THE EFFECT OF INSTRUCTIONAL METHOD APPLICATIONS AND GRAMMATICAL COMPETENCE ON THE STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING RECOUNT TEXT

A Thesis

by

HASYIM PAHATIEN SIREGAR
Registration Number: 07218/330014

Submitted to the English Applied Linguistics Study Program in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Magister Humaniora

ENGLISH APPLIED LINGUISTICS [illegible] M
POSTGRADUATE [illegible]
STATE UNIVERSITY [illegible]

A THESIS

THE EFFECT OF INSTRUCTIONAL LEARNING METHODS AND GRAMMATICAL COMPETENCE ON THE STUDENTS' ACHIVEMENT IN WRITING RECOUNT TEXT

HASYMI PRIHATIEN SIREGAR
Registration Number : 072188330014

ENGLISH APLIED LINGUISTICS STUDY PROGRAM
GRADUATE SCHOOL
STATE UNIVERSITY OF MEDAN

This Thesis Has been Defended before Thesis Examination Committee on 11th February 2010

Approved by

Adviser Commissions

Advisor I	Advisor II
Prof. Amrin Saragih, MA. PhD	**Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M. Pd**
Nip. 19550113 198203 1002	**NIP. 19590713 198601 1001**

The Head of English Applied Linguistics Study Program	The Director of the Graduate School, State University of Medan
Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M. Pd	**Prof. Dr. Berlerik Manullang**
NIP: 19590713 198601 1001	**Nip. 19471015 197412 1001**

Approval of Board Thesis Examiners of Magister Humaniora

Prof. Amrin Saragih, MA. PhD _____

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd _____

Prof. Tina Mariany A, MA., PhD _____

Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, M.Pd _____

Dr. Didik Santoso, M.Pd. _____

Advisers' Statements

I certify that I have read this thesis that; in my opinion it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a Thesis for the Degree of Magister Humaniora

Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A, Ph.D

First Adviser

I certify that I have read this thesis that; in my opinion it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a Thesis for the Degree of Magister Humaniora

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd

Second Adviser

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer's endless gratitude is primarily expressed to Allah SWT for His forever Blessings and Mercy that has enabled him to finish writing this piece of academic work. In the process of writing this thesis, the writer has to confess that many people have given him the care, attention and bright ideas. In this connection, he would like to express his very special gratitude to Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A., Ph.D. being his First Adviser and Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd, being his Second Adviser, his excellent advisers, their understanding efforts in sharing their valuable time especially for comments, advice, correcting, and suggestions.

He would like to thank his whole-hearted gratitude to the reviewers and examiners, Prof. Tina Mariany Arifin, M.A., Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, M.Pd, Dr. Didik Santoso, M.Pd, and for their valuable inputs for completion of this thesis. He also wishes to express thanks to all lecturers who have given him the valuable knowledge and science during her study at the English Applied Linguistics Study Program of Postgraduate School, State University of Medan.

Then, he would also like to express his sincere appreciation and love to his parents, his daughter, his lovely wife, his sisters, and his brothers, especially her beloved mother, Hj. Syahrayani Rambe S.Pd, and his beloved father Ali Rachman Siregar who always shows their power to give his motivation and pray never endless to finish the study. Next, he also thanks especially his great lovely daughter Putri Mumtazah Siregar and and Lovely Wife Endang Silalahi, S.Pd who has given

their love, support, advice, and sense of humor and great motivation has helped him more than he can knows. He also thanks to his Sister Hafni Primadona Siregar and Mulkan Darajat Silaen, S.Pd.I, a Couple of wife and Husband that has given him some power to finish his work. Next, he would like to thank his honorable parents in law H. Efendi Silalahi and Hj. Farida Hanum, his brother in law Ir. Yushardi Silalahi, Zul, Ir. Nursan Siagian, Serka M. Yasir and Azhar Se have supported him.

Then thank to Akmal and his Family, Mas Latif and Pesantren Al-Kaustar Al-Akbar, Fauziatul Halim, Ahmad Kusnin, Muhammad Reza, Solehuddin, and many else who have given him spirit, motivation and encouragement in writing his thesis as soon as possible. Next, he would like to thank Hamdani, Mahyuddin, Yolferi, and all my slankers who have supported him. And special thanks to Head of University of UNIVA Labuhanbatu Drs. H. Bukhari Is, MM and His wife Dra. Hj. Suryati, M.Pd, My Dean H. Hayatsyah Amarka, M.Pd and my friend M. Zen Ajrai, S.Pd.I and Ari Kurniawan for all their supports,

He also thanks the headmaster of MTs Teladan Guppi Rantauprapat, Drs. H. Dahlan Hsb, who permits him to conduct the research in the school; Hambali Ritonga, S.Pd.I as professional teachers who help him in conducting the treatment in her research. And those teachers and students of MTs Teladan Guppi Rantauprapat which being as M.TsN 2 Rantauprapat who gave supports to this study should deserve her sincere gratitude for their collaborative attitude and work during the research.

Finally, the writer must admit that the content of this thesis is still far from being perfect, he warmly welcomes any constructive ideas and critics that will improve the quality of the thesis. He also hopes this thesis would be useful for those who read it, especially majoring in English.

Medan,

The writer,

Hasymi Prihatien Siregar
Registration Number 072188330014

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

LIST OF TABLES

Table **Page**

2.5 The Differences between Collaborative Teaching Learning and Conventional Method 30

3.1 The circumstances of student class VIII Junior High School.....40

3.3 The experimental factorial design.....41

3.4 Grating Research Instrument.....47

3.5 Treatment of grammatical competence.....48

3.6 The difficulty index criteria.....50

3.7 Criteria for Determining Power differentiator.....51

4.1.1 Frequency Distribution of Students Achievement in writing Taught By Collaborative Method 55

4.1.2 Frequency Distribution on The Students Achievement in writing which Taught by Conventional Method.....55

4.1.3 Frequency Distribution of Students Achievement in writing which has The High Grammatical Competence.....59

4.1.4 Frequency Distribution of Students Achievement in writing which Has The Low Gramatical Competence.....60

4.1.5 The Frequency Distribution Students Achievement in Writing Recount by Using Collaborative Learning Method which has The High Grammatical Competence.....61

4.1.6 Frequency distribution of Students achievement in writing recount by using collaborative learning method which has the low grammatical competence.....63

4.1.7 Frequency distribution of Students Achievement in Writing recount by Conventional method which has the High Grammatical Competence.....64

4.1.8 Frequency Distribution of Students achievement in writing recount by conventional method which has the low grammatical competence 65

4.1.9 Data Result of Normality test (Liliefors Test) 66

4.2.1 Summary of Homogeneity Variance Test between Collaborative and Conventional sample group using F test at 0.05 significance level.....67

4.2.2 Summary of Homogeneity Variance Test between Collaborative and Conventional sample group using F test at 0.05 significance level.....68

4.2.2 Summary The Result of homogeneity test variance in Bartlet Test at Standard significance α 0,05.....68

4.2.2 Summary of homogeneity of variance test calculation Collaborative and Conventional learning method.....68

4.2.2 Summary Calculation of variance homogeneity test of high and low grammatical competence.....69

4.2.2 Summary Results of homogeneity test score on The Students' Achievement in Writing Recount Text.....69

4.2.2 Statistic table of 2 ways Anava.....70

4.2.2 Summary Result Homogeneity Variance inter students groups.....71

4.2.3 Summary of ANOVAs on Students' Achievement in Writing Recount....71

4.2.5 Summary of Test Results Scheffe.....73

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX **Page**

A Writing Test 101

B Instrument Test Grammatical Competence 110

C The Key Answer..... 116

D Lesson Plan..... 117

E The Analysis of Recount Text..... 145

F The Result of Writing Recount Test 157

G The Distribution Of Frequency Research Data.....158

H Calculation Of Basic Statistics..... 162

I Test Normality Data 165

J Test Homogeneity Research Data..... 174

K Calculation Analysis of Variance (ANAVA) Two Line 183

L Scheffe Test 179

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure **Page**

1. Histogram of students achivement in writing taught by using collaborative method.....52
2. Histogram of students achivement in writing taught by using Conventional method.....54
3. Histogram of students achivement in writing which has high grammatical competence.....56
4. Histogram of students achivement in writing which has high grammatical competence.....58
5. Histogram of students achivement in writing recount text which has high grammatical competence taught by using collaborative learning method application.....60
6. Histogram of students achivement in writing recount text which has high grammatical competence taught by using conventional learning method application.....62
7. Histogram of students achivement in writing recount text which has low grammatical competence taught by using conventional learning method application.....64
8. Histogram of students achivement in writing recount text which has low grammatical competence taught by using conventional learning method application.....68
9. Interaction between method and competence on the students' achievement in writing.....70

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Table of Contents ii

List of Tables iv

List of Figures v

List of Appendices vi

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1. Background of the Study.....1

1.2. Identification of the Problem 5

1.3. The Problems of the Study 6

1.4. The Objectives of the Study 7

1.5. Scope and Limitation of the Study 7

1.6. Significance of the Study 8

CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2. Theoretical Framework 9

2.1 The Students' Achievement in Writing 9

2.2 Assessment of Writing Skill 10

2.3 Writing Recount Text.....11

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN UNIMED

2.4 Teaching writing..... 15

2.5 Instructional Methods..... 17

2.5.1.1 Definition of Collaborative Learning Method 17

2.5.1.2 The Principles of Collaborative Learning Method.....18

2.5.1.3 Types of Collaborative Learning 19

2.5.1.4 Design of Collaborative Learning 23

2.5.1.5 Procedure of Collaborative Learning 23

2.5.1.6 Straightness and Weakness of Collaborative Learning Method.....24

2.5.1.6.a.The Straightness of Collaborative Learning Method..24

2.5.1.6.b.The Weakness of Collaborative Learning Method....26

2.5.2 Conventional Learning Method 26

2.5.2.1 Definition of Conventional Learning Method 26

2.5.2.2 The Principles of Conventional Learning Method.....27

2.5.2.3 Types of Conventional Learning 28

2.5.2.4 Designed of Conventional Learning Method 29

2.5.2.5 Procedure of Conventional Learning 31

2.5.2.6 Straightness and weakness of Collaborative Learning Method 32

2.5.2.6.aThe Straightness of Conventional Learning Method...32

2.5.2.6.bThe Weakness of Conventional Learning Method 33

2.5.2.7 The Differences between Collaborative and Conventional Learning Method.....35

2.6. Grammatical Competence 36

2.6.1. Alternative Method of Grammar Instruction 37

2.7. Related Study.....37

2.8. Conceptual Framework.....41

2.8.1. The differences between students' recount writing achievement taught with cooperative learning and those with collaborative one. 41

2.8.2. The differences between students' recount writing achievement which has the high grammatical competence and the low grammatical competence. 43

2.8.3. The interaction between methods and grammatical competence towards on the students' achievement in writing recount text. 44

2.9. Hypothesis.....45

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 Location.....47

3.2 Research Designed.....47

3.3 Method and Research Design 48
3.4 Research Variable and Operational definition
of Research Variable 42
3.4.1 Research Variable 50
3.4.2 Operational Definition of Research Variable 50
3.4.2.1 Collaborative Learning Method.....50
3.4.2.2 Conventional Learning Method.....50
3.4.3 Grammatical Competence.....51
3.4.3.1 Students High Grammatical Competence 51
3.4.3.2 Students Low Grammatical Competence 51
3.4.4 Students' Achievement in Writing.....52
3.5 Procedure and Implementation.....52
3.5.1 Treatment Procedure 52
3.5.2 Treatment Implementation 53
3.5.2.1 Treatment of Collaborative Learning Method..... 53
3.5.2.2 Treatment of Conventional Learning Method.....53
3.5.2.3 Treatment Control.....54
3.5.3 Technique And Instrument Data Collection.....55
3.6 Instrument Test Of Grammatical Competence.....56
3.7 Control Validity.....57
3.7.1 Internal Validity Control 57

3.7.2 External Validity Control 58

3.8 Test Instruments 58

3.9 Technique of Data Analysis.....63

3.10 Statistical Hypothesis.....64

CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Description.....66

4.2 Test Requirements Analysis of Variance.....78

4.3 Research Findings 89

4.4 Research Discussion 89

4.5 Research limitations 93

CHAPTER V Conclusion, Implications, and Suggestions 95

5.1 Conclusions 80

5.2 Implications.....96

5.2 Suggestion.....99

REFERENCES 182

APPENDICES 101

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI MEDAN
UNIMED