## CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

## 5.1 Conclusions

After analyzing gender differences in expressing politeness in English, it can be concluded that:

- 1) Male and female have some different strategies in expressing politeness for have difference reasons. For male, they always express politeness by giving appreciation of one's wants in general or to the expression of similarity between ego's and one's wants. Therefore, they are dominant to choose positive politeness strategy. While For female, they always express politenesses by saying some reasons to avoid their responsibility. That is why they always choose off record in expressing politeness.
- 2) Male and female show their different strategies in expressing politeness in different ways. For male, they use commissive and expressive as their way to express politeness because they always have a commitment to be more polite by giving promising, offering, thanking, apolozing, and condoling to make their listeners think they have responsibility in expressing politeness. Meanwhile, for female they are dominant used directive, commissive, and expressive as their way to express politeness. It describes that female always express politeness by requesting, offering, and apolozing to attract their listener. It is aimed to protect their face, try to achieve high social status, and to show modeling correct behavior.

## 5.2 Suggestions

Based on the results of the study, the writer presents the following suggestions:

- Students should express politeness strategies when they want to speak to
  other people. Due to the fact there are many students who differ in age,
  culture, religion, etc.
- Parents should give the attention and always express polite language to their children, because they are the real model of good education.
- 3. Teachers in schools should be able to teach their students to use polite language in order to make the politeness become a habitual action.



## REFERENCES

- Bailey, K, 1982. Methods of Social Research. London: Macmillan.
- Basow, S.A and Rubenfeld, K. 2003. Troubles Talk: Effects of Gender and Gender-Typing. Sex Roles: a Journal of Research.
- Borg, W, and M. Gall. 1983. Education Research, New York: Longman.
- Brown, P and Levinson, S. 1978. Universal in Language Usage: Politeness Phenomena. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Cronbach, K.1954. New Generalisations and Explanations in Language and Gender Research. Pp. 185-203, In Language In Society, 28/2
- Ellis, M. 1993. An Introduction to General Linguistics. Oxford : Oxford University.
- Fasold, B. 1990. Women in Speech their Speech Communication. London: Longman
- Gay, L. 1987. Educational Research: Competencies for Analyses and Application. Ohio: Merril.
- Goffman, E. 1971. Interaction Ritual :Essay on Face to Face Behavior. New York: Garden City.
- Hartman, R. 1972. Dictionary of Language and Linguistics. London: Applied Science.
- Hickerson. 1980. Foundation of Sociolinguistics. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania.
- Holmes, J. 1992. An Introduction to Sociolinguistics. London: Longman.
- Janet, F. 1978. Essentially Speaking: Feminism Nature and Difference. London: Routtedge.
- Lakoff, R. 1973. Language and Women's Place. New York: Harper and Row.
- Mackay, S and Horberger, N. 1996. Sociolinguistics and Language Learning. Cambridge: Cambridge University,
- Maclin, H. 1994. Cohesion in English. New York: Longman

- Merry, V. 2000. An Introduction to Language. New York: Harcourt Brace College.
- Martin, J.R., 1992. English Text: System and Structure. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Nasution, B. 1985. Morfologi Bahasa. Jakarta: P dan K
- Richard, Jack et.al.1985. Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics. London: Longman.
- Searle, J. 1969. Speech Acts. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Tannen, D. 1990. You Just Don't Understand: Women's and Men in Conversation. New York: William Morrow.
- Trudgill, P. 1983. Sex, Covert Prestige and Linguistics Change in the Urban.
  London: Longman.
- Yule, G. 1996. The Pragmatics of Politeness in Scientific Articles in Applied Linguistics. New York; Oxford University.
- Wardhaugh, C. 1986. Women In Their Speech Communities. London: Longman.
- Weatherall, A. 2002. Gender, language, Discourse. New York: Routledge.

UN

West, C and Zimmerman, D. 1987. Doing Gender: Gender and Society. 125-151
In Journal of Pragmatics.

