

REFERENCES

- Ary, D., Jacobs, C. Lucy, Sorensen, C. & Razavieh, A. 2010. *Introduction to Reasearch and Education*. Canada: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Berry, James. 2005. *Levels of Reading Comprehension*. Retrieved March 7, 2012 from <http://www.sc4.edu/documents/studyskills/h7levelsreadingcomp.doc>.
- Bransford, J. 1985. Schema Activation and Schema Acquisition. In H. Singer & R. B. Ruddell (Eds.), *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (pp. 385-397). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Cagley, C. 1983. *Field-Dependence/Independence as a Predictor of Inferencing and Problem Solving Abilities in Community College Students*. Boca Raton: Florida Atlantic University Dissertation.
- California Task Force. 2002. *Reading, Every Child A Reader*. Retrieved June 15, 2012 from <http://orton-gillingham.com/orton-gillingham2.asp-11k>.
- Dutcher, Peggy. 1990. Authentic Reading Assessment. *Practical Assessment Research and Evaluation*. Retrieved March, 6 2012 from <http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=22n=6>.
- Goldstein, K.M, Blackman, S. 1978. *Cognitive Styles: Five Approaches and Relevant Research*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Goodman, K. 1970. Reading as a psychological guessing game. In H. Singer and R. B. Ruddell . (Eds). *Theoretical models and Processes of Reading*. Newark, N.J.: International reading Association.
- Graesser, C. Arthur, 2007. An introduction to Strategic Reading Comprehension. In McNamara, S. Danielle (Ed.), *Reading Comprehension Strategies: Theories, Interventions and Technologies* (pp. 3-26). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Graesser, A. C., Singer, Wiemer-Hastings, Peter. & Wiemer-Hastings, Katja. 2001. Constructing Inferences and Relations during Text Comprehension. In Sanders, Schilperoord, Spooren (Eds), *Text Representation: Linguistic and Psycholinguistic Aspects* (pp.1-26). Amsterdams/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Graesser, A. C., Singer, M., & Trabasso, T. 1994. Constructing inferences during narrative text comprehension. *Psychological Review*, 101:371–395.
- Jung, C.G. 1923. *Psychological types*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Katims, David S. 1997. Improving the Reading Comprehension of Middle School Students in Inclusive Classrooms. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 2: 116-124.
- King, Alison. 2007. Beyond Literal Comprehension: A Strategy to Promote Deep Understanding of Text. In McNamara, S. Danielle (Ed.), *Reading Comprehension Strategies: Theories, Interventions and Technologies* (pp. 267-290). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- King, A. 1996. A sequenced Inquiry Model of Peer Tutoring. *Unpublished Manuscript*. San Marcos: California State University.
- King, A. 1995. Designing the Instructional Process to Enhance Critical Thinking across the Curriculum: Inquiring Minds Really Do Want to Know: Using Questioning to Teach Critical Thinking. *Teaching of Psychology*, 22(1): 13-17.
- King, A. 1994. Autonomy and Question Asking: The Role of Personal Control in Guided Student-generated Questioning. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 6: 163-185.
- King, A. 1994a. ASK to THINK-TEL WHY. *Unpublished Manuscript and Materials*. San Marcos: California State University.
- King, A. 1994b. Guiding knowledge construction in the classroom: Effects of Teaching Children How to Question and How to Explain. *American Educational Research Journal*, 30:338-368.
- King, A., & Rosenshine B. 1993. Effects of Guided Cooperative Questioning on Children's Knowledge Construction. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 61: 127-148.
- King, A. 1991. Effects of Training in Strategic Questioning on Children's Problem-solving Success. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83(3): 307-317.
- King, A. 1990. Reciprocal Peer Questioning: A Strategy for Teaching Students How to Learn Draw Lectures. *The Clearing House*, 64: 131-135.
- King, A. 1989. Effects of Self-questioning Training on College students' Comprehension of Lectures. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 14:1-16.
- Kintsch, W. & Rawson, K. A. (2005). Comprehension. In M. Snowling & C. Hulme (Eds.), *The science of reading: A handbook* (pp. 209-226). Malden, MA: Blackwell.

- Kintsch, W. 1988. The Role of Knowledge in Discourse Comprehension: A Constructive-Integration Model. *Psychological Review*, 95:163–182.
- Luk, Suet Ching. 1998. The Influence of a Distance-learning Environment on Students' Field Dependence/Independence. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 66: 149-160.
- MacKay and A. Mountford .1979. *Reading for Information in Reading in a Second Language*. R.Mackay, B. Barkman and R.R. Jordon (Eds.) Rowley, Mass.: Newburg House.
- Meneghetti, C., Carretti, B., De Beni, R. 2006. Components of Reading Comprehension and Scholastic Achievement. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 1(16): 291-301.
- Messick, S. 1976. Personality Consistencies in Cognition and Creativity. In S. Messick and Associates (Eds.), *Individuality in Learning* (pp.4-22). San Francisco: Josey-Bass.
- Morsy, L., Kieffer, M., & Snow, C. E. 2010. *Measure for Measure: A critical Consumers' Guide to Reading Comprehension Assessment for Adolescents*. New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Mullis, I.V.S., Kennedy, A.M., Martin, M.O. & Sainsbury, M. 2006. *PIRLS 2006 Assessment Framework and Specifications*, (2nd ed.). Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.
- Nussbaum, E. M., Winsor, D. L., Aqui, Y. M., & Poliquin, A. M. 2007. Putting the Pieces Together: Online Argumentation Vee Diagrams Enhance Thinking during Discussions. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 2(4), 479-500.
- Nuttall, C. 1982. *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language*. London: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Perfetti, C. A., & Hart, L. 2001. The Lexical Basis of Comprehension Skill. In D. S. Gorfien (Ed.), *The Consequences of Meaning Selection: Perspectives on Resolving Lexical Ambiguity* (pp. 67–86). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- RAND Reading Study Group. 2002. *Reading for Understanding: Toward an R&D Program in Reading Comprehension*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.

- Rayner, K., Foorman, B. R., Perfetty, C. A., Pesetsky, D., & Seidenberg, M. S. 2001. How Psychological Science informs the Teaching of Reading. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 2 (2): 31-68.
- Rayner, S., & Riding, R. 1997. Toward a categorization of cognitive styles and learning styles. *Educational Psychology*, 17, 5-27.
- Richard, C. Jack & Rodgers, S. Theodore. 1999. *Approaches and Language Teaching*. United States of America: Cambridge University Press.
- Rickards, J., Fajen, B., Sullivan, J., & Gillespie, G. 1997. Signaling, Notetaking, and Field Independence-Dependence in Text Comprehension and Recall. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89: 508-517.
- Riding, R., Glass, A., Butler, S., & Pleydell-Pearce, C. 1997. Cognitive Style and Individual Differences in Eeg Alpha During Information Processing. *Educational Psychology*, 17(1&2): 219-235.
- Riding, R. 1997. On the nature of cognitive style. *Educational Psychology*, 17(1): 29-49.
- Riding, R., & Pearson, F. 1994. The Relationship between Cognitive Style and Intelligence. *Educational Psychology*, 16: 81-106.
- Rosenshine, B., Meister, C., & Chapman, S. 1996. Teaching Students to Generate Questions: A Review of the Intervention Studies. *Review of Educational Research*, 66: 181-221.
- Rosenshine, B., & Meister, C. 1994. Reciprocal Teaching: A Review of the Research. *Review of Educational Research*, 64: 479-530.
- Rumelhart, D.E. 1980. Schemata: The Building Blocks of Cognition. In R.J. Spiro, B.C. Bruce, & W.F. Brewer (Eds.), *Theoretical Issues in Reading Comprehension* (pp. 38-58). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sadeghi, Karim. 2007. The Key for Successful Reader-writer Interaction: Factors affecting Reading Comprehension in L2 Revisited. *The Asian EFL Journal Quarterly*, 9(3): 198-220.
- Singhal, Meena. 1998. *The internet TESL Journal, A Comparison of L1 and L2 Reading: Cultural Differences and Schema*. Retrieved March 6, 2012 from <http://www.gse.uci.edu/ed168/resume.html>.
- Spooner, A. I. R., Baddeley, A. D., & Gathercole, S. E. 2004. Can Reading Accuracy and Comprehension be separated in the Neale Analysis of Reading Ability? *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 74:167-204.

Sprengr, M. 1999. *Learning and Memory: The Mind in Action*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Taylor, D. S. 1985. Teaching reading for comprehension in the context of English as a second or foreign language. *British Journal of Language Teaching*, Vol. 23, No.1. Retrieved March 7 2012 from <http://education.leeds.ac.uk/~dst/inted/read.htm>.

Tennant, M. 1988. *Psychology and adult learning*. London: Routledge.

Tinajero, C., & Paramo, M. 1998. Field Dependence-Independence Cognitive Style and Academic Achievement: A review of Research and Theory. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 13: 227-251.

Tompkins, E. Gail, 2010. Reading Comprehension Factors. *Pearson Allyn Bacon Prentice Hall*. Retrieved March 7, 2012 from <http://www.education.com/partner/articles/pearson>.

Trelease, Jim. 2001. *Reading for FUN is Reading for the Future*. Retrieved June 15, 2012 from <http://www.Trelease-on-reading.com/rah.html>.

Walczyk, J. J., Kelly, K. E., Meche, S. D. & Braud, H. 1999. Time limitations enhance reading comprehension. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 24(1): 156-165.

Williams, D. 1981. Factors related to performance in reading English as a second language. *Language Learning*, 31(1): 31-50.

Witkin, H., Moore, C., Goodenough, D., & Cox, P. 1977. Field-Dependent and Field-Independent Cognitive Styles and Their Educational Implications. *Review of Educational Research*, 47(1): 1-64.

Witkin, H., Moore, C., Oltman, P., Goodenough, D., Friedman, F., Owen, D., & Raskin, E. 1977. Role of the Field-Dependent and Field-Independent Cognitive Styles in Academic Evolution: A longitudinal Study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69: 197-211.

