

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

#### 5.1 Conclusions

Having analyzed the data, conclusions are drawn as the following.

1. The Marked Theme is dominantly used because of the use of colloquialism or spoken language. Specifically, the text is spoken mode rather than written one. It should be borne in mind that the medium is written.
2. The use of spoken language is contextually motivated with three reasons. They are: real life situation, English is a foreign language and news source and objectivity
3. The Unmarked Theme is dominantly used when starting a new paragraph.
4. Simple Theme is always used in Unmarked Theme while Multiple Theme is always used in Marked Theme.
5. Thematic development type 5 is the most dominant thematic development used in this study, it means that the Theme is automatically appears as the signpost to the Rheme provided that the text only consists of one clause but complex.

## 5.2 Suggestions

In relation to the conclusions, suggestions are staged as the following

1. The findings of this research can be used to fulfill a better understanding for the readers of the *Jakarta Post* editorial.
2. It is suggested for other researchers to make a detail analysis of the textual function in newspaper editorials.
3. Language in newspaper editorial is greatly influenced by the mission or voice of the newspaper itself, so, it is suggested for the editorial writer to propose a balance argumentation to a certain social issue.
4. The newspaper editorials should use a simple Thematic development in order to avoid misunderstanding between the editorial writers and its readers.
5. It is suggested to write the "Editorial" on the top of the editorial's headline as the identification of the editorial in order it will be easier for the reader to find its editorial.

## REFERENCES

- Ary, D., Lucy, C. J., R., Holt, Rinehart and Winston. 1979. *Introduction to Research in Education*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Bell Allan and Peter G. 1998. *Media Discourse*. Massachussets : Blackwell Publishers.
- Bloor, T & Bloor, M. 1995. *The Functional Analysis of English*. LonEdward Arnold
- Butt, D. & Rhondda, F. & Sue, S. & Colin, Y. 1995. *Using Functional Grammar*. Sydney : Macquirie University.
- Cook, G. 1989. *Discourse*. Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Fillmore, C.J. 1977. *Topics in Lexical Semantics*. Bloomington : Indiana University Press
- Gee, J. Paul. 1999. *An Introduction to Discourse Analysis. Theory and Method*. London : Routledge.
- Gerot, L., Wignell, P. 1994. *Making Sense of Functional Grammar*. Sydney : Gerd Stabler.
- Halliday, M.A.K. 1994. *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*, London; Edward Arnold.
- . 1985. *Language as a Social Semioticss*. London; Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Hasan, R. 1985. *Language , Context and Text: aspect of language in a social semiotics perspectives*. Geelong : Deakin University Press.
- Iedema. R. 1995. *Media Literacy Report*. Sydney : Metropolitan East Disadvantaged schools program.
- Martin, J.R. 1992. *English Text System and Structure*. Sydney : John Benjamin Publishing Company
- Martin, J.R. & Mattheinsen, C & Painter, C. 1997. *Working with Functional Grammar*. Great Britain : Edward Arnold.

-----1997. *Deploying Functional Grammar*: Sydney : Sydney University Press.

Mattheinsen, C. 1992. *Lexicogrammatical Cartography : English Systems*. Sydney : University of Sydney.

Saragih, A. 1999. *Deploying Functional Grammar*. Medan : FPBS IKIP Neg. Medan.

Sudaryanto. 1988. *Metode Linguistik*. Yogyakarta :Duta Wacana Unibversity Press.

Tomlin. Russel. S. 1987. *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse*. Amsterdam : Benjamin Publishing Company

Wetherell M., Stephanie T., and Simon. J.Y. 2001. *Discourse Theory and Practices a Reader*. London : SAGE Publications.



