

**THE EFFECT OF COMPREHENSION
STRATEGIES AND VOCABULARY MASTERY
ON STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION**

A Thesis Proposal

PROPOSED BY

**ELISABETH J. A. SIMBOLON
082188310016**

**Submitted to the English Applied Linguistics Study Program in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Magister Humaniora**



**ENGLISH APPLIED LINGUISTICS STUDY PROGRAM
POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF MEDAN**

2010

A THESIS

**THE EFFECT OF COMPREHENSION
STRATEGIES AND VOCABULARY MASTERY
ON STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION**


ELISABETH J. A. SIMBOLON
Registration Number 082188310016

**ENGLISH APPLIED LINGUISTICS STUDY PROGRAM
THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF MEDAN**

This Thesis was examined on September 03rd, 2010 by Board of Examiners


Approved by
Adviser Commission

Adviser I



Dr. Sri Minda Murai, M.S
NIP. 19630525 198803 2 016

Adviser II




Prof. Amrin Saragih M.A., Ph.D
NIP. 19550113 198203 1 002

The Head of English Applied
Linguistics Study Program



Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd
NIP : 19590713 198601 1 001

The Director of the
Postgraduate School



Prof. Dr. Belferik Manullang
PNIP : 19471015 197412 1 001

APPROVAL

This thesis was examined on September 3rd 2010 by the Board of Examiners.

Board of Examiners

Dr. Sri Minda Murni, M.S



Prof. Amrin Saragih M.A.,Ph.D




Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd



Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, M.Pd



Dr. Didik Santoso, M. Pd



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


The writer would like to express her thanks to God Almighty because He blesses the writer to begin the research until finish this thesis. And the writer would like to pass her special thanks to Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning,MPd. as the head of English Applied Linguistics Study Program in UNIMED. The writer would also like to express her deep gratitude to her first adviser Dr. Sri Minda Murni, M.S and her second adviser Prof. Amrin Saragih M.A.,Ph.D because they have given a lot of advices, guiding, revising, correcting and suggestions to the concept of this thesis and to Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, MPd as the secretary of this department because of her patient in giving spirit and administration guidance. May God bless them.

The writer also would like to thank to all lectures, who have given valuable knowledge during her study in of English Applied Linguistics Study Program Postgraduate School in UNIMED. The great thanks also say to the headmaster and teachers of senior high school of SMA ST. Thomas 2 Medan. The last thanks are to all my friends in LTBI who gave their supports. Finally, the writer also says the great thanks to her beloved husband Drs. J.H. Panggabean MSi., and her children Mauritz, Harddian and Xandro for their patience and praying to let her continue her study until she finishes this thesis.

The writer must admit that the content of this thesis is still far from being perfect, she warmly welcomes to the critics and constructive ideas that will improve the quality of the thesis. The writer do hopes this thesis would be useful for those who read it, especially majoring in English.

Medan, August 2010

The writer,



Elisabeth J.A.Simbolon

Registration Number 082188310016



TABLE OF CONTENTS


	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	iii
LIST OF CONTENT.....	iv
LIST OF TABLE.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURE.....	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 The Problem of the Study.....	4
1.3 The Objectives of the Study.....	5
1.4 The Scope of the Study.....	5
1.5 The Significance of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	7
2.1 Theoretical Framework.....	7
2.2 Students' Reading Comprehension.....	7
2.3 Comprehension Strategies.....	11
2.3.1 Text-Based Strategy.....	14
2.3.2 Text Structure-Based Strategy.....	16
2.4 Genre.....	18
2.5 Vocabulary Mastery.....	21

2.6 Conceptual Framework	24
2.6.1 The Differences of Students' Reading Comprehension are Taught by Text Structure-Based and Text-Based Strategies	24
2.6.2 The Differences Students' Reading Improvement between High and Low Vocabulary Mastery on Students' Reading Comprehension	24
2.6.3 Interaction between Comprehension Strategies and Vocabulary Mastery	25
2.7 Hypotheses of the research.....	27
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHOD.....	28
3.1 Research Design.....	28
3.2 Population and Sample.....	29
3.2.1 Population	29
3.2.2 Sample.....	30
3.3 Variable	30
3.4 The Instrument of Collecting Data.....	31
3.5 Validity and Reliability.....	35
3.5.1 Validity	35
3.5.2 Reliability.....	37
3.6 Research Procedure.....	38
3.6.1 Try Out.....	38

	3.6.2 Vocabulary Test	39
	3.6.3 Treatment	39
	3.6.4 Post Test.....	39
	3.7. The Technique of Analyzing Data	40
	3.8. Statistical Hypothesis.....	40
CHAPTER IV	DATA ANALYSIS	42
	4.1 Data Description.....	42
	4.1.1 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught By Using Text Structure-Based Strategy	42
	4.1.2 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text-Based Strategy	43
	4.1.3 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text Structure Based Strategy for those who have high vocabulary mastery	45
	4.1.4 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text Structure Based Strategy for those who have low vocabulary mastery	46
	4.1.5 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text Based Strategy for those Who have high vocabulary mastery.....	48

4.1.6 The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text Based Strategy for those Who have high vocabulary mastery.....	49
4.1.7 The Students' Reading Comprehension for those who have high vocabulary mastery	51
4.1.8 The Students' Reading Comprehension for those who have low vocabulary mastery	52
4.2 Testing of the Characteristic of Analysis	53
4.2.1 The Result of Data Normality	54
4.2.2 The Testing of Result Homogeneity Variance of the Sample.....	55
4.2.3 The Calculation of Homogeneity (Barlett Test)	56
4.3 Testing of Hypotheses.....	56
4.3.1 The Main Data of the Research.....	57
4.3.2 The Whole Result of ANOVA	57
4.3.3 The Result of Tuckey Test Calculation	59
4.4 The Discussion of the Result Findings of the Research	61
4.4.1The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Text Structure Based Strategy is higher than Taught by Using Text	

Based Strategy.....	61
4.4.2 The Students' Reading Comprehension that have High Vocabulary Mastery is higher than that Have Low Vocabulary Mastery	62
4.4.3. The Interaction between Comprehensions Strategies.....	62
4.5. Limitation of the Research	63
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	64
5.1. Conclusions.....	64
5.2 Suggestion.....	65
REFERENCES	66
Appendices	68



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Students' Reading Comprehension Average Score	3
Table 3.1 Factorial Research Design	29
Table 3.2 Vocabulary Test Items	32
Table 3.3 Identification of Vocabulary Mastery.....	33
Table 3.4 Reading Comprehension Test Items	35
Table 3.5 Design of the Research	40
Table 4.1.1 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension Taught by text structure based strategy	42
Table 4.1.2 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension Taught by text based strategy	43
Table 4.1.3 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension Taught by text structure based strategy for those who have high Vocabulary mastery	45

Table 4.1.4 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension

Taught by text structure based strategy for those who have low Vocabulary mastery	47
--	----

Table 4.1.5 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension

Taught by text based strategy for those who have high Vocabulary mastery	48
---	----

Table 4.1.6 The distribution frequency for students' reading comprehension

Taught by text based strategy for those who have low Vocabulary mastery	50
--	----

Table 4.1.7 The distribution frequency for the students' reading

Comprehension who have high vocabulary mastery	51
--	----

Table 4.1.8 The distribution frequency for the students' reading

Comprehension who have low vocabulary mastery	53
---	----

Table 4.2.1 The result of testing data normality (Lilifors test)

54

Table 4.2.2 The testing of result Homogeneity Variances by using F test	55
Table 4.2.3 The calculation of Homogeneity (Barlett test)	56
Table 4.3.1 The main data of the research	57
Table 4.3.2 The whole result of ANOVA to the students' reading comprehension	57
Table 4.3.3 The result of Tuckey test calculation	59



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text structure based strategy	43
2	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text based strategy	44
3	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text structure based strategy for those who have high vocabulary	46
4	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text based strategy for those who have low vocabulary.....	47
5	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text based strategy for those who have high vocabulary	48
6	The histogram of students' reading comprehension taught by using Text based strategy for those who have low vocabulary.....	50
7	The histogram of the students' reading comprehension for those who Have high vocabulary mastery	52
8	The histogram of the students' reading comprehension for those who Have low vocabulary mastery	53

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

A. The Vocabulary Test.....	68
B. The Reading Comprehension Test.....	75
C. The Key of Vocal and Comprehension Test.....	92
D. Procedure of The Treatment.....	93
E. The Classification of Vocabulary Mastery.....	94
F. The Score of Reading Comprehension in Text Structure Based Strategy.....	95
G. The Score of Reading Comprehension in Text Based Strategy.....	96
H. The Validity, Reliability, Difficult Index and Discrimination Index.....	97
I. The Main Data of The Research.....	104
J. The Calculation of The Basic Statistic.....	105

