

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I . INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of Study .....	1
1.2 Problems of the Study .....	6
1.3 Objectives of the Study .....	6
1.4 Scope of the Study .....	7
1.5 Significance of the Study .....	7
<b>CHAPTER II . REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	<b>8</b>
2.1 Theoretical Framework .....	8
2.1.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension .....	8
2.1.2 Reading Comprehension .....	9
2.1.2.1 Levels of Reading Comprehension .....	11
2.1.2.2 Process of Reading Comprehension .....	14
2.1.2.3 Factors Influencing Reading Comprehension .....	16
2.1.2.4 The Assessment of Reading Comprehension .....	17
2.1.3 Genre .....	19
2.1.4 Teaching Strategies .....	21
2.1.4.1 Annotation Strategy .....	22
2.1.4.1.1 Annotation Strategy Procedures .....	23
2.1.4.2 Compensation Strategy .....	24
2.1.4.2.1 Compensation Strategy Procedures .....	25
2.1.4.3 The Strength and Weaknesses between Annotation	

Strategy and Compensation Strategy .....	27
2.1.5 Self-Efficacy .....	28
2.1.5.1 Self-Efficacy and Reading Comprehension .....	29
2.2 Relevant Studies .....	30
2.3 Conceptual Framework .....	35
2.3.1 The Differences between the Students Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Annotation Strategy and Taught by Using Compensation Strategy .....	35
2.3.2 The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy and Low Self-Efficacy .....	36
2.3.3 The Interaction Between Teaching Strategies and Self-Efficacy on the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension .....	37
2.4 Hypotheses .....	39
<b>CHAPTER III . SEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1 Research Design.....	41
3.2 Population and Sample .....	42
3.2.1 Population.....	42
3.2.2 Sample .....	43
3.3 Procedures of Treatment .....	43
3.4 Control of Treatment .....	45
3.5 The Instrument of Data Collection .....	48
3.5.1 Self-Efficacy Questionnaire.....	48
3.5.2 Reading Comprehension Test.....	50
3.6 Instrument Validation .....	51
3.6.1 Validity of Self-Efficacy Questionnaire .....	51
3.6.2 Validity of Reading Comprehension Test .....	52
3.7 Reliability.....	52
3.7.1 Reliability of Self-Efficacy Questionnaire .....	52
3.7.2 Reliability of Reading Comprehension Test .....	53

3.8 Techniques of Analyzing Data .....	54
3.9 Statistical Hypotheses .....	55

**CHAPTER IV . DATA ANALYSIS, HYPOTHESES TESTING AND**

<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1 The Data Analysis .....	57
4.1.1 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Annotation Strategy .....	58
4.1.2 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Compensation Strategy .....	60
4.1.3 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension With High Self-Efficacy .....	61
4.1.4 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension With Low Self-Efficacy .....	63
4.1.5 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy Taught by Using Annotation Strategy .....	64
4.1.6 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Self-Efficacy Taught by Using Annotation Strategy .....	66
4.1.7 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy Taught by using Compensation Strategy .....	67
4.1.8 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Self-Efficacy Taught by Using Compensation Strategy .....	69
4.2 Requirements of Data Analysis .....	71
4.2.1 Normality Test .....	71
4.2.2 Homogeneity Test .....	72
4.2.2.1 Groups of Teaching Strategies and Self-efficacy .....	72
4.2.2.2 Groups of Interaction .....	73
4.3 Hypotheses Testing .....	74
4.3.1 Student's Achievement in Reading Comprehension that was Taught by Using Annotation Strategy is Higher than by using Compensation Strategy .....	74

4.3.2 Students' Achievement in Reading comprehension with high self- efficacy is higher than with low self-efficacy ..	75
4.3.3 Hypotheses 3 ..	
4.4 Discussion ..	79
4.4.1 Students' Achievement in reading Comprehension that was Taught by Using Annotation is higher than by using Compensation ..	79
4.4.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy is higher than with Low Self-Efficacy ..	82
4.4.3 The Interaction between Teaching Strateg and Self-Efficacy on the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension ..	83
4.5 Limitation of the Research ..	86
<b>CHAPTER V . CONCLUSIONS, SUGGESTIONS , AND IMPLICATIONS .</b>	<b>88</b>
5.1 Conclusions ..	88
5.2 Suggestions ..	89
5.3 Implications ..	90
<b>REFERENCES ..</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>APPENDIX ..</b>	<b>95</b>

