

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Pages</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Identification of the Study.....	7
1.3 The Problems of the Study .....	8
1.4 The Objectives of the Study .....	8
1.5 The Scope of the Study .....	9
1.6 The Significance of the Study .....	10
<b>CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1 Theoretical Framework .....	11
2.1.1 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension .....	11
2.1.2 Reading Comprehension .....	13
2.1.2.1 Factors Affecting Reading Comprehension .....	15
2.1.2.2 Levels of Reading Comprehension .....	16
2.1.2.2.1 Literal Comprehension.....	17
2.1.2.2.2 Inferential Comprehension.....	17
2.1.2.2.3 Critical Comprehension .....	18
2.1.2.3 Genre .....	19
2.1.2.4 The Assessment of Reading Comprehension.....	21
2.1.3 Reading Comprehension Strategy.....	23
2.1.4 Teaching Strategies .....	25
2.1.4.1 Metacognitive Strategy .....	26
2.1.4.1.1 The Nature of Metacognitive Strategy ....	26
2.1.4.1.2 The Procedures of Metacognitive Strategy.....	28
2.1.4.1.3 The Advantages of Metacognitive Strategy.....	33
2.1.4.1.4 The Disadvantages of Metacognitive Strategy.....	34
2.1.4.2 Question Answer Relationship Strategy .....	34
2.1.4.2.1 The Nature of Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	34
2.1.4.2.2 The Procedures of Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	37
2.1.4.2.3 The Advantage of Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	37

2.1.4.2.4 The Disadvantages of Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	38
2.1.5 Motivation.....	39
2.1.5.1 Students' Motivation.....	39
2.1.5.2 Low and High Students' Motivation.....	41
2.1.5.3 The Factors Influencing of Students' Motivation..	42
2.1.5.4 Motivation and Reading Comprehension.....	42
2.1.5.5 Assessment of Students' Motivation.....	44
2.2 Relevant Studies.....	44
2.3 Conceptual Framework.....	48
2.3.1 The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Metacognitive Strategy and Taught by Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	48
2.3.2 The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation and Low Motivation.....	50
2.3.3 The Interaction between Teaching Strategies and Motivation on the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension.....	52
2.4 Hypotheses.....	54
<b>CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1 Research Design.....	55
3.2 Population and Sample.....	56
3.2.1 Population.....	56
3.2.2 Sample.....	56
3.3 Treatment and Implementation.....	57
3.4 Procedure of Treatment.....	57
3.5 Control of Treatment.....	59
3.6 The Instrument of Data Collection.....	61
3.6.1 Questionnaire of Students' Motivation.....	61
3.6.2 Reading Comprehension Test.....	62
3.7 Validity.....	64
3.7.1 Motivation Questionnaire.....	65
3.7.2 Reading Comprehension Test.....	66
3.8 Reliability.....	65
3.8.1 Motivation Questionnaire.....	65
3.8.2 Reading Comprehension Test.....	66
3.9 The Technique of Analyzing Data.....	68
3.10 Stastical Hypotheses.....	69
<b>CHAPTER IV. DATA, DATA ANALYSIS, FINDING AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>70</b>
4.1 Data.....	70
4.1.1 Pre-Test Data and Post Test Data.....	70
4.1.2 The Data Description.....	70

4.2 Data Analysis .....	72
4.2.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using Metacognitive Strategy and Question Answer Relationship Strategy.....	72
4.2.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High and Low Motivation.....	75
4.2.3 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation and Low Motivation Taught by using Metacognitive Strategy .....	77
4.2.4 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation and Low Motivation Taught by using Question Answer Relationship Strategy .....	80
4.3 Requirements of Data Analysis.....	83
4.3.1 Normality Test .....	83
4.3.2 Homogeneity Test.....	84
4.3.2.1 Groups of Reading Strategies Homogeneity .....	84
4.3.2.2 Groups of Students' Motivation .....	85
4.3.2.3 Groups of Interaction .....	85
4.3.2.4 The Result of Homogeneity Variance among Groups	86
4.4 Finding .....	86
4.4.1 Hypothesis Testing.....	86
4.4.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Metacognitive Strategy is Higher than Taught by Using Question answer relationship strategy.....	86
4.4.3 Students' Achievement with High Motivation is Higher than That of the students with Low Motivation in Reading Comprehension .....	89
4.4.4 The Interaction of Reading Strategies and Students' Motivation in Reading Comprehension .....	89
4.5 Discussion .....	90
4.5.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension taught by Using Metacognitive Strategy is Higher than using Question Answer Relationship Strategy .....	90
4.5.2 Students' Achievement with High Motivation is Higher than That of the Students with Low Motivation in Reading Comprehension .....	92
4.5.3 The Interaction of Reading Strategies and Students' Motivation on Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension .....	93
<b>CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION .....</b>	<b>96</b>
5.1 Conclusions .....	96
5.2 Implications.....	96
5.3 Suggestions .....	97
<b>References .....</b>	<b>99</b>