UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

A THESIS

The Effect Of Teaching Methods and Communicative Competence On *Students' Achievement In Writing*

By

Yunita Mutiara Harahap
Registration Number: 072188330023

English Applied Linguistics Study Program
The State University of Medan

This thesis was examined on 6th May 2010 by Board of Examiners

Approval by
Adviser Commission

Adviser I	**Adviser II**
Prof. M. Silitonga, Ph.D.	**Prof.Dr. Busmin Gurning,M.pd**
The Head of English Applied Linguistics Study Program	**The Director of School of Postgraduate Studies**
Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd.	**Prof. Dr. Belferik Manullang**
NIP: 19590130719860 1 1001	**NIP: 19471015. 197412. 1001**

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED
KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN NASIONAL
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
PASCA SARJANA
PPS

APPROVAL

This thesis was examined on 6th May 2010 by Board of Examiners

Board of Examiners

Prof. M. Silitonga, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd.

Prof. Tina Mariany Arifin, M.A., Ph.D.

Dr. Didik Santoso, M. Pd.

Dr. Eddy Setia, M.Ed., TESP

ADVISERS' STATEMENT

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully graduated in scope and quality as a thesis for the Degree of Magister Humaniora

Prof. M. Silitonga, Ph.D
First Adviser

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully graduated in scope and quality as a thesis for the Degree of Magister Humaniora

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd
Second Adviser

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer's endless gratitude is primarily expressed to Allah SWT for His forever Blessings and Mercy that has enabled her to finish writing this piece of academic work.

In the process of writing this thesis, the writer has to confess that many people have given her the care, attention and bright ideas. In this connection, she would like to express her very special gratitude to Prof. M. Silitonga, Ph.D. being her First Adviser and Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd being his Second Adviser, her excellent advisers, their understanding efforts in sharing their valuable time specially for comments, advices, correcting, and suggestions.

She would like to thank her whole-hearted gratitude to the reviewers and examiners, Prof. Tina Mariany Arifin, M.A., Ph.D., Dr. Didik Santoso, M.Pd, Dr. Eddy Setia, M.Ed., TESP, Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, M.Pd., and for their valuable inputs for completion of this thesis. She also wishes to express thanks to all lecturers who have given her the valuable knowledge and science during her study at the English Applied Linguistics Study Program of Postgraduate School, State University of Medan.

Then, she would also like to express her sincere appreciation and love to her parents and her brother, especially her beloved father and mother, M. Harahap and T. Nasution who always shows their power to give her motivation and pray never endless to finish the study. Next, she also thanks especially her beloved brother, Rizal Abdillah Harahap S.S, who has given love, support, advice, and sense of humor has helped her more than he can know. Special thanks to Syarifuddin S.Si as her husband, who have given her love, spirit, motivation and encouragement in writing her thesis as soon as possible. Next, she would like to thank Wiki, Nani, Yanti, B. Mahyudin, B. Hasyimi, K. ozi, Sari, Asrulaningtyas S.Pd who have supported her.

She also thanks the headmaster of SMK MULTI KARYA Medan, Drs. Sutasman, who permits her to conduct the research in the school and those teachers and students of SMK MULTI KARYA Medan who gave

supports to this study should deserve her sincere gratitude for their cooperative attitude and work during the research.

Finally, the writer must admit that the content of this thesis is still far from being perfect, she warmly welcomes any constructive ideas and critics that will improve the quality of the thesis. She also hopes this thesis would be useful for those who read it, especially majoring in English.

Medan,

The writer,

Yunita Mutiara Hararap

Registration Number 0721883300023

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS i
ABSTRACT ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS iii
LIST OF TABLES vi
LIST OF FIGURES viii
LIST OF APPENDICES x
CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Background of the Study 1
1.2 The Problems of the Study 5
1.3 The Objective of the Study 6
1.4 The Scope of the Study 6
1.5 The Significance of the Study 7
CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 8
2.1 Writing. 8
2.1.1 Writing Skills 8
2.2 Writing Achievement 9
2.3 Principles of teachimg Writing 10
2.3.1 The Assesment of writing 12
2.4 Methods of Teaching 13
2.4.1 Poblem Based Learning 15
2.4.1.1 The definition of Problem Based Learrning 15
2.4.1.2 The characteristics of Problem Based Learrning 16
2.4.1.3 Procedure of Problem Based Learrning 18
2.4.1.4 Straightness and Weakness of Problem Based Learrning 20
2.4.1.4.1 The Straightness of Problem Based Learrning 20
2.4.1.4.2 The Weakness of Problem Based Learrning 20
2.4.1.5 Direct Instruction 21
2.4.1.5.1 Definition of Direct Instruction 21
2.4.1.5.2 Procedure of Direct Instruction 22

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

2.4.1.6 Straightness and Weakness of Direct Instruction 22
2.4.1.6.1 The Straightness of Direct Instruction 22
2.4.1.6.2 The Weakness of Direct Instruction 23
2.5 Communicative competence 24
2.6 Conceptual Framework 26
2.6.1 The Students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based Learning is higher than taught by Using Direct Instruction. 26
2.6.2 The Students' Achievement in writing with High Communicative Competence taught by Using PBL is higher than The Students' Achievement in writing with Low Communicative Competence taught by Using Direct Instruction 28
2.6.3 Interaction between teaching Method and Communicative Competence in Writing 29
2.7 Hypotheses 29

CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHOD 31
3.1 The Research Design 31
3.2 Definition of Research Variables 33
3.3 Procedures and Implementation Research 34
3.4 Population and Sample 37
3.4.1 Population 37
3.4.2 Sample 37
3.5 Instrumentation and Materials 38
3.6 Reliability 38
3.7 Research Procedures 39
3.8 Data Analysis 40
3.8.1 Technique of Data Analysis 40
3.8.2 Statistical Hypotheses 41
CHAPTER IV : DATA ANALYSIS 43
4.1 The Data Description 43
4.1.1 The Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using

Problem Based Learning 43
4.1.2 The students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction 44
4.1.3 The Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using Problem Based Learning for those who have high communicative competence 45
4.1.4 The Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using Problem Based Learning for those who have Low communicative competence 46
4.1.5 The Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using Direct Instruction for those who have High communicative competence 47
4.2 Testing of the characteristic of analysis 51
4.2.1 Data of Normality 51
4.2.2 The Homogenity Variance of the Sample 52
4.3 Testing the Hypotheses 53
4.4 The Discussion of the Result of the Research 58
4.5 Limitation of the Research 60
CHAPTER V : CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS 62
5.1 Conclusions 62
5.2 Suggestions 63
REFERENCES 64
APPENDICES 76

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1.1	The classification of six methods of teaching	2
1.1.2	English monthly data of SMK MULTI KARYA medan 2009/2010	3
2.4.1.2	the differences of problem based learning and direct instruction	17
2.5	Communication bahavior both verbal and nonverbal as message in components of communicative events	26
3.1	The 2 x 2 Factorial Design of the Study	32
3.7	Design of the study	40
4.1.1	The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based Learning	43
4.1.2	The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction	44
4.1.3	The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based Learning for those who have higher communicative competence	45
4.1.4	The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based Learning for those who have lower communicative competence	46
4.1.5	The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction for those who have higher communicative competence	47

4.1.6 The distribution frequency for students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction for those who have lower communicative competence.....48

4.1.7 The distribution frequency for Students' Achievement in Writing for those who have High communicative competence.....49

4.1.8 The distribution frequency for Students' Achievement in Writing for those who have low communicative competence.....50

4.2.1 The result of testing data normality (Liliefors test).....52

4.2.2.1 The testing Result of Homogenity variance by using F test.....53

4.2.2.2 The Calculation of Homogenity (Barlett test).....53

4.3.1 The main data of the research.....54

4.3.1 The whole result of anova to the students' achievement in writing.....54

4.3.1.3 The result of Tuckey test calculation.....57

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based learning	44
2	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction	45
3	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based learning for those who have higher communicative competence	46
4	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Problem Based learning for those who have lower communicative competence	47
5	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction for those who have higher communicative competence	48
6	The histogram of students' achievement in writing taught by using Direct Instruction for those who have lower communicative competence	49
7	The histogram of The Students' Achievement in Writing for those who have High communicative competence	50
8	The histogram of The Students' Achievement in Writing for those who have low communicative competence	51

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX **Page**

A Writing Test.....76
B the data of students' achievement in writing and communicative competence taught by using problem based learning.....82

the data of students' achievement in writing and communicative competence taught by using Direct instruction.....84
C the main data of the research 86
D the calculation of the basic statistic.....87
E testing the normality of the data by using.....103
F testing the homogenity of the variance of the sample.....113
G factorial design with anova 2 x 2 116

H Tuckey Test 118

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

9 Illustration of interaction between Teaching Methods and Communicative Competence to the students' achievement in writing.....56

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED