CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions

Based on the analysis, the conclusions are stated as the following:

1. It was found that male argue by using four types of arguments, namely; conjunction, conditional, disjunction and negation, while female argued by using only three types of arguments, namely ; negation, conjunction and conditional.
2. Males are more logical, most of male argue by representing premise which consist of evidence or logical reason. Male argue logically by using three types of arguments, namely; conjunction, negation and conditional. While females are more illogical, most of female argue by using premise but bad reasoning (fallacy). Female argue logically by using conjunction and by using negation. So, it can be concluded that male argue logically than female. It also indicates that males are concerned with the facts while women with emotions/feeling. it also found some arguments used double types in an argument such as negation and conjunction.

5.2 Suggestions

In relation to the conclusion, suggestions are offered as the following:

1. Based on the finding, this study is focused on determining logicality of argument by using Barwise theory. It found that there were fifth types of arguments use by male and female. It is suggested to further researcher to point out the other theory to get deeper findings.
2. This research focused on Donald's Trump's status on political issue. It is suggested to further researcher to choose another hot issue or topic to be arguing by female and male participant. So, female and male participants are excited to argue the topic. It is suggested to further researcher to find other relevant studies with this and increase knowledge in scope of gender arguments