



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 35%

Date: Wednesday, May 23, 2018

Statistics: 1233 words Plagiarized / 3568 Total words

Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

1 THE EFFECT OF LEARNING MATERIALS BASED ON JOYFUL PROBLEM BASED LEARNING TOWARDS STUDENTS MATHEMATICAL UNDERSTANDING ABILITY Ani Minarni, E. Elvis Napitupulu, & Rahmad Husein Corresponding Author: animinarni10@gmail.com Mathematics Department, State University of Medan, Indonesia Abstract The implementation of learning materials developed based on Joyful Problem Based Learning (JPBL) has been carried out from March to July 2016.

The subject of the research is 8 grade students at Public Junior High School (PJHS) 27 Medan, PJHS 1 Percut, and PJHS 7 Pematangsiantar. The data was collected by 5 essay test given at the beginning and at the end of all learning process. Then data was analyzed using t-test Independent. The results showed there was the improvement of the st hemati stng liy at all school included in this research.

Some aspects of MUA in this study include the ability to connect and represent problem in various ways. The achievement of students in these two aspects are quite balanced with a mediocre category. It is recommended to teachers to use this learning materials which is developed based on JPBL in order to get the students ability in MUA.

Keywords: Mathematical understanding, Joyful Learning, Problem-based Learning.

BACKGROUND In the post-industrial era, the demands on the quality of human resources increasingly complex, it being understood that the present era is the era of information and communication technology in which rapid development of information and communication technologies require capable humans in order to get benefit and prosper. One sector that plays an important role in developing human resources is education.

Education is the process of facilitating learning, or the acquisition of knowledge, skills, values, beliefs, and habits. The process of learning through education can be facilitated through mathematics because mathematics is a universal science that underlies the development of modern technology, have an important role in a variety of disciplines and advance the capabilities of human thinking (logical, analytical, systematic, critical and creative) (MoE, 2006).

In fact, when someone is in the process of acquiring the content of mathematics through problem solving, then that person is constantly thinking analytical, systematic, critical, logical and creative. The ability to think can be achieved by students if mathematics is given through Problem-based Learning (PBL). Through PBL students are trained to look for solving the problem.

Actually, problem solving is used as a means to build new mathematical knowledge, typically by building on students' prior understandings. The key word here is the problem. Mathematical problems play role to force students individually or in groups to attain the solution. That problem is designed so that it can generate high order thinking skills (HOTS) as proposed by Resnick (Arends, 2004), that is, tends to be complex, often yields multiple solutions, involves uncertainty, involves self-regulation of the thinking process, imposing meaning, finding structure in apparent disorder, and effortful.

Students will be able to solve mathematical problems if he is able to understand his problems, and understand what must be solved from the problem. Thus, the key mathematical problem-solving ability is the ability in mathematical comprehension (understanding abilities) (Indonesia MoE, 2006). In the NCTM (2000) also noted that the students' skills in understanding allows students be able to solve the problem.

Explicitly mentioned in Indonesian MoE (2006) that the ability of mathematical understanding is the main purpose of learning math at school. Unfortunately, until now the students have not achieved yet that ability, especially the students either at junior or high school. Their abilities in mathematical understanding are still low (TIMSS 2011; PISA 2012; Minarni, 2013).

Marzano & Kendall (2007) stated that the ability of understanding is an ability to integrate information and make a symbol for such knowledge. Integrating is the process of combining new information received to the information already available in the cognitive structure of the students. Example of integrating process is the process of applying knowledge of Pythagorean rule to form algebraic equations.

Symbolization process is to determine the equation of a problem. On the other hand,

with referred to Hiebert & Carpenter (1992), a process of understanding involves mathematical connection ability and representation. Carpenter & Lehrer (1999) suggest that understanding is the process of bringing together small pieces of information that were scattered.

Thus, it can be summarized that if wanted to assess the ability of mathematical understanding of students, teachers can assess how students represent (external representation) what is contained in the structure of cognitive (internal representation) related to the problems faced, then how these students make connections among various representations made. ³ As well as problem solving ability, the ability of mathematical understanding can be developed in the classroom through Problem-based Learning (PBL).

Problems intended to elicit mathematical understanding can be designed by considering the aspects of the mathematical connection and representation. That is, the problem of mathematical understanding should be issued a student's ability to represent and connect. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND A. Mathematical Understanding According to Marzano & Kendall (2007: 21), understanding is one part of the cognitive system, while the relationship between cognitive systems and knowledge can be seen in Figure 1. . Figure 1. Knowledge Domain Knowledge is the key to a person's ability to engage and manage a new task.

By having the necessary knowledge, the child will have a high motivation to engage in tasks (think self-system). Domain knowledge is interrelated and became the object of the action of cognitive, metacognitive, and a system of self (self-system). Domain knowledge consists of: (1) the information domain (declarative knowledge): a glossary of terms, facts, generalizations, principles), (2) mental procedures (knowledge procedural) covering macro- procedure, skills (tactics, algorithms and single rule).

(3) The procedure-Psychomotor domain, built by physical procedures used by someone to negotiate everyday life and to engage in physical activity at work and recreation. The process of understanding includes storing critical features of information in permanent memory. There are two things that were involved in the process of understanding: (1) integrating and (2) symbolizing.

Integrating is a knowledge screening process into the 4 key characteristics, organized as economical as possible and in a general form, technically called macro-structure. The integration process includes mixing the knowledge that had just experienced with the available knowledge stored in the permanent memory of the student. Symbolization is to understand the process by creating a symbolic analogy of the knowledge that is in

the macro-structure; knowledge is processed into two main modes: linguistic and mental images. So the symbolism is the shift of knowledge contained in the macro-structure in the form of symbolic (non-verbal).

For example: 'In a triangle ABC, right-angled at A, the Pythagorean formula is written symbolically as $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. Symbolization process assumes the integration of knowledge accurately. Therefore, in order to create a symbol of knowledge, students need to make their knowledge connected tightly and firmly. Anderson, et al.

(2001: 70-75) stated that a person is called to understand if he can construct meaning from instructional messages that include oral communication, text, and graphics in any form as presented in the classroom, book, or a computer screen. Students understand when they establish a relationship between the knowledge to be obtained (new knowledge/incoming knowledge) with their prior knowledge.

Cognitive processes of understanding covering interpret, give examples, classifying, summarizing, inferring, comparing, and explaining. The framework to understand understanding is based on the representation and connections (Hiebert and Carpenter, 1992). This idea could become a reference to the question of how children learn with understanding and how to teach for understanding.

Attempts to understand how people learn and understand mathematics is largely based on the assumption that knowledge is represented internally, and the internal representation is structured (Hiebert & Carpenter, 1992: 66). According to the authors, to think and express mathematical ideas, we need the capability to disclose it in various ways (external representation in the form of spoken language, written symbols, or physical objects).

According to Inhelder & Piaget (Slavin, 2008), "Developing understanding Involved increasing ability to hold several relationships in mind, permitting further abstraction and anticipation". Hiebert & Carpenter (1992: 69) stated that the network or mental representations awoke slowly when new information is connected to an existing network or a new relationship formed between the information that had been separated from each other.

5 In line with the growing understanding of the network whenever they are increasingly large and increasingly organized. However, an understanding can be limited if only a partial representation of the idea of the actual mental potentially interrelated, interconnected, or if the relationship is weak.

Understanding is increased when the network grows and existing relationships strengthened through the experience and the network structure is more tightly bound. Activities that may elicits mathematical understanding includes building relationships between mathematical topics or between mathematics with other sciences, extend and apply mathematical knowledge in solving problem, let the students give articulation to the new- found knowledge, and make the students as the owner knowledge they found.

B.

Joyful Problem Based Learning Direct teaching could make the students grasp abundant of knowledge but it could not promote problsolviability even understanding ability and transfer knowledge to new situation (Ronis 2008). On the other side, there is learning model, that is Problem Based Learning (PBL), which can be hoped to increase transferring knowledge to new problems, integrating concepts, catering intrinsic learning motivation and skills (Wilson, 1997).

PBL start the learning process by giving problems to the students, and have some other characteristics such as the problems should be designed as ill-structured problems, trigger learning, student-centered, while teacher takes role as tutor, coach, or facilitator, students worked in groups to analyze, investigate, discuss, and propose solution, also improving and encouraging self and group-assessment.

Problems proposed in PBL classroom should be designed properly to give context and meaningful, considering scope, conected to studen students' previous knowledge to motivate and engage students in solving problem (Tan, 2003). Assesment in PBL classroom is made along the learning process run, not only based on paper and pencil test only. It is and is called as a holistic assesment.

The teacher cans help the students by proposed question and probes as a scaffold for the students to solve the problems. But scaffolding must be eliminated gradually so in the end the students become a self-learner and good problem solver. The weaknesses and success story of implementing PBL can be seen in Tan (2003; Ronis, 2008; Napitupulu, 2011).

6 Meanwhile, Joyful Problem-Based Learning means problem-based learning that is designed such that the learning activity is conducted in pleasant environment and convenient situation. Sometimes learning is conducted outside the classroom and using a variety of hands-on manipulatives around the classroom or school yard that is interesting for students; the teacher scaffolds the students with y age of teasers' f expression, body posture and gesture makes the child comfortable).

The objects that exist inside and outside the classroom, as well as the environment around the classroom can be used as devices to increase the interest of students to learn, but the focus remains on efforts to lead the students making a variety of representations for a problem and making connection between facts, mathematical ideas, procedures and concepts so they understand what to be solved in the problem and attain knowledge being studied. RESEARCH QUESTIONS 1.

Whether learning materials developed in order to improve students' mathematical understanding effective? 2. Does the ability of mathematical understanding of students increase significantly? OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH 1. Obtaining effective learning materials developed based on JPBL 2. Increase the ability of students' mathematical understanding through the learning materials developed.

RESEARCH METHODS a. Subject of The Research The subject of the research is the students in public junior high school (PJHS) in the Province of North Sumatera, Indonesia. Three PJHS are chosen randomly. There are 88 students engaged in the research, they are from PJHS 27 Medan, PJHS 1 Percut, and PJHS 7 Pematangsiantar. b. Instrumentation The ability of mathematical understanding in this research is the ability to represent the problems into various external representation and make connection between the problem into mathematical knowledge they have grasped along learning process.

Aspects of 7 mathematical understanding ability in the research follow aspects proposed by Anderson (2001). Five item of essays test are given to the subject of the research. c. Learning Material Learning material consist of Stvithets (Student's BookLesson Plan, Instrumentation, Observation & Interview Guidance.

Content of material instruction consists of System of Linear Equation of Two Variables and Pythagorean Rule. According to Nieveen (2013), intervention in instruction is effective if using the intervention results in desired outcome. The desired results of this research among others the improvement of the students' the and ' tiresponse develarning materials, and the imement of the students' engement in tm dion. c. Implementing Learning Material Learning materials developed based on JPBL implemented on 88 students in three schools.

Learning implementation thoroughly monitored by observers to comply with the syntax of PBL and joyful learning. That is, there are times when teachers take students out of the classroom to experience the real problems associated with SPLDV. Overall, activity in learning process take place like in the following order: At the first meeting, the teacher gives pre-test.

nine meetings used to carry out learning process using the developed learning materials. At the end of implementing developed learning materials, all 88 students take a post-test of MUA (mathematical understanding ability). Five syntax of PBL used in the research including (1) organize the students into small group discussion, (2) orient the students to the problem, motivate them to engage in solving the problem, (3) Assists students in planning and preparing appropriate reports, ppt presentation, and poster, help students share their work with others, (4) help students to reflect on the process of solving problem they used, (5) facilitate students to presenting the result of their work.

Learning materials developed in this study is said to be effective if more than 50% of students received a score of over 70 in test of mathematical understanding ability (MUA); get positive response from the students and the teachers give positive response towards learning materials, and the students engagement in solving problems improved.

8 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Table 1 displays a description of mathematical understanding abilities (MUA) of the students in three schools after the implementation of learning materials developed by JPBL. Table 1. Skor Rata-rata Kemampuan Pemahaman Matematis Siswa Note: Max score = 20 Table 1 shows the difference in average scores of MUA between pretest and posttest.

This represents an increase understanding of mathematical ability of students in three schools. Through a statistical test, this data has a normal distribution properties and homogeneous variance so statistical independent t-test o test is used to analyze the difference between pretest and posttest scores (Table 2). Tests carried out at 95% confidence level.

The test results turned out to be significant for the three schools involved in the study, so it can be concluded that there an provement the MUA the plementi JPBL in the classroom. Table 2. Meaniffce ofhtudts' Mathemadinli School Sig. T Df Sig. (2- tailed)
 Mean Difference Std. Error Difference PJHS 27 Medan .000 8.865 50 .000 5.000 .564 PJHS 1 Percut .000 8,865 50 .000 5.000 .564 PJHS 7 P.Siantar .022 6.574 54 .000 4.357 .663
 Here is the distribution of the interval score MUA. Table 3.

Score Interval of Students MUA Interval PJHS 27 Medan PJHS 1 Percut PJHS 7 Siantar 22
 16 18 7 3 6 5 7 4 N 34 26 28 Note: Max score = 20 Type of test PJHS 27 Medan PJHS 1
 Percut PJHS 7 P.Siantar Average SD Average SD Average SD Pre-test 7,441 2,134 7,153
 1,349 6,75 1,404 Pos-test 12,147 2,720 12,153 2,475 12,071 2,750 9 From Table 3 can be
 seen that more than 60% of the students acquire average score of 70 or more. So, there

is an improvement of mathematical understanding of the students.

It means, learning materials developed based on JPBL was effective to fulfill the desired criteria, it must be more than 60% of the students achieved score 70. But, still further research needed to conduct in order to get higher classical achievement, that is more than 80% of the students get score 70 for MUA test. Hopefully, within the next study the revised learning materials can be implemented in five schools in the city of Medan, Percut Sei Tuan, Tebingtinggi, and Pematangsiantar.

The Student Performance Figure 2 shows an example of MUA test. That problem requires the ability of the students to connect their knowledge to the concept of gradient of a line which is parallel to other line, then represent new line based on gradient information about parallel line. Problem Look at the figure below, lines k and l represent by $y = 2x + 2$ and $y = 2x$ respectively.

If line m is parallel to the line k and l. Determine the line equation of line m. Figure 2 Figure 3 displays the student performance in pre-test of mathematical understanding ability (MUA). 10 Figure 3 The performance of the student in pre-test presented in Figure 3 showed that this student could not do anything instead of writing an equation of gradient and an equation of any line.

This is reasonable, as long as learning process is conventional learning which always start learning with the teacher explaining the material, followed by giving the example problems and their resolution, asking the students do the problems similar to those the described teacher (routine problems), until at the end of the lesson teachers give homework to students.

Learning like this is hard to make the students understand the knowledge they learned, moreover apply that knowledge to complex problems. The finding is in line with Ronis (2008). Figure 4 presented the student performance in post-test of mathematical understanding ability (MUA). 11 Figure 4 The performance of the student in MUA post-test presented in Figure 4 showed that this student understood the concept of parallel gradient, he also fluent in determining a point lies in line m. So, he did not have difficulty in solving this problem, even gave perfect solution.

Overall, after implementing learning materials developed based on JPBL, the students' performans imy (Table 1). This finding support the power of problem based learning which is conducted in joyful environment. Teachers as well as students posive toward g The engain group discussion has improved.

Furthermore, based on observation result, the teachers activity in learning process fulfill JPBL requirement. The weaknesses found in this research including too much time needed to conduct learning activity. Much of the time consume by the activity to encourage the students to be consistently in solving the problem; the teachers need to do a lot of effort to make scaffolding is only given as needed, students must continue to solve the problem diligently in order to be able to build their own knowledge (this part is rather hard to be).

Assessment of student performance also requires a lot of time because it is done holistically. Teachers actually experiencing fatigue. Time was too limited to make the students have fun learning outside the classroom. To overcome the weaknesses in implementing learning materials developed based on JPBL no other way than the dedication of teachers consistently.

By providing this dedicated, teachers can get the excitement that could not be measured with money. Why not, because 12 actually the real teacher is the people who really want their students to master science is taught by him. CONCLUSIONS 1. Learning materials develbased JBis ctito prove students' mathematical understanding ability. 2.

The teachers and the students' response towards learning materials are positive. 3. The weakness of this study include lack of seriousness in working to solve problems of students, the students easily give up and frustrated (there is no perseverance they have), teachers are not skilled in providing scaffolding, therefore they still need training to master the technique of scaffolding. REFERENCES Anderson, L.W.,

et al., (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing. New York: Addison Wesley Longman, Inc. Arends, R.I. (2004). Learning to Teach, 6th Edition. New York: The McGraw-Hill Company, Inc. Carpenter, T.P., dan Lehrer, R., (1999). Teaching and Learning Mathematics with Understanding. In Fennema, E. Dan Romberg, T.A. (eds). Mathematics Classrooms that Promote Understanding. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. Hiebert, J. dan Carpenter, T.P., (1992).

Learning and Teaching with Understanding. Dalam Grouws, Douglas A. (Ed). Handbook of research on mathematics NCTM, (2000). Principle and Standards for School Mathematics. Reston, VA: NCTM. Nieveen. N. (2013). Educational Research. Enchede: Netherlands Institute for Curriculum Development. Ronis, D., L. (2008). Problem-based Learning for Math & Science; Integrating Inquiry and the Internet. California: Corwin Press. Marzano, R.J. dan Kendall, J.S., (2007).

The New Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press. Minarni, A.

(2013). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah terhadap Kemampuan Pemahaman Matematis, Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis, dan Keterampilan Sosial Siswa SMP. Disertasi: Tidak Diterbitkan. MoE of Indonesia (Depdiknas, 2006). Kurikulum 2006: Depdiknas. 13 Napitupulu, E., E. (2011).

Pengaruh Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah terhadap Kemampuan Penalaran, Pemecahan Masalah Matematis, dan Sikap Siswa terhadap Matematika. Disertasi: Tidak Diterbitkan. PISA 2012 Assessment and Analytical Framework: Mathematics, Reading, Science, Problem Solving, and Financial Literacy, OECD Publishing. Slavin, R., E. (2006). Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice. You York: Pearson Education Inc. Tan, O., S. (2003). Problem-based Learning Innovation. Singapore: Thomson Learning.

TIMSS (2011). TIMSS 2011 International Results In Mathematics: Boston: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. Wilson, J.W., et al. (1997). Mathematical Problem Solving [Online]. Available: <http://jwilson.coe.uga.edu> [retrieved 10 Desember 2009].

INTERNET SOURCES:

21% -

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ani_Minarni2/publication/311535129_THE_EFFECT_OF_LEARNING_MATERIALS_BASED_ON_JOYFUL_PROBLEM_BASED_LEARNING_TOWARDS_STUDENTS_MATHEMATICAL_UNDERSTANDING_ABILITY/links/584acfb08aeb989251a2078/THE-EFFECT-OF-LEARNING-MATERIALS-BASED-ON-JOYFUL-PROBLEM-BASED-LEARNING-TOWARDS-STUDENTS-MATHEMATICAL-UNDERSTANDING-ABILITY.pdf

2% - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/E_Elvis_Napitupulu

<1% - <https://bus206.pressbooks.com/back-matter/answers-to-study-questions/>

<1% - https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ani_Minarni2

<1% -

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_and_communication_technologies_for_development

1% - <https://louisecezezo.wordpress.com/2016/10/11/introducing-education/>

<1% - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mathematical_education

<1% - <https://www.wikihow.com/Solve-a-Problem>

<1% -

http://faculty.fortlewis.edu/goldstein_l/ED%20435%20daily%20activities%20and%20homework_files/calculator%20in%20elem%20school.pdf

<1% -

http://drjj.uitm.edu.my/DRJJ/OBE%20FSG%20Dec07/OBEJan2010/LearningTheories-PLT_studyguide-www.astate.edu-dotAsset-192246.pdf

<1% -

<https://www.learningsolutionsmag.com/articles/1214/the-six-proven-steps-for-successful-lms-implementation-part-1-of-2>

<1% - <https://studymoose.com/%ef%bb%bfbiography-of-pythagoras-essay>

<1% -

<http://www.ascd.org/publications/books/103055/chapters/Understanding-Understanding.aspx>

<1% - <https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pangaea>

<1% - <http://www.nyu.edu/classes/keefer/waoe/amins.pdf>

<1% - <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1153605.pdf>

<1% - <http://web.calstatela.edu/faculty/jshindl/cm/Chapter7motivation.htm>

<1% - http://icmseunnes.com/2015/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/17_ME.pdf

<1% - <http://www.ponce.inter.edu/cai/tesis/lmriviera/cap2.htm>

<1% -

<https://campussuite-storage.s3.amazonaws.com/prod/750277/c44a122d-c5f8-11e6-b7b7-2200bd8490f/1195081/6c4c8938-5672-11e7-99ef-124f7febbf4a/file/Superintendents-Report-AttachmentCMathematicsReportandRelatedAttachments.pdf>

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226428574_What_is_effective_mathematics_teaching_A_study_of_teachers_from_Australia_Mainland_China_Hong_Kong_SAR_and_the_United_States

<1% - <https://www.scribd.com/document/312988616/Debor-a-Aaaaaaaaa>

<1% - https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-70996-3_19

<1% - <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/E-learning>

<1% - <http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012143/pdf>

<1% - <https://reg.msu.edu/AcademicPrograms/Text.aspx?Section=112>

<1% - <http://home.ubalt.edu/ntsbarsh/stat-data/Forecast.htm>

<1% -

http://www.ascd.org/publications/books/105124/chapters/Developing_Positive_Teacher-Student_Relations.aspx

<1% -

<https://corbettmaths.files.wordpress.com/2015/03/parallel-perpendicular-answers.pdf>

<1% - <http://www.ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ass/article/download/70496/39432>

<1% -

https://play.google.com/store/books/collection/books_clusters_mrl_rt_6E3DA7B7_21EC62C3_D7509817

<1% -

<https://www.ielts-mentor.com/writing-sample/writing-task-2/1361-ielts-writing-task-2-sample-366-why-do-you-think-teachers-give-homework-to-students>

<1% -

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/matter-personality/201207/stop-running-a>

way-your-family-problems

<1% - <https://www.edutopia.org/inquiry-project-learning-research>

<1% - <https://www.nap.edu/read/9822/chapter/12>

<1% - <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/misunderstoodminds/mathstrats.html>

<1% -

<https://www.theguardian.com/teacher-network/2012/dec/04/outdoor-learning-school-activities>

1% - <http://ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jme/article/view/2816>

<1% - <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1599936.1600008>

<1% - <http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jrme/pages/v3-i1.html>

<1% -

<http://staff.uny.ac.id/sites/default/files/131930136/Mathematics%20Problem%20Solving%20and%20PBL.pdf>

<1% - <http://www.ijese.net/makale/1503>

1% - <http://caritudulindonesia.blogspot.com/2015/03/pendidikan-matematika-3.html>

<1% -

http://www.academia.edu/8720129/P_49_MENUMBUHKAN_SOFT_SKILLS_SISWA_DALAM_PEMBELAJARAN_MATEMATIKA_MELALUI_PEMBELAJARAN_GENERATIF

<1% - <https://www.slideshare.net/anissina/jurnal-pendidikan-matematika>

<1% - <https://jurnal.uns.ac.id/prosbi/article/view/5744>

<1% - https://www.eduhk.hk/apfslt/v17_issue2/zubaidah/page7.htm

<1% -

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trends_in_International_Mathematics_and_Science_Study

<1% - https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-6300-022-2_8

THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY