

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusions

Having analyzed the data by applying experiential function analysis , it is concluded that;

- 1) The most dominant process is material process followed by Relational and Mental process, and minor processes which are Verbal, Existential and Behavioral, the most dominant participants are actor and goal, and the most dominant circumstances used in the Donald Trump's speech is spatial and temporal location.
- 2) The transitivity realization of ideational metaphor cover almost all types of processes, including material, mental, relational, and verbal process. The discussion of metaphor nucleus is very essential to determine the pattern of metaphor. The incongruent realization of material process happened due to of the use of inanimate participant by which the actor doesn't have the capacity of human like that can do something physically, experience something, describe and say something.
- 3) Material process is used dominantly in order to express the examples of what the terrorists deeds and stimulate people of America to take for future actions in against terrorism. Mental process is used to persuade the people's intellectual side, not the emotional side. The verbal processes are not only devoted to Trump but also to report a predicative verbal activity performed by others.

Donald Trump report the behavior that girls as the behavior did in their life. Existential process is used to represent the existence of “an ISIS attack” and “the communism” in America. Relational process is applied to describe the situation in some countries before and after the Obama-Clinton Administration took over, the condition of the victims after the brutal attacks done by ISIS, the position of Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton, to reveal the value of countries that share same goals, to criticize Hillary Clinton for her weaknesses when she was Secretary of State. The most dominant participants actor and goal participants show two confronting parties and the use of circumstance location spatial and temporal dominantly aims to show Donald Trump’s point of view is authentic and objective.

5.2 Suggestions

Related to the conclusions above, there are some suggestions;

- 1) The data can be a good sample or references for the representation of the issues of the radical Islamic terrorism world. It is expected to the next researchers to do the research of another speech that related to the topic.
- 2) Since the study focuses on the nature of metaphor realization in political speech, it is quite possible to further study in the other fields of discourse like business, economics, and the likes. However, since this study focuses on the ideational metaphor, there is a great change and challenge to further investigate the nature of the other types of grammatical metaphor (interpersonal grammatical metaphor).

3) The current study has rendered an investigation on the construction of the issue of Radical Islamic Terrorism in Donald Trump's speech. It has been achieved through the analysis of Transitivity processes and participants roles to realize experiential meaning. Therefore; this study can open a window for further studies. A research can be conducted on other discourses of Donald Trump on the same issues to find whether the study gives similar or different outcomes. An examination into the Interpersonal metafunction through the analysis of mood can be a complement for the current study to explore what role Donald Trump selects in the speech situations and what roles he gives to the addressee. The current data can also be employed to analyze the Textual meaning which may depict another value of meaning that is how the messages of speeches organized can be uncovered.