30 No. 4 (2021). Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Copyediting Review Request

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Fri, Dec 17, 2021 at 10:08

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Your submission "Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance " to Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology) has been through the first step of copyediting and is available for you to review by following these steps.

1. Click on the Submission URL below.
2. Log into the journal and click on the File that appears in Step 1.
3. Open the downloaded submission.
4. Review the text, including copyediting proposals and Author Queries.
5. Make any copyediting changes that would further improve the text.
6. When completed, upload the file in Step 2.
7. Click on METADATA to check indexing information for completeness and accuracy.
8. Send the COMPLETE email to the editor and copyeditor.

Manuscript URL: <https://www.rpd-online.com/index.php/rpd/authorDashboard/submission/698>

Username: syamsulgultom

This is the last opportunity to make substantial copyediting changes to the submission. The proofreading stage, that follows the preparation of the galleys, is restricted to correcting typographical and layout errors.

If you are unable to undertake this work at this time or have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you for your contribution to this journal.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Copyediting Acknowledgement

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Fri, Dec 17, 2021 at 17:24

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Thank you for reviewing the copyediting of your manuscript, " Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance " to Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology).

We look forward to sending the galley proof of this work.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

[RPD] Galley Proof

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Mon, Dec 20, 2021 at 13:17

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <dr.syamsul.gultom@gmail.com>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Please find attached the manuscript for proof of your paper, which is to be published in the *Revista de Psicología del Deporte* (Journal of Sport Psychology) in December 2021. Please return the checked galley proofs via e-mail (<editor@rpd-online.com>) or via online submission system within 48 hours of receipt. Late return of galley proofs may mean postponement to a later issue. This step is entirely the responsibility of the corresponding author. Proofreading is your responsibility, and although the Editor may also make corrections, editorial office will not be able to proofread the paper after it has been returned and will not assume responsibility for any errors that you have failed to correct in the proofs.

Please also follow these guidelines when reviewing the galley proofs and highlight the changes on the manuscript:

- Please add or check all author(s) names and affiliations.
- Please use the proofs solely for checking the accuracy of the typesetting as well as the completeness and correctness of the text, tables, and figures
- Mark your corrections, in red ink, directly on the galley proofs. Make sure that your corrections are noticeable and easy to understand.
- Check all type on the galley proofs. Check the title, the abbreviations list, and the author-paper documentation paragraph.
- Check the table data against that in your original tables.
- Check any equations against those in your original manuscript. Make sure special characters have not dropped out.
- Check to be sure that figures are entirely legible, including any small-print text.
- If you find an error, look again at the lines around the error. Mistakes tend to cluster.
- Please check all references in both text and references list with APA 7th format.
- Pay close attention to deadlines; late submissions can delay publishing.

Note: If you are completely SATISFIED with the final proof, just inform the Editorial Office about your satisfaction via e-mail or via the online submission system. Only on the receipt of your final satisfaction opinion, The Editorial Office will send your article for final publication.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)

1 attachment



Syamsul_GalleyProof.doc
263K

[RPD] Galley Proof Acknowledgement

Inbox

Editor <editor@rpd-online.com>

Mon, Dec 20, 2021 at 20:16

To: Dr. Syamsul Gultom <syamsulgultom@umsu.ac.id>

[<editor@rpd-online.com>](mailto:editor@rpd-online.com)

Dr. Syamsul Gultom:

Thank you for reviewing the galley proof of your manuscript, " Exploring the Perceptions of Student-Athletes Leading Towards Physical Activity and Perceived Performance " to Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology).

We look forward to publishing this work of this work.

Editor

[Revista de Psicología del Deporte](#)