

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions

Based on the analysis, the conclusions are stated as the following:

1. It was found that male argue by using only three types of arguments, namely; conjunction, conditional and biconditional, while female argued by using five types of arguments, namely; negation, disjunction, conjunction, conditional and biconditional.
2. The different social status is the factor underlying male and female make arguments. Male with higher social status argued by conditional to female and male with lower status, male with equal status argued by conjunction to female and male with equal status, male with lower status argued by conjunction to female and male with higher status. Female with higher social status argued by negation, disjunction and biconditional to male and female with lower status, female with equal status argued by conditional and negation to male and female with equal status, female with lower status argued by conjunction and conditional to male and female with higher status.
3. It indicates that male are wiser and more aware with their social status than females because females are likely more considerate with their interlocutor. Hence, it is obviously seen that females with different social status have the strength in expressing their arguments. It is indicated by the various of types of the arguments they used.

5.2 Suggestions

In relation to the conclusions, suggestions are offered as the following:

1. Based on the finding, this study is focused on social status, it is suggested to further researcher to point out the other factors to get deeper findings, such as culture, age and etc.
2. It is also suggested to further researcher to choose another hot issue or topic to be arguing by female and male participant. So, female and male participants are excited to argue the topic.

