REFERENCES

- Aries, E., & Johnson, F. 1983. Close Friendship In Adulthood: Conversational Content Between SaMS-Sex Friends. Sex Roles, 9 (11), 83-96.
- Ary, D. et al. 2010. *Introduction to Research in Education*. (8th Edition). Canada Wadsworth.
- Baxter, J., & Eyles, J. 1997. Evaluating qualitative research in social geography: Establishing 'rigour' in interview analysis in *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 22(4), 505-525.
- Bitsch, V. 2005. Qualitative research: A grounded theory example and evaluation criteria. *Journal of Agribusiness*, 23(1), 75-91.
- Bogdan, Robert C. and Biklen, Sari Knopp. 2007. *Qualitative Research for Education: An Introduction to Theory and MSthods*. Boston: Pearson, Inc.
- Brennan, J. 1974. *The Conscious Communicator; Making Communication Work In The Workplace*. Boston: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. Reading, MA.
- CaMSron, D. 2000. Styling the Worker: Gender and the Commodification of Language in the Globalized Service Economy. Journal of Sociolinguistics 4:3: 323-347.
- Denzim, N.K. and Lincoln, Y.S. 1994. *Introduction: Entering the Field of Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dirgeyasa, I Wy. The compliment: its concepts. Functions, common topics, and typical response in communication. ISELT-3.
- Eckert, P., McConnel-Ginnel, S. 2003. *Language and Gender*. Cambridge University Press.
- Elgin, S. H. 2003. Gender Speak: MSn, WoMSn, And The Art Of Verbal Self-DeFSnse. New York: Wile.
- Fishman, J. A. 1976. The Sociology Of Language. In r. Cole (ed.), Current Issue; In Linguistic Theory. London: Harvester Press.
- -----. 1983. Interaction: The Work WoMSn Do. Language, Gender and Society. In Swann. 2000, pp.227
- Gray, J. 1992. MSn are from Mars and woMSn are from Venus: A practical guide for improving communication and getting what you want in your relationship. New York: Harper Collins.

- George, Y. 2006. The Study of Language (3rd Ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Goodwin, M. H. 1980. Directive-Responses Speech Sequences in Girls' And Boys' Task Activities. In pp. 141
- Gustaffon, A.W. 2014. Doctors preters' Conversational Styles in Paediatric Diabetes Encounters: A Case Study Of Empowering Language Use. Vol. 11(3) (2014), 1–000. Equinox Publishing Ltd.
- HolMSs, J. 1995. WoMSn, MSn and Politeness. London: Longman.
- Jacobs, Roderick A., & Peter S. Rosenbaum. 1968. *English Transformational Grammar*. Waltham, Mass.: Ginn and Company.
- Johnson, FSrn L., & Davis, Leslie K. 2013. Hesitation PhenoMSna in the Language of Family Conversations Across Three Conversational Styles in Three Formats of Television Programming in the U.S.A. ERIC Journal Publisher.
- Kocić, A.V., Dušan M.S., & Miloš B. T. 2014. Gender DifFSrences Reflected in Friends' Conversation Discourse Analysis Of Dialogues From The TV Series Coupling. Godina Vol. 5, No.3. View Publication.
- Lakoff, R. 1975. *Language And WoMSn's Place*. Journal language in Society, Vol.2, No.1.
- -----.1978. Review of Marshall Edelson, *Language and Interpretation in Psychoanalysis*. Language, 54:2, 377-394.
- Lichtman, M. 2013. *Qualitative Research in* Education: A User's Guide (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Lincoln, Yvonna S. & Guba, Egon G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. California, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M., & Saldana. J. 2014. *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage
- Mohindra, Vinita & Samina A. 2012. Gender Communication: A Comparative Analysis of Communicational Approaches of MSn and WoMSn at Workplaces. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science, Vol. 2, No. 1.
- Octigan, M., & Niederman, S. 1979. *Male Dominance In Conversation*. Frontiers, 4, 5&54.
- Sadderson, P. 2014. A Cognitive Approach to Gender DiffSrences in Conversational Style. VoluMS 29, Issue 1. Langguage Matters Journal.

- Swann, Joan, MSstrhie, Rajend. 2000. *Introduction to Sociolinguistic (2nd Ed.)*. Edinburg: Edinburg University Press.
- Tannen, D. 1989. *Talking Voices: Repetition, Dialogue, and Imagery in Conversational Discourse*. Cambridge England; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- -----. 1992. You Just Don't Understand: WoMSn and MSn Conversation. New York: Virgo Press
- ----- 1993. Gender And Conversational Interaction. Oxford University Press
- -----. 1994. Talking from 9 to 5: How WoMSn's And MSn's Conversational Styles AfFSct Who Gets Heard, Who Gets Credit, And What Gets Done At Work. London: Virago.
- ----- 2005. Conversational Styles: Analyzing Talk among Friend (2nd Ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Tobback, E. 2014. At Each Turn. Comparative Analysis of Conversational Styles of Dutch Speakers and Belgian Francophones in Television Debates. Hasselt University & Ghent University: Canadian Linguistic Magazine, Vol. 12, No. 13
- Tommaselli, M.V., & Albert G. 2015. *Italian Tag Questions and Their Conversational Styles Functions*. Journal of Pragmatics. 84. 54—82.
- TroeMSI-Ploetz. 1994. "Let MS put it this way, John": Conversational strategies of woMSn in leadership positions. Journal of Pragmatics. 22.2.199–209.
- Trudgill, P. 2000. *Sociolinguistics : An Introduction to Language and Society*. London: Penguin Brooks.
- West, C. and ZimMSrman, D., 1985. Gender, Language and Discourse. Vol. 4. 2009.
- Yongson, C. 2015. A Study of Cross-Gender Conversational Styles ReFSlcted in *Love Actually Movie*. STEM Journal, Vol.16 (1), 1-21
- Zhang, C. 2014. A Sociolinguistic Study of Miscommunication in Male-FSmale Conversation. Canada: Canadian Center of Science and Education, Vol. 10, No. 17.
- Ziegler, Nicole, & Corine S. 2013. *Interaction In Conversation Groups: The DevelopMSnt Of L2 Conversational Styles*. George Town University & Bangor University. Vol.4, No.5