

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Background of the Study	1
1.2 The Problems of the Study	5
1.3 The Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 The Scope of the Study	6
1.5 The Significance of the Study	7
CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	8
2.1 Theoretical Framework	8
2.1.1 The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension	8
2.1.2 Reading Comprehension	10
2.1.2.1 Factors Underlying Comprehension	11
2.1.2.2 Levels of Reading Comprehension	13
2.1.2.2.1 Literal Comprehension	13
2.1.2.2.2 Inferential Comprehension	13
2.1.2.2.3 Critical Comprehension	14
2.1.2.3 The Assessment of Reading Comprehension	15
2.1.3 Teaching Strategies	16
2.1.3.1 K-W-L Strategy	17
2.1.3.1.1 The Nature of K-W-L Strategy	17
2.1.3.1.2 The Procedures of K-W-L Strategy	19
2.1.3.2 Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	21
2.1.3.1.1 The Nature of Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	21
2.1.3.1.2 The Procedures of Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	23
2.1.4 The Self-Efficacy	26
2.1.4.1 The Sources of Self-Efficacy	26
2.1.4.2 The Effects of Self-Efficacy	27
2.1.4.3 Self-Efficacy Improvement	28
2.1.4.4 Self-Efficacy and Reading Comprehension	30
2.2 Relevant Studies	31
2.3 Conceptual Framework	33

2.3.1	The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension taught by using K-W-L Strategy and taught by using Reciprocal Teaching	33
2.3.2	The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy and Low Self-Efficacy	34
2.3.3	The Interaction between Teaching Strategies and Self-Efficacy on the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension	36
2.4	Hypotheses	38

CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY..... 39


3.1	Research Design	39
3.2	Population and Sample	40
3.2.1	Population	40
3.2.2	Sample	40
3.3	Procedure of Treatment	41
3.4	Control of Treatment	42
3.5	The Instrument of Data Collection	44
3.5.1	Self-Efficacy Questionnaire	44
3.5.2	Reading Comprehension Test	45
3.6	Validity	46
3.6.1	Self-Efficacy Questionnaire	46
3.6.2	Reading Comprehension Test	47
3.7	Reliability	48
3.7.1	Self-Efficacy Questionnaire	48
3.7.2	Reading Comprehension Test	48
3.8	The Technique of Analyzing Data	50
3.9	Statistical Hypotheses	51

CHAPTER IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS..... 52

4.1	The Data Description	52
4.1.1	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using K-W-L Strategy	53
4.1.2	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	54
4.1.3	The Students' Achievement in Reading with High Self-Efficacy	55
4.1.4	The Students' Achievement in Reading with Low Self-Efficacy	57
4.1.5	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy Taught by Using K-W-L Strategy	58

4.1.6	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Self-Efficacy Taught by Using K-W-L Strategy	59
4.1.7	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy Taught by Using Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	61
4.1.8	The Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Self-Efficacy Taught by Using Reciprocal Teaching Strategy	62
4.2	Requirements of Data Analysis	64
4.2.1	Normality Test.....	64
4.2.2	Homogeneity Test	64
4.2.2.1	Groups of Teaching Strategies	65
4.2.2.2	Groups of Self-Efficacy	65
4.2.2.3	Groups of Interaction	66
4.3	Hypotheses Testing	67
4.3.1	The students' achievement in reading comprehension that was taught by using K-W-L strategy is higher than reciprocal teaching strategy.....	68
4.3.2	The students' achievement in reading comprehension with high self-efficacy is higher than low self-efficacy.....	68
4.3.3	Interaction between teaching strategies and self-efficacy on the students' achievement in reading comprehension.....	69
4.4	Discussion.....	72
4.4.1	The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension taught by using K-W-L Strategy and taught by using Reciprocal Teaching.....	72
4.4.2	The Differences between the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Self-Efficacy and Low Self-Efficacy	75
4.4.3	The Interaction between Teaching Strategies and Self-Efficacy on the Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension	75
4.5	Limitation of the Research	77

CHAPTER V. CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS.....	78
5.1 Conclusions	78
5.2 Implications	78
5.3 Suggestions.....	80
REFERENCES	81
APPENDICES.....	84



THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY