LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	The Reading Comprehension Score Test of Students Grade XI of SMA Harapan Mandiri in 2012/2013 Academic Year	3
Table 2.	Advantages and Disadvantages DR-TA	20
Table 3.	The KWL table	21
Table 4.	Advantages and Disadvantages KWL	23
Table 5.	The Differences between DR-TA and KWL	23
Table 6.	Impulsive Learning Style and Reflective Learning style	30
Table 7.	The 2x2 Factorial Design of the Study	38
Table 8.	Questionnaire Sheet	40
Table 9.	Table of Specification Raeding Comprehension Test	42
Table 10.	Procedures of The Treatment in The Two Groups	42
	Reliability Test Result	49
	Reliability Questionnaire Result	50
	The Difficulty Index Criteria	50
	The Discrimination Index Criteria	51
Table 15.	Summary of Data Description	53
	Frequency Distribution of the Score of Students Taught by	54
	Using Directed Reading Thinking Activity Strategy	
Table 17.	Frequency Distribution of the Score of Students Taught by	55
	Using KWL Strategy	
Table 18.	Frequency Distribution of the Scores of Impulsive Learning Style Students	57
Tbale 19.	Frequency Distribution of the Scores of Reflective Learning Style Students	58
Table 20.	Frequency Distribution of the Scores of Impulsive Learning Style Students Taught By Using DRTA Strategy	60
Table 21.	Frequency Distribution of the Scores of Reflective Learning styles Students Taught By Using DRTA Strategy	61
Table 22.	Frequency Distribution of The Scores of Reflective Learning Style Students Taught By Using DRTA Strategy	s 62
Table 23.	Frequency Distribution of the Scores of Reflective Learning styles Students Taught by Using KWL Strategy	64
Table 24.	Summary of the Result of Normality Testing	65
	Result of Homogeneity Test of Teaching Reading Strategies	66
	The Result of Homogeneity Testing of Group Learning Styles	66
	The Result of Homogeneity Testing of Groups Interaction	67
	Two-Way ANOVA with 2x2 Factorial Designs	67
	Summary on the Calculation Result of Two-Way ANOVA	68
	The Summary of the Calculation Result by Using Scheffe Test	70
	,	