

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Pages</b>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	101
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. Background of the study.....	1
1.2. The Problems of the Research .....	8
1.3. The Objective of the Research .....	8
1.4. The Scope of the Research .....	8
1.5. The Significance of the Research.....	9
CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	11
2.1. Theoretical Framework .....	11
2.1.1 Students' Achievement in Writing .....	11
2.1.2 Writing.....	12
2.1.2.1 The Nature of Writing .....	13
2.1.2.2 Genre Writing.....	15
2.1.2.3 Narrative .....	16
2.1.2.4 Writing Assessment.....	17
2.1.3 Teaching Strategy .....	21
2.1.4 Teaching Writing through POWER and 3-2-1 Strategies .....	23

2.1.4.1 POWER Strategy .....	24
2.1.4.2 The Advantage and Disadvantages of POWER Strategy.....	25
2.1.4.3 The Steps of POWER Strategy.....	27
2.1.5 The 3-2-1 Strategy .....	30
2.1.5.1 The Advantage and Disadvantages of 3-2-1 Strategy.....	30
2.5.1.2 The Steps of 3-2-1 Strategy .....	31
2.1.6 Learning Style .....	33
2.1. 6.1 Visual, Aural, Read/write and Kinesthetic (VARK) Styles .....	35
2.2 Related Research .....	38
2.3 Conceptual Framework .....	41
2.3.1 Teaching Narrative by Using POWER Strategy is higher than 3-2-1 Strategy .....	41
2.3.2 The writing achievement of students with Read/Write style is higher than those with Kinesthetic styles.....	43
2.3.3 The Interaction between Teaching Strategy and Learning Styles on the Students' Achievement in Writing .....	44
2.3.4 Hypothesis of the Study .....	46

<b>CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1. Research Method.....	47
3.2. Population and Sample.....	48
3.2.1 Population .....	48
3.2.2 Sample .....	49
3.3. Research Variables .....	49
3.4 Technique for Analyzing the Data .....	50
3.5. Instrument of the Data Collection .....	50
3.4.1 Writing Paragraph Test.....	51
3.4.2 Questionnaires of Learning Style .....	54
3.6 Validity and Reliability of Instrument.....	55
3.6.1 Validity .....	55
3.6.2 Reliability .....	56
3.7 Research Procedure .....	57
3.8 Technique for Analyzing the Data .....	58
3.8.1 The Normality Test.....	59
3.7.2 Homogeneity .....	59
3.7.3 Statistical Hypothesis .....	59
<b>CHAPTER IV. RESULT AND DATA ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1. The Description of Data .....	61
4.1.1 Data Description.....	62
4.2 Data Analysis.....	63
4.2.1 Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by POWER .....	63
4.2.2 Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by 3-2-1 .....	65

4.2.3 The Read/Write Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using POWER and 3-2-1 .....	67
4.2.4 Kinesthetic Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using POWER and 3-2-1 .....	68
4.2.5 Read/Write Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by Using POWER .....	70
4.2.6 Kinesthetic Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by POWER .....	72
4.2.7 Read/Write Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by 3-2-1 .....	74
4.2.8 Kinesthetic Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by 3-2-1 .....	76
4.3 Requirement Testing of Data Analysis .....	77
4.3.1 Homogeneity Testing .....	77
4.3.1.1 Homogeneity Testing between POWER and 3-2-1 ....	78
4.3.1.2 Homogeneity Testing of Read/Write and Kinesthetic Students.....	79
4.3.1.3 Homogeneity Testing of Teaching Strategies and Learning Styles .....	79
4.3.2 Normality Testing .....	80
4.4 Hypothesis Testing .....	81
4.4.1 Hypothesis 1 .....	82
4.4.2 Hypothesis 2.....	83
4.4.3 Hypothesis 3 .....	84

4.5 Discussions .....	86
4.5.1 Students' Writing Who Are Taught by POWER Is Higher than Those Are Taught by Using 3-2-1 .....	86
4.5.2 Read/Write Students' Writing Is Higher than the Kinesthetic Students' Writing Achievement .....	88
4.5.3 Interaction between Teaching Strategies and Learning Styles on Students' Writing Achievement .....	90
<b>CHAPTER V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION.....</b>	<b>94</b>
5.1. Conclusions .....	94
5.2 Implication .....	95
5.3. Suggestions .....	95
REFERENCES.....	97
APPENDIX.....	101



THE  
*Character Building*  
UNIVERSITY