## LIST OF TABLES

4.9	Mean Score of Students' Reading Comprehension in STAIN  Malikussaleh year of 2011-2012
2.1	Cognitive Style Characteristics of Field Independence and Field Dependence
3.1	Factorial Design61
3.2	Taxonomy of Reading Comprehension
3.3	Question Distribution of Reading Comprehension Test
3.4	The Summary of Variance Analysis of Two-way ANOVA75
4.1	Summary of Data Description
4.2	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using ASK to THINK TEL-WHY Strategy78
4.3	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Reciprocal Peer Questioning Strategy79
4.4	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Field Independent Style
4.5	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Field Dependent Style
4.6	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension of Field Independent Style and Taught by Using ASK to THINK TEL-WHY Strategy
4.7	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Field Dependent Style and Taught by Using ASK to THINK TEL-WHY Strategy
4.8	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Field Independent Style and Taught by Using Guided Reciprocal Peer Questioning Strategy
4.9	Frequency Distribution of Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Field Dependent Style and Taught by Using Guided Reciprocal Peer Questioning strategy
4.10	Summary on the Result of Normality Test90
4.11	Summary on the Result of Homogeneity Test91
4.12	Summary on the Result of Homogeneity Variance on Students' Cognitive Style
4.13	Summary on the Result of Homogeneity within Groups 92

4.14	Result of Homogeneity Variance among Groups	93
4.15	Two-way ANOVA	94
4.16	Summary on Calculation Result of Two-way ANOVA	95

