

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
ABSTRAK	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Background of the Study	9
1.2. The Problems of the Study.....	20
1.3. The Objectives of the Study.....	20
1.4. The Scope of the Study.....	21
1.5. The Significance of the Study.....	21
 CHAPTER II REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1. Theoretical Description of Research Variables	23
2.1.1. Schematic Structure	23
2.1.1.1. Constituency and Labeling	25
2.1.1.2. Symbols Used to Describe Schematic Structure	29
2.1.2. Linguistic Realization.....	31
2.1.3. Social Context.....	33
2.1.3.1. Context of Situation.....	34
2.1.3.2. Context of Culture	37
2.1.3.3. Context of Ideology	38
2.1.4. Lexicogrammar.....	39
2.1.5. Metafunction.....	40
2.1.5.1. Experiential Function.....	41
2.1.5.2. Interpersonal Function.....	47
2.1.6. Discourse	52
2.1.6.1. Courtroom Discourse.....	54
2.1.6.2. Courtroom Case Examination.....	56
2.1.7. Syari'ah Court.....	57
2.1.7.1. Syari'ah Courtroom Discourse	58
2.1.7.2. Divorce Case Examination in Syari'ah Court.....	59
2.1.7.3. Talaq Divorce and Contested Divorce.....	62
2.1.7.4. Medan Syari'ah Court.....	64
2.2. Relevant Studies	65
2.3. Conceptual Framework.....	84

CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY

3.1. The Method.....	87
3.2. The Source of the Data	87
3.2.1. The Location and Timeline of the Study	88
3.2.2. The Data of the Study	89
3.3. Instrument for Collecting the Data	89
3.3.1. Procedure for Collecting the Data	90
3.3.2. Technique of Collecting the Data	91
3.4. Technique of Analyzing the Data	94

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Description of Results.....	95
4.1.1. Schematic Structure of Divorce Case Examination at Medan Syari'ah Courtroom.....	95
4.1.2. Schematic Structure, Experiential and Interpersonal Functions Realized in the Divorce Case Trials at Medan Syari'ah Courtroom	131
4.1.2.1. Intensity of Each Stage in the Schematic Structures	148
4.1.2.2. Experiential Function's Proportion Elements in Divorce Case Examination....	152
4.1.2.3. Interpersonal Function's Proportion Elements in Divorce Case Examination ..	169
4.1.3. The Reason behind Schematic Structure, Experiential and Interpersonal Functions Linguistically Realized in Divorce Case Examination.....	184
4.2. Discussion	186

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND SUGGESTION

5.1. Conclusions.....	212
5.2. Implications	213
5.3. Suggestions	215

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

