

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 1. English Students' Achievement of MTsN Lubuk Pakam	2
Table 2. Factorial Research Design	55
Table 3. Specification for Reading Comprehension	59
Table 4. Students' Self Efficacy Questionnaire Indicators	61
Table 5. The Procedure of Giving Treatment to the Two groups	67
Table 4.1. The Main Data of the Research	70
Table 4.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught with PALS	71
Table 4.3 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught Using QARs ..	73
Table 4.4 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self Efficacy Taught with PALS and QARs	74
Table 4.5 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Self Efficacy Taught with PALS and QARs	76
Table 4.6 Students' Achievement with HighSelf Efficacy in Reading Comprehension Taught	77
Table 4.7 Students' Achievement with Low Self Efficacy in Reading Comprehension Taught with PALS	78
Table 4.8 Students' Achievement with High Self Efficacy in Reading Comprehension Taught with QARs	80
Table 4.9 Students' Achievement with Low Self Efficacyin Reading Comprehension Taught with QARs	81
Table 4.10 The Summary of the Normality Testing of the Teaching Strategies Data..	83
Table 4.11 The Summary of the Normality Testing of PALS and Self Efficacy	83
Table 4.12 The Summary of the Normality Testing of QARs and Self Efficacy	84
Table 4.13 The Summary Analysis of Variance (Anova) Homogeneity Testing between PALS and QARs Using F Test	85
Table 4.14 The Summary Analysis of Homogeneity Testing Students' Self Efficacy Using F Test and Barlet's Test	85
Table 4.15 The Summary Analysis of Homogeneity Testing between Teaching Strategies and Self Efficacy	86
Table 4.16 The Summary of Anova Factorial Design 2 x 2	87
Table 4.17 The Summary of Tukey Test	90

