

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| ABSTRACT..... | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT..... | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | ix |
| LIST OF APPENDICES..... | x |
| CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 The Background of the Problem..... | 1 |
| 1.2 The Research Problems | 7 |
| 1.3 The Objective of the Study..... | 7 |
| 1.4 The Scope of the Study | 8 |
| 1.5 The Significance of the Study..... | 8 |
| CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE..... | 9 |
| 2.1 Theoretical Framework..... | 9 |
| 2.1.1 The Achievement in Reading Comprehension..... | 9 |
| 2.1.1.1 Levels of Comprehension in Reading | 12 |
| 2.1.1.2 The Assessment of Reading Comprehension..... | 13 |
| 2.1.2 Teaching Strategies..... | 13 |
| 2.1.2.1 Directed Reading Thinking Activity (DRTA) Strategy | 16 |
| 2.1.2.1.1 Definition of DRTA Strategy..... | 16 |
| 2.1.2.1.2 The Principle of DRTA Strategy | 17 |
| 2.1.2.1.3 The Design of DRTA Strategy | 18 |
| 2.1.2.1.4 The Procedure of DRTA Strategy in Teaching Reading..... | 19 |
| 2.1.2.1.5 The Strength and Weakness of DRTA Strategy | 20 |
| 2.1.2.2 Reciprocal Teaching Strategy..... | 21 |
| 2.1.2.2.1 Definition of Reciprocal Strategy..... | 21 |
| 2.1.2.2.2 The Principle of Reciprocal Strategy..... | 22 |
| 2.1.2.2.3 The Design of Reciprocal Teaching Strategy | 24 |
| 2.1.2.2.4 The Procedure of Reciprocal Teaching Strategy | |
| in Teaching Reading | 25 |
| 2.1.2.2.5 The Strengths and Weakness of Reciprocal Teaching Strategy..... | 25 |
| 2.1.3 Prior Knowledge of the Text..... | 26 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2.1.3.1 High Prior Knowledge of Students on Learning..... | 28 |
| 2.1.3.2 High Prior Knowledge of Students on Learning..... | 28 |
| 2.2 Relevant Studies..... | 29 |
| 2.3 Conceptual Framework..... | 31 |
| 2.3.1 The Differences of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension That Taught By Using Directed Reading Thinking Activity (DRTA) Strategy and Reciprocal Teaching Strategy..... | 31 |
| 2.3.2 The Difference of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension that has high prior knowledge and that has low prior knowledge..... | 32 |
| 2.3.3 The Interaction between Directed Reading Thinking Activity (DRTA) strategy and Reciprocal Teaching Strategy and Prior Knowledge in Students' Achievement on Reading Comprehension..... | 34 |
| 2.4 Hypothesis of the Study | 36 |
| CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY..... | 37 |
| 3.1 Research Design | 37 |
| 3.2 Population and Sample | 38 |
| 3.2.1 Population | 38 |
| 3.2.2 Sample | 39 |
| 3.3 Instruments of Data Collection..... | 40 |
| 3.3.1 Reading Comprehension Test | 40 |
| 3.3.2 Prior Knowledge Text Test..... | 43 |
| 3.4 The Procedure of the Treatment..... | 45 |
| 3.5 Control of Treatment | 46 |
| 3.5.1 Internal Validity..... | 46 |
| 3.5.2 External Validity..... | 48 |
| 3.6 Instrument Tryout of Data Collection..... | 49 |
| 3.6.1 Validity of the Test..... | 49 |
| 3.6.2 Reliability of the Test..... | 50 |
| 3.6.3 Difficulty Index of the Test..... | 50 |
| 3.6.4 Discrimination Index of the Test Items..... | 50 |
| 3.7 Technique of Analyzing The Data..... | 52 |
| 3.8 Statistical Hypotheses..... | 53 |
| CHAPTER IV: DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS..... | 54 |
| 4.1 Description of Data | 54 |
| 4.1.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using DRTA Strategy..... | 55 |
| 4.1.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using Reciprocal Strategy..... | 57 |
| 4.1.3 Students' Achievement with High Prior Knowledge..... | 59 |
| 4.1.4 Students' Achievement with Low Prior Knowledge..... | 61 |
| 4.1.5 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Prior Knowledge taught by Using DRTA Strategy..... | 63 |
| 4.1.6 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Prior Knowledge taught by Using DRTA Strategy..... | 65 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.1.7 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Prior Knowledge taught by Using Reciprocal Strategy..... | 67 |
| 4.1.8 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Prior Knowledge taught by Using Reciprocal Strategy..... | 69 |
| 4.2 Requirement of Data Analysis..... | 71 |
| 4.2.1 Normality Test..... | 71 |
| 4.2.2 Homogeneity Test..... | 72 |
| 4.2.2.1 Groups of Teaching Strategies and Prior Knowledge..... | 73 |
| 4.2.2.2 Group of Interaction..... | 74 |
| 4.3 Testing of Hypotheses..... | 75 |
| 4.3.1 Reading Comprehension Achievement of Students taught by DRTA And Reciprocal Strategies..... | 76 |
| 4.3.2 Reading Comprehension Achievement of Students with high Prior Knowledge and Low Prior Knowledge..... | 76 |
| 4.3.3 The Interaction Between Teaching Strategies and Prior Knowledge On Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension..... | 77 |
| 4.4 Discussion..... | 80 |
| 4.4.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using DRTA Strategy is higher than taught by using Reciprocal Strategy..... | 80 |
| 4.4.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Prior Knowledge is higher than with Low Prior Knowledge..... | 81 |
| 4.4.3 The Interaction Between Teaching Strategies and Prior Knowledge On Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension..... | 83 |
| 4.5 Limitation of Research..... | 84 |
| CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS..... | 85 |
| 5.1 Conclusions..... | 85 |
| 5.2 Implications..... | 86 |
| 5.3 Suggestions..... | 88 |
| REFERENCES | 89 |