

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agussuryani, Q., Sudarmin, S., & Sumarni, W. (2021). STEM Integrated Ethnoscience-Based Vocational School Science Teaching Materials in Improving Student's HOTS. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 9(2), 185-198.
- Amala, I. A., Sutarto, S., Putra, P. D. A., & Indrawati, I. (2023). Analysis of Scientific Literacy Ability Junior High School Students in Science Learning on Environmental Pollution. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(3), 1001–1005. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i3.1816>
- Ambarawati, D. S. H. E., Muslim, M., & Hernani, H. (2021). Analisis Kemampuan Argumentasi Peserta didik SMP pada Materi Pencemaran Lingkungan. *INKUIRI: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 10(1): 13–17.
- Arikunto, S. (2016). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Arista, K. D., Usma, K., & Suastra, I. W. (2022). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Berbasis Fenomena Berbantuan E-Learning Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis dan Motivasi Belajar Peserta didik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Undiksha*, 12(1), 154–163.
- Astuti, M. (2022). *Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish.
- Atmojo, S. E., Kurniawati, W., & Muhtarom, T. (2019). Science Learning Integrated Ethnoscience to Increase Scientific Literacy and Scientific Character. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1254(1):1–6.
- Budiarmo, A. S., Sutarto, dan Rohmatillah, S. (2020). Analisis Kemampuan Peserta didik dalam Menjelaskan Fenomena IPA di Sekitar Lingkungan. *Webinar Pendidikan Fisika 2020*, 5(1): 27–32.
- Budiyono, A. (2020). Analisis Korelasi Kemampuan Memahami dengan Kemampuan Berargumentasi Siswa melalui Model Pembelajaran Argument Based Science Inquiry. *Phenomenon: Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 10(1), 36– 50. <https://doi.org/10.21580/phen.2020.10.1.4539>
- Devi, N. D. C., Susanti V. H. E., & Indriyanti, N. Y. (2018). Analysis of High School Students' Argumentation Ability in the Topic of Buffer Solution. *JKPK (Jurnal Kimia Dan Pendidikan Kimia)*, 3(3): 152-159.
- Delfita, R., Setiawati, F., Marneli, D., & Putra, A. I. (2021). Relationship Between Scientific Argumentation Skills and Students' Scientific Literacy Skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 11(1):52–58.
- Diniya, D., Ilhami, A., Mahartika, I., Permana P, N. D., & Prakash, O. (2021). Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah Calon Guru IPA melalui Pendekatan MIKiR selama Pandemi Covid-19. *Journal of Natural Science and Integration*, 4(1): 141-148.
- Fadlika, R., Hernawati, D., & Meylani, V. (2022). Kemampuan Argumentasi dan Kemampuan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik Kelas XI MIPA pada Materi Sel. *LENSA (Lentera Sains): Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 12(1): 9–18.

- Faiqoh, N., Khasanah, N., Astuti, L. P., Prayitno, R., & Prayitno, B. A. (2018). Profil Keterampilan Argumentasi Peserta didik Kelas XI MIPA di SMA Batik 1 Surakarta pada Materi Keanekaragaman Hayati. *Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 7(3): 174-182.
- Fakhriyah, F., Masfuah, S., Roysa, M., Rusilowati, A., & Rahayu, E. S. (2017). Student's Scientific literacy in the Aspect of Content Science? *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(1): 81-87.
- Febryana, N.E., Septiana, N., & Rohmadi, M. (2021). Literasi Sains Peserta didik Kelas IX dengan Implementasi Media Pembelajaran Berbasis eXe Learning pada Materi Pewarisan Sifat. *Journal of Biology Education*, 3(1): 60-70.
- Grusche, S. (2019). Phenomenon-based Learning and Model-based Teaching: Do They Match? *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1287(1): 1-10.
- Hake, R.R. (1998). Interactive-Engagement Versus Traditional Methods: A Six Thousand Student Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Course. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(64).
- Handayani., dan Wulandari, F. (2023). Pengaruh Model Problem Based Learning (PBL) Berbasis Etnosains Terhadap Kemampuan Literasi Sains Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 2(2):14-26
- Haug, B. S., & Mork, S. M. (2021). Taking 21st century skills from vision to classroom: What teachers highlight as supportive professional development in the light of new demands from educational reforms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 8(1), 100-105 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103286>
- Haulia, L. S. N., Hartati, S., & Mas'ud, A. (2022). Learning Biology Through the Ethnoscience-PBL Model: Efforts to Improve Students' Scientific Thinking Skills. *Scientiae Educatia*, 11(2): 119-129.
- Hikmawati, F. (2020). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Depok: R.ajawali Press.
- Ichsan, I. Z., Hasanah, R., Ristanto, R. H., Rusdi, R., Cahapay, M. B., Widiyawati, Y., & Rahman, M. M. (2020). Designing an Innovative Assessment of HOTS in the Science Learning for the 21st Century. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pembelajaran IPA*, 6(2), 211. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jppi.v6i2.4765>
- Inabuy, V., Sutia, C., Maryana, O. F. T., Hardanie, B. D., & Lestari, S. H. (2021). *Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam untuk SMP Kelas VII*. Jakarta: Pusat Kurikulum dan Perbukuan Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan dan Perbukuan Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi.
- Indrawati, K. A. D., dan Febrilia, B. R. A. (2019). Pola Argumentasi Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Sistem Persamaan Linear Tiga Variabel (Spltv). *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Matematika*, 5(2), 141. <https://doi.org/10.24853/fbc.5.2.141-154>
- Islakhiyah, K., Sutopo, S., & Yulianti, L. (2018). *Scientific Explanation of Light through Phenomenon-based Learning on Junior High School Student*. 218(ICoMSE 2017): 173-185.
- Kurnia, F., Zulherman, & Fathurohman, A. (2014). Analisis Bahan Ajar Fisika SMA

- Kelas XI di Kecamatan Indralaya Utara Berdasarkan Kategori Literasi Sains. *Jurnal Inovasi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 1(1): 43–47.
- Lestari, I. B., Sudarmin, S., Ellianawati, E., Wiyanto, W., & Sumarni, W. (2021). Review Analysis of Video Blogging, Ethnoscience and Social Media Literacy in the Era of the Industrial Revolution 4.0. *Thabiea : Journal of Natural Science Teaching*, 4(1): 33-49.
- Magdalena, I. (2022). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pembelajaran*. Sukabumi: CV Jejak.
- Mattila, P., & Silander, P. (2015). *How to Create the School of the Future—Revolutionary thinking and design from Finland*. IOulu: University of Oulu.
- Mellyzar, M., Zahara, S. R., dan Alvina, S. (2022). Literasi Sains dalam Pembelajaran Sains Siswa SMP. *Pendekar: Jurnal Pendidikan Berkarakter*, 5(2), 119. <https://doi.org/10.31764/pendekar.v5i2.10097>
- Melyasari, N., Sutoyo, S., & Widodo, W. (2018). Scientific Literacy Skill Of Junior High School Student Using Ethnoscience Based Learning. *Advances in Engineering Research*, 17(1), 125–128. <https://doi.org/10.2991/snk-18.2018.30>
- Miaturohmah, M., & Fadly, W. (2020). Looking At a Portrait of Student Argumentation Skills on the Concept of Inheritance (21St Century Skills Study). *INSECTA: Integrative Science Education and Teaching Activity Journal*, 1(1): 17-33.
- Mukti, H., Suastra, I. W., dan Aryana, I. B. P. (2022). Integrasi Etnosains dalam pembelajaran IPA. *JPGI (Jurnal Penelitian Guru Indonesia)*, 7(2): 356–362.
- Muliasirini, N. K. E. (2020). New Literacy Sebagai Upaya Peningkatan Mutu Pendidikan Sekolah Dasar di Abad 21. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Indonesia*, 4(1), 115–125.
- Naik, R. P. (2019). *Phenomenon-Based Learning in Finland*.
- Ningrum. (2018). Etnosains, Kearifan Lokal, Dan Budaya Dalam Pembelajaran Sains. Semarang: Radar Semarang.
- Nisak, F. N. F., dan Suprpto, N. (2022). Analisis Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah Peserta didik Dengan Penggunaan Media Photovoice Pada Materi Pembiasan Cahaya. *IPF: Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 11(1): 35–45.
- Noviyanti, I. N., Rosyadah Mukti, W., Dahlia Yuliskurniawati, I., Mahanal, S., & Zubaidah, S. (2019). Students' scientific argumentation skills based on differences in academic ability. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1241(1): 1-8.
- Nuryandi, A. (2016). Penerapan Dialogical Argumentation Instructional Model (Daim) Untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman dan Kemampuan Argumentasi Peserta didik SMA pada Materi Listrik Statik. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 15(3): 76-83.
- OECD. (2017). How Does PISA for Development Measure Scientific Literacy? *PISA for Development Brief 10*, 1(February 2014): 1–2.

- OECD. (2019). PISA 2018 Assessment and Analytical Framework. In *OECD Publishing*.
- Oktalia, Y., Susila, A. B., & Iswanto, B. H. (2021). Virtual Test Instruments to Measure Scientific Literacy of High School Students on Work and Energy. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019(1): 1-7.
- Palines, K. M. E., & Cruz, R. A. O. Dela. (2021). Facilitating Factors of Scientific Literacy Skills Development among Junior High School Students. *Lumat*, 9(1): 546–569.
- Poernomo, J. B., Fariyani, Q., & Lestari, W. (2021). Development of HOTS-Based Instruments with a Scientific literacy Approach to Measure Students' High-Level Thinking Ability. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1779(1): 1-6.
- Payadna, I.P.A.A., & Jayantika, I.G.A.N.T. (2018). *Panduan Penelitian Eksprimen beserta Analisis Statistik dengan SPSS*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish.
- Pramitha, K., Indhira, A., dan Admoko, S. (2023). Desain Lembar Kerja pada Materi Pemanasan Global Berbasis Argumentasi Toulmin untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. *Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 12(2), 81–90.
- Pratiwi, S. N., Cari, C., & Aminah, N. S. (2019). Pembelajaran IPA Abad 21 dengan Literasi Sains Siswa. *Jurnal Materi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 9(1), 34–42.
- Priadana, H.M.S., & Sunarsi, D. (2021). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif*. Tangerang: Pascal Books.
- Primastuti, M., dan Atun, S. (2018). Analysis of students' science literacy concerning chemical equilibrium. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2021(October 2018).
- Priyantini, D., Koes-H, S., & Latifah, E. (2021). Online Learning Impact on Students' Scientific Argumentation Ability on Thermodynamics. *Journal Pendidikan Sains*: 9(1): 27-34.
- Probosari, R. M., Ramli, M., Harlita, Indrowati, M., & Sajidan. (2016). Profil Keterampilan Argumentasi Ilmiah Mahapeserta didik Pendidikan Biologi FKIP UNS pada Mata Kuliah Anatomi Tumbuhan. *Bioedukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 8(2), 29-33.
- Probosari, R. M., Widyastuti, F., Sajidan, S., Suranto, S., & Prayitno, B. A. (2019). Improving ScientificAargumentation: Opportunities and Barriers Analysis in Inquiry-based Scientific Reading. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1280(3): 1-7.
- Putra, H. S. A. (2021). Ethnoscience A Bridge to Back to Nature. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 249: 1–9.
- Putri, M. D., & Rusdiana, D. (2017). Identifying Students' Scientific Argumentation Skill at Junior High School 1 Argamakmur North Bengkulu. *International E-Journal of Advances in Education*, 3(9): 278–286.
- Rahayu, R., Peserta didiknto, S., Ramadhanti, C. A., & Subali, B. (2023). Guided Inquiry Learning Model Using Scientific Argumentation Activities to Improve Concept Understanding on Optical and Light. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*

Indonesia, 19(1): 55–64.

- Rukminingsih, Adnan, G., & Latief, M. A. (2020). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Erhaka Utama.
- Sakai, K., Higashinaka, R., Yoshikawa, Y., Ishiguro, H., & Tomita, J. (2020). Hierarchical Argumentation Structure for Persuasive Argumentative Dialogue Generation. *IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems*, E103D(2): 424–434.
- Sampson, V., & Schleigh, S. (2015). *Scientific Argumentation in Biology: 30 Classroom Activities*. Arlington: NSTA Press.
- Sani, R.A. (2022). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Kencana.
- Sanova, A., Afrida, A., Bakar, A., & Yuniarccih, H. (2021). Pendekatan Etnosains melalui Model Problem Based Learning terhadap Kemampuan Literasi Kimia Materi Larutan Penyangga. *Jurnal Zarah*, 9(2), 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.31629/zarah.v9i2.3814>
- Santoso, A. N., Sunarti, T., & Wasis, W. (2023). Effectiveness of Contextual Phenomena-Based Learning to Improve Scientific literacy. *International Journal of Current Educational Research*, 2(1): 17–26.
- Sarini, P., & Selamat, K. (2019). Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Etnosains Bali bagi Calon Guru IPA. *Jurnal Matematika, Sains, dan Pembelajarannya*, 13(1):27–39.
- Saudah, S., Arifuddin, M., & Suyidno, S. (2019). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Fenomena untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep Peserta didik pada Materi Tekanan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 3(2): 41–47.
- Sengul, O. (2019). Linking Scientific Literacy, Scientific Argumentation, and Democratic Citizenship. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 7(4): 1090–1098.
- Sholahuddin, A., Hayati, N., Iriani, R., Saadi, P., & Susilowati, E. (2021). Project-based Learning on Ethnoscience Setting to Improve Students' Scientific Literacy. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2330(March): 1–8.
- Simanjuntak, M. P., Sinaga, L., Hardinata, A., & Simatupang, H. (2020). *Pengembangan Program dalam Pembelajaran*. Medan: Pustaka Media Guru.
- Simanjuntak, M. P., Simatupang, H., Hardinata, A., Manurung, G. A., dan Octavia, S. C. (2023). Literasi Sains dengan Pembelajaran IPA Berbasis Proyek Terintegrasi STEM. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 12(1), 35. <https://doi.org/10.24114/jpf.v12i1.45733>
- Siregar, N., & Pakpahan, R. A. (2020). Kemampuan Argumentasi IPA Peserta didik Melalui Pembelajaran Argumentasi Driven Inquiry (ADI). *LENSA (Lentera Sains): Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 10(2): 94–103.
- Snow, C. E., & Dibner, K. A. (2016). *Scientific literacy: Concepts, Contexts, and Consequences*. Washington : The National Academies Press.
- Suraya, Setiadi, A. E., & Muldayanti, N. D. (2019). Argumentasi Ilmiah dan

- Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis melalui Metode Debat. *Edusains*, 11(2), 233–241.
- Sutrisna, N. (2021). Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik SMA di Kota Sungai Penuh. *Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian*, 1(12): 2683–2694.
- Triyono. (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Ombak.
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The Uses of Argument: Updated Edition*. USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Utomo, Y. S., Ashadi, & Sarwanto. (2019). Argumentation Skills Profile on 8th Grade Students using Toulmin's Argument Pattern on Controversial Topic. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1): 1–9.
- Wijaya., Sutarto, J., & Zulaeha, I. (2021). *Strategi Know-Want to Know-Learned dan Strategi Direct Reading Thinking Activity dalam Pembelajaran Pendidikan Dasar*. Semarang: CV Harian Jateng Network.
- Yasir, M., & Wulandari, A. Y. R. (2020). Teaching Madura Local Content Literacy on Preservice Science Teacher Using Lwis Model. *Jurnal Pena Sains*, 7(2): 103–112.