`

# REFERENCES

Ahmadi, I&Abdullah. (2013).The Importance of Metacognitive Reading Strategy Awareness in Reading Comprehension. *English Language Teaching; Vol. 6, No. 10. ISSN 1916-4742 E-ISSN 1916-4750. Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education. http://journals.cluteonline.com/index. php/TLC/article/view/ 4255/4348. Retrieved 8 jan 2017.*

Alexander, J.E. (1988). *Teaching Reading: (3rd Ed.)* Boston: Scott Foresman andCompany.

Anthony , M. 1963. *Approach, Method and Technique*. English language teaching 17: 63-57.

Anderson, J.R. 2000. *Learning and Memory: An Integrated Approach* (2nd ed). New York: John Willey &Sons.

Arikunto, S. 2010. *Prosedur penelitian : Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. (Edisi Revisi). Jakarta : Rineka Cipta.

Ary, D., Jackob L.C. Sorensen C.& Razavieh A. (2010). *Introduction to Researchin Education.* Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Asmin,Mansyur A. (2012), *Pengukuran dan Peniaian Hasil Belajar dengan Analisis Klasik dan Modern,* Medan: Larispa Indonesia.

Baker, L., Brown, A. (2004). Cognitive monitoring in reading. In J. Flood (Ed.), Understanding Reading Comprehension. Newark, DE:*International Reading Association.*

Baker, L., Beall, L. C. (2009). *Metacognitive processes and reading comprehension.* In S. E. Israel & G. G. Duffy (Eds.), Handbook of research on reading comprehension. New York: Routledge.

Batang, L. (2015). Metacognitive Strategy Awareness and Reading Comprehension of Prospective Pre-Service Secondary Teachers.*Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Vol. 3 No. 4, Part V P-ISSN2350-7756 E-ISSN 2350-8442 www.apjmr.com. Retrieved 1 february 2017.*

Bernard, H and Gery W. (2010). *Analyzing Qualitative Data : Systematic Approaches.* Singapore : Sage Publication.

Brassel D. & Rasinsky T. (2008). *Comprehension That Work*. Shell Education.

Brophy, Jere. (1987). *Motivating Students to Learn : an Experiment in Junior High School Students Classes.* Michigen : The Institute for Researh in Teaching Collage and Education.

Brown, H (2003). *Language Assessment: Principle and Classroom.* NewJerseyr Prentice Hall.

Channa, N., Siming &Koondher. (2015).Developing Reading Comprehension through Metacognitive Strategies: A Review of Previous Studies.*English Language Teaching; Vol. 8, No. 8,ISSN 1916-4742 E-ISSN 1916-4750. Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education. Retrieved 5 jan 2017.*

Cohen, A. D. (2014). *Strategies in Learning and Using a Second language.* Routledge.

Collins, N &Smith, C. (2008). *Role of Metacognition in Reading to Learn.* ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 333 386.

Criscoe, B & Gee, T (1984). *Content Reading: Diagnostic/ Prescriptive Approach. Comprehension.* New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.

Cross, D & Paris, S.(1988). *Developmental and Instructional Analyses of Children's Metacognition and Reading Comprehension. J. Educ. Psychol.* 80: 131–142.

Cullen, J. (2013). Children's Ability to Cope with Failure: Implications of a Metacognitive Approach for the Classroom. *Metacognition, Cognition, and Human Performance*, 2, 267-3.

Flavell, J. (1979). Metacognition and Cognitive Monitoring: A New Area of Cognitive-Developmental inquiry.*American Psychologist, 34,* 906-911.

Gerot,L. P. Wignell (1994). *Making Sense of Functional Grammar.* Sydney: Antipodean Educational Enterprise (AEE).

Gregory, R.J. (2000). *Psychological Testing : History, Principles and Applications.* Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Hafner, L. (1974). *Improving Reading in Middle and Secondary Schools.* New York: Macmillen Publishing.

Harmer, J. (2001). *The Practice of English Language Teaching.* Harlow:Longman.

Hutt,G. (1997). *Educational Psychology Interactive: Metacognition.* http://teach.valdosta.edu./whuitt/col/cogsys/metacogn.htlm.

Kagan, K. H. (2013). Impacts Of Reading Metacognitive Strategies And Reading Attitudes On School Success. *International Journal of Academic Research.*

Karami, S and Hashemian, M. (2012). The Relationship Between Metacognitive Strategies and Reading Comprehension in Iranian Female L2 Learners. *International Journal of English Linguistics; Vol. 2, No. 4; 2012 ISSN 1923-869X E-ISSN 1923-8703 Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education. Retrieved 20 january 2017.*

Katims, S.(1997). Improving the Reading Comprehension of Middle School Students in Inclusive Classroom. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, 2: 116-124. Retrieved 27 april 2017.*

Keene, E.K., & Zimmerman, S. (1997). *Mosaic of Thought:Teaching comprehension in a reading workshop*.Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Kleinginna, P. Jr. & Kleinginna, A. (1981). *A Categorized List of Motivation Definitions, with Suggestions for a Consensual Definition.Motivation and Emotion.*

Klingner, J. K., Vaughn, S., and Boardman, A. (2007). *Teaching ReadingComprehension to Students with Learning Difficulties.* New York: GuilfordPress.

Lenz, K. (2005). An Introduction to Reading Comprehension. *Available website:http//www. specialconnections.ku.edu//. Retrieved on 7 january 2017.*

Maasumand, M. (2012). Empowering ESL Readers with Metacognitive Reading Strategies.*Malaysia. Journal Elsevier. Social and Behavioural Science. Retrieved 6 jan 2017.*

Martin, A.J. 2001. The Students' Motivation Scale: Further Testing of an Instrument that Measure School Students' Motivation.*Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 28, 129-137.*

Memis, A and Bozkurt .(2013). The Relationship of Reading Comprehension Success with Metacognitive Awareness, Motivation, and Reading Levels of Fifth Grade Students.*Vol. 8. ISSN 1990-3839 . Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/ER. Retrieved 6 jan 2017.*

Misa, M. (2014). The Use of *Guided Metacognitive Strategy to Improve Students' Reading Comprehension.http://journal.um.ac.id/index.php/jph. Jurnal Pendidikan Humaniora ISSN: 2338-8110 Vol. 2 No. 4. Retrieved 6 jan 2017.*

Meniado, J .(2016).Metacognitive Reading Strategies, Motivation, and Reading Comprehension Performance of Saudi EFL Students.*English Language*

*Teaching; Vol. 9, No. 3. ISSN 1916-4742 E-ISSN 1916-4750. Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education.*

Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English Language Teaching*. New York: McGraw-HillEducation.

O'Malley, J.M. & Chamot, A. U. (1990). *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Pintrich, P & de Groot, V. (1990). Motivational and Self-regulated Learning Components of Classroom Academic Performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol 82(1), 33-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.82.1.33. Retrieved 17 january 2017.*

Rahmah, N. (2011). *The Effect of Teaching Strategies and Students' Learning Motivation on Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension*. Medan : English Applied Linguistic UNIMED.

Raffini, J.P. (1993). *Winners Without Losers: Structures and Strategies for Increasing Student Motivation to Learn*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Raphael, E. & Au, Kathryn. (2005) "QAR: EnhancingComprehension & Test Taking Across Grades andContent Area.*The Reading Teacher. Vol. 59,No. 3 November 2005.*

Raphael, E. &Pearson. (1985). "Increasing Students'Awareness of Sources of Information for AnsweringQuestions.*".American Educational ResearchJournal. Summer 1985, Vol. 22, No.2, Pp. 217-235. Retrieved 18 januar 2017.*

Raphael, E. & Pearson.(1986)."Teaching Question Answer Relationship,Revisited.*The Reading Teacher 39(6), pp. 516 – 522. Retrieved 18 januar 2017.*

Readence. (2006).*Question-Answer Relationship*. Las Vegas : University of Nevada.

Rizan, M. and Maarof. (2012).Empowering ESL Readers with Metacognitive Reading Strategies. *Procedia Social and Behavioural Science. Journal Elsevier. Retrieved 18 January 2017*.

Roza, Z and Meruyert, K .2016. *Metacognitive strategies as points in teaching readingComprehension. Elsevier Journal. Accessed 1 january 2017.*

Ryan, R. M. Decay, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions and New Directions. *University of Rochester. Available online*

*at: httphttp://mmrg.pbworks.com/f/Ryan,+Deci+00.pdf.Accessed on 16th january 2017).*

Sarwo. (2013). *Analysis on the Students' Problems in Comprehending Narrative Text.* Unpublishes Theses. Teacher Training and Education Faculty. Tanjungpura University. Pontianak

Snow, C. (2002). *Reading for Understanding: Toward an R & DProgramm in Reading. Comprehension.* Pittshburgh : RAND.

Tan SO, Hinson & Brown. (2003). *Educational Psychology, A Practisioner-Research Approach (An Asian Edition).* Singapore : Seng Lee Press.

Wichadee, S. (2011). The Effects of Metacognitive Strategy Instruction on EFL Thai Students' Reading Comprehension Ability.*Journal of College Teaching& Learning, Vol 8, No 5, (http://journals.cluteonline.com/index.php/TLC/article/view/4255/4348. Retrieved 8 jan 2017.*

Westwood, P. (2008). *What Teachers Need to Know about Teaching Methods.* Camberwell, Vic. : ACER Press.

Wlodkowski, R.J.; Jaynes, J.H. (1990). *Eager to Learn.* SanFrancisco, CA, Jossey Bass Publishers.

Yerkes, R., & Dodson, J. (1980). The *Relation of Strength of Stimulus to Rapidity of Habit-Formation.Journal of Communicative Neurology and Psychology, 18, 459-482.* Retrieved 20 january 2017.

Zenzen.(2002).*Achievement Motivations.* A Research Paper: Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master of Science Degree University of Wisconsin-Stout. *Available online at: httphttp://twstout/adu/lib.thesis/2002/2002zenzen.pdf (Accessed on 14th january 2017).*

Zhang, L and Sirinthorn. (2013). Metacognitive Strategy Use and Academic Reading Achievement: Insights from a Chinese Context. *Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 54–69. http://e-flt.nus.edu.sg/. Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching. Retrieved 6 jan 2017.*

Zhussupova, R and Kazbekova, M. (2016). Metacognitive strategies as points in teaching reading comprehension.*Procedia Social and Behavioural Science. Journal Elsevier. Retrieved 20 january 2017.*