



The Analysis of Inequality on Economic Growth in

by Dr. Fitrawaty, S.p., M.si

THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY

Submission date: 07-Oct-2022 10:09AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1918844088

File name: 11._The_Analysis_of_Inequality_on_Economic_Growth_in.pdf (767.63K)

Word count: 5946

Character count: 31355

The Analysis of Inequality on Economic Growth in Indonesia

| Fitrawaty |

9
 Universitas Negeri Medan,
 Indonesia

fitrawaty@unimed.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Development is carried out to improve people's welfare, so that economic growth and an even distribution of income are needed. Rapid economic growth that is not balanced with equal distribution, will lead to regional imbalances. The objectives of this study are to; (1) to analyze the factors causing inequality on economic growth in all provinces in Indonesia; (2) to analyze the largest contributor to development inequality between provinces in Indonesia, (3) to form a model of development inequality and economic growth for each province and Indonesia (4) to generate ideas or ideas for solutions to control development inequality and economic growth in Indonesia. The variables studied are the williamson index, human development index, unemployment and the General Allocation Fund for each province in Indonesia in 2010-2017. The data observed are primary data and secondary data from various related agencies, such as Bank Indonesia, Statistics Indonesia, Ministry of National Development Planning of the Republic of Indonesia and Ministry of Finance Indonesia. Before being analyzed, the data will pass through the classical assumption test stage with the Panel Data Model. The results showed that the employment rate had a negative and significant effect, while General Allocation Fund and HDI had a positive and significant effect on the level of inequality in Indonesia.

KEYWORDS

Williamson Index; unemployment; General Allocation Fund; HDI; panel data model

INTRODUCTION

Since the early days of independence, the Indonesian nation has had great concern for the creation of a just and prosperous society as contained in the fourth paragraph of the preamble to the 1945 Constitution. Development programs implemented so far have always paid great attention to efforts to alleviate poverty because basically development which is carried out aims to improve community welfare. Even so, the problem of poverty until now has been a persistent problem. Actually there have been many poverty alleviation programs carried out by the government, but they have not brought significant changes. The development strategy developed by the Indonesian nation so far is based on high economic growth. The economic growth which is considered high is not followed by an even distribution of income among all groups of society. So that there is a trade-off between growth and equity, hereafter known as inequality (Prawoto, 2009).

One method used to measure the level of regional economic inequality between districts or cities is the Williamson Index. Williamson in (Kuncoro, 2004) examines the relationship between regional disparities with the level of economic development, using economic data

from developed and developing countries. Regional economic disparities became greater and development was concentrated in certain areas. In a more 'mature' stage of economic growth, there is a balance between regions and disparities are significantly reduced.

Various attempts have been made by the government to reduce the level of inequality, but have not yet been fully resolved. Table 1 provides an overview of development inequality and economic growth using the Williamson index and several factors that influence it.

Table 1. Development of Williamson Index, HDI, Unemployment, GRDP and General Allocation Fund of Provinces in Indonesia in 2017

Province	WI	HDI	Unemployment	General Allocation Fund (Rupiah)
Aceh	0,241228	70,6	6,98	1.930.152.204
North Sumatra (Sumatera Utara)	0,356276	70,57	6,005	2.493.484.717
West Sumatera	0,228882	71,24	5,69	1.953.594.421
Bangka Belitung	0,17971	69,99	4,12	969.535.866
Riau Islands	0,276648	74,45	6,8	1.043.954.307
West Java	0,492105	70,69	8,355	2.879.143.808
Central Java	0,456634	70,52	4,36	3.520.364.822
Special Region of Yogyakarta	0,337916	78,89	2,93	1.312.215.989
Banten	0,427188	71,42	8,515	1.043.042.265
Bali	0,188633	74,3	1,38	1.234.481.776
West Nusa Tenggara	0,264798	66,58	3,59	1.416.022.952
East Kalimantan	0,402097	75,12	7,73	642.101.957
North Kalimantan	0,069147	69,84	5,355	1.163.384.773
North Sulawesi	0,347333	71,66	6,65	1.340.353.014
South Sulawesi	0,410733	70,34	5,19	2.266.264.600
South East Sulawesi	0,315817	69,86	3,22	1.493.557.900
Gorontara	0,0584	67,01	3,965	971.731.886
West Sulawesi	0,283176	64,3	3,095	977.903.640
Maluku	0,212898	68,19	8,53	1.465.641.669
Papua	0,864547	59,09	3,79	2.570.118.273

Source: Statistics Indonesia (2018), Ministry of Finance Indonesia (2018)

From Table 1, only Papua Province has a high level of inequality criteria, the provinces that have moderate inequality are; North Sumatra, West Java, Central Java, Banten, East Kalimantan and South Sulawesi, while the provinces with low levels of inequality are; Aceh, West Sumatera, Bangka Belitung, Riau Islands, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Bali, West Nusa Tenggara, North Kalimantan, North Sulawesi, West Sulawesi, Gorontalo and Maluku. Papua and Central Java have the highest levels of inequality, receive relatively high General Allocation Fund compared to other provinces in Indonesia. The description of these two indicators briefly explains that the provision of General Allocation Fund, which is expected to reduce inequality, has not succeeded in reducing inequality.

Inequality in development and economic growth is also influenced by population growth, both in terms of quantity and quality of the population. The quality of an area is

14
56. hly dependent on the quality of human resources (HR). The indicator used to measure the quality of human resources is the Human Development Index (HDI). HDI can also be interpreted as building one's abilities through improving the level of health, knowledge or education and skills. In summary, Ranis and Stewart (2000) define human development as an improvement in one's condition so as to enable a longer life as well as being healthier and more meaningful. According to UNDP (2013), Maipita (2013) Human Development Index (HDI) is a comparative measure of life expectancy, literacy, education and living standards for all countries around the world.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study will observe the level of growth inequality measured by the Williamson index (WI), Unemployment Rate, General Allocation Funds and Human Development Index (HDI) between provinces in Indonesia during 2010 - 2017.

Data collection carried out in this study is the documentation method, namely the collection of data from various related sources, because this study uses secondary data, data is taken from Bank Indonesia, Statistics Indonesia, and other sources related to the research.

The method used by researchers is regression using panel data (pooled data) or what is called the panel data regression model. Considering that panel data is a combination of time series data and cross section data (between individuals / spaces), in the panel data model, the same cross section unit is surveyed over time (Gujarati, 2003) and panel data models can be written as:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}; i = 1, 2, \dots, N; t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

Where :

i = the number of observations

t = time

$i \times t$ = the amount of panel data

In the regression model estimation method using panel data, it can be done through three approaches, including the Pooled Least Square (PLS) method, the Fixed Effect Model (FEM), and the Random Effect Model (REM).

Panel data analysis in this study was used to analyze the impact of population fluctuations, regional minimum wages, rice prices, economic growth rates on the inflation rate of districts and municipalities in North Sumatera. From the variables used, a research model can be formed as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_{it} + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Dimana:

Y_{it} = Level of Inequality (Williamson Index)

X_1 = Unemployment Rate (%)

X_2 = HDI

X_3 = General Allocation Fund (Rp Million)

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ = Regression coefficient

α_{it} = Intercept

ε_{it} = Error

33 Chow Test

The Chow test is a test to compare the Fixed Effect or Common Effect models which is more precise for estimating a panel data (Gujarati, 2003). The hypothesis in the Chow Test is:

H_0 : Common Effect Model (CEM) or Pooled Least Square (PLS)

H_1 : Fixed Effect Model (FEM)

The basis for rejection of the above hypothesis is to compare the F-statistic calculation with the F-table. If the F-statistic is greater than the F-table, then H_0 is rejected, which means that the most appropriate model to use is the Fixed Effect Model. (Widaryono, 2009).

14 Hausman Test

The test to compare the Fixed Effect model with random effect was developed by Hausman (Widaryono, 2007), based on the idea that LSDV in the Fixed Effect method and the GLS method is efficient while the OLS method is inefficient, with the alternative of the OLS method being efficient and GLS inefficient. The null hypothesis (H_0) is that the estimation results of the two are not different.

If the calculated Hausman value is greater than the critical value of the Chi-squares table, the Fixed Effect model is better. Conversely, if the Hausman statistical value is smaller than the critical value, then the random effect model is better (Widaryono, 2009).

7 Lagrange Multiplier Test

The Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test is a test to compare the Random Effect or Common Effect model that is most appropriate to estimate panel data. The Lagrange Multiplier test was developed by Breusch-Pagan. The Breusch-Pagan method for the Random Effect significance test is based on the individual value of the PLS method.

The LM test is based on the chi-squares distribution with the degree of freedom of the number of independent variables. If the LM statistical value is greater than critical value of the chi-squares statistic, then H_0 is rejected, meaning that the appropriate estimation model for panel data regression is the Random Effect model.

Statistical Test Analysis

11 Statistical Test F

The F statistical test is used to test the effect of the dependent variables simultaneously on the dependent variable. This test is based on the null hypothesis (H_0) to be tested, namely whether all the parameters in the model are equal to zero, or $H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$, meaning whether all independent variables are not significant explanations of the variables dependent. And for H_a : at least one of $\alpha_n \neq 0$.

To test these two hypotheses is to compare the F-count value with the F-table value. If the F-count value is greater than the F-table value, the alternative hypothesis is that all independent variables jointly affect the dependent variable.

27 Individual Significance Test (t-Test)

This test is to see the influence of each independent variable on the dependent variable. The t statistical test is basically to show how far the influence of one independent variable is in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. The null hypothesis (H_0) to be tested is whether a parameter (α_1) is equal to zero, or $H_0 : \alpha_1 = 0$, meaning that an independent variable is not a significant explanation for the independent variable. The alternative hypothesis (H_a) that the parameter of a variable is not equal to zero, or $H_a : \alpha_1 \neq 0$, meaning that the variable is significant explanation for the dependent variable.

The way to do the t test is to compare the t-statistic value with the t-table value. While the t test is formulated as follows:

$$t = \frac{r\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$$

Where :

- t = t-count
- r = Correlation coefficient
- n = Number of samples

If the value of the t-statistic is greater than the t-table, then the alternative hypothesis (H_a) is not rejected, which means that an independent variable individually affects the dependent variable, in other words, if H_0 is rejected, it means that there is a significant effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable.

18. Determinant Coefficient Test (R^2)

To measure how far the model's ability to explain the dependent variable is done by calculating the determinant coefficient (R^2). The value of the determinant coefficient is between zero and one, or $0 < R^2 < 1$. According to Gujarati (2003), if $R^2 = 0$, the diversity of Y cannot at all be explained by the diversity of X. Conversely, if $R^2 = 100\%$, the diversity of Y can be explained by diversity X, all observation points are on the regression line.

To compare the two R^2 , the number of independent variables in the model must be taken into account, that is, by considering the alternative coefficient of determination, otherwise known as adjusted R^2 . "Adjusted" here means adjusted to the degrees of freedom.

Classic Assumption Test

Before analyzing data with the Data Panel Model, several tests were carried out on the data. Classical assumption tests are statistical requirements that must be met in multiple linear regression analysis based on Ordinary Least Square (OLS). The classic assumption tests that are often used are multicollinearity test, homoscedasticity test, autocorrelation test. The OLS method will produce an estimator that is Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE) if the model used meets the following assumptions:

1. $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$, untuk setiap I, the mean value of the confounder's error is zero for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$
2. $Cov(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$, $i \neq j$, there is no autocorrelation between confounding errors.
3. $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$, Same variance for all confounding errors (assuming homoscedasticity).
4. $Cov(\epsilon_i, X_j) = Cov(\epsilon_i, X_k) = 0$, there is no correlation between any independent variable X and confounding error ϵ_i .
5. There is no multiple collinearity (multicollinearity) between the independent variables.

Autocorrelation test is defined as the correlation between observation members in Serial correlation or between observation members of various objects or spaces (spatial correlation). Autocorrelation occurs due to economic data slowness factors, specification bias to exclude relevant variables from the model, functional form specification bias, grace period or lag, data manipulation, data transformation, and non-stationarity in the model (Manurung, et al, 2005). The method used to detect autocorrelation is done in four ways, namely the Graph Method, the Run Test, the Durbin-Watson d Test, and the Breusch-Godfrey Test. The method used in this study is the Durbin-Watson d Test. Autoregression or AR, namely: $\epsilon_t = \rho\epsilon_{t-1} + v_t$, obtained from the rho coefficient value as follows:

$$\rho = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^T \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^T \varepsilon_t^2} \text{ or } \rho = 1 - 0.5\delta \text{ so } \delta \approx 2(1 - \rho)$$

$$d = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t-1})^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2}$$

If $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ dan $d \approx 2(1 - \rho)$ then the statistical value limit d is $0 \leq d \leq 4$

If $\rho = 0$ maka $d \approx 2$, means there is no serial correlation.

If $\rho = +1$ maka $d \approx 0$, means there is a perfect positive serial correlation.

If $\rho = -1$ maka $d \approx 4$, means a perfectly negative serial correlation occurs.

Multicollinearity test, is there is a perfect linear relationship between the independent variables of a regression model. (Firdaus, 2011). Multicollinearity occurs because, among others, the data collection method used limits the value of the regressor variables, model constraints on the observed population, model specifications, determines the number of independent variables that is more than the number of observations, and time series data. The multicollinearity in the study is to look at the variance inflating factor (VIF) value, namely:

$$VIF = \frac{1}{1 - r_{12}^2}$$

Where:

r_{12}^2 = correlation coefficient between X_1 and X_2

VIF indicates that the variance is estimated to increase due to the presence of multicollinearity. The coefficient of the regression model is directly proportional to the VIF.

Heteroscedacity test, testing whether the disturbance / error terms that appear in the regression function have the same variance or not. A good model of analysis is if the variance of the disturbance is the same (homoscedastic). The assumption of homoscedasticity from random shocks is the difference or spread or equal scedasticity or equal or homo or equal variance [σ^2]. Symbolically homoscedasticity and heteroscedasticity are respectively written as follows:

$$E[\varepsilon_t^2] = \sigma^2 \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

$$E[\varepsilon_t^2] = \sigma_t^2 \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Forming Models inflation of North Sumatera

Chow Test

Table 2. Chow Test Results

Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.	
Period F	0.768442	(10,29)	0.6572	
Period fixed effects test equation: Dependent Variable: LOG(I?) Method: Panel EGLS (Period weights) Date: 10/05/19 Time: 00:17 Sample: 2007 2017 Included observations: 11 Cross-sections included: 4 Total pool (balanced) observations: 44 Use pre-specified GLS weights				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-11.42864	8.157158	-1.401057	0.1691
LOG(PENDUDUK?)	4.348638	0.966127	4.501104	0.0001
LOG(UMR?)	-5.087282	1.570173	-3.239950	0.0024
LOG(BERAS?)	5.219694	1.033354	5.051218	0.0000
LOG(PE?)	-0.153531	0.248995	-0.616603	0.5411
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.572553	Mean dependent var	14.77056	
Adjusted R-squared	0.528712	S.D. dependent var	5.826445	
S.E. of regression	1.028581	Sum squared resid	41.26118	
F-statistic	13.05984	Durbin-Watson stat	0.463019	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000001			
Unweighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.233837	Mean dependent var	12.64401	
Sum squared resid	47.20107	Durbin-Watson stat	0.510817	

From the results of the chow test data processing presented in table 2 above, the Prob value is obtained. Cross-section F is 0.000001, which means that the value obtained is <0.05, so it can be concluded that the Fixed Effect model is more precise than the Common Effect model.

Panel Data Regression Estimation Results with the Fixed Effect Model Method

The test results of this research model using the Eviews 8.1 program tool. This research deals with regency / city individual behavior which is regressed in a system (multi equation). In this estimator, the estimated equation consists of 4 districts / cities with an annual observation time (Annual) from 2010-2017.

Table 3 presents the results of data processing using the Fixed Effect method. From the estimation results, the next research model will be analyzed the statistical significance test and a priori economic test analysis (direction and significance).

Table 3. The Estimation Results of Fixed Effect Model

Dependent Variable: LOG(I?)				
Method: Pooled EGLS (Period weights)				
Date: 10/04/19 Time: 22:22				
Sample: 2007 2017				
Included observations: 11				
Cross-sections included: 4				
Total pool (balanced) observations: 44				
Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-91.76667	43.31051	-2.118808	0.0428
LOG(PENDUDUK?)	8.429256	2.539722	3.318968	0.0024
LOG(UMR?)	-2.302758	2.412742	-0.954415	0.3478
LOG(BERAS?)	4.738640	1.415063	3.348713	0.0023
LOG(PE?)	-1.045921	0.794842	-1.315884	0.1985
Fixed Effects (Period)				
2007—C	2.812586			
2008—C	3.782814			
2009—C	1.300654			
2010—C	2.070427			
2011—C	0.612189			
2012—C	-0.190642			
2013—C	0.073648			
2014—C	-1.357918			
2015—C	-2.672346			
2016—C	-2.674637			
2017—C	-3.756776			
Effects Specification				
Period fixed (dummy variables)				
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.662092	Mean dependent var	14.77056	
Adjusted R-squared	0.498964	S.D. dependent var	5.826445	
S.E. of regression	1.060547	Sum squared resid	32.61805	
F-statistic	4.058723	Durbin-Watson stat	0.316876	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000702			

1 Unweighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.377409	Mean dependent var	12.64401
Sum squared resid	38.35601	Durbin-Watson stat	0.360231

Source: Panel data output results processed with Eviews 8.1

11 Based on the results of data processing in Table 3 above, it can be written that in general the Inflation Equation Model in North Sumatera is as follows:

$$\text{LOG (Inflation)} = -91.76667 + 8.429256 \text{ LOG (population)} - 2.302758 \text{ LOG (Regional Minimum Wage)} + 4.738640 \text{ LOG (Price of Rice)} - 1.045921 \text{ LOG (EG)}.$$

The constant value of the equation model is - 91.7, meaning that if the independent variables of the Population, Regional Minimum Wage, Rice Price, and Economic Growth are assumed to be zero, then the inflation rate of North Sumatera Province will decrease by 91.7%.

4 Statistical Significance Test Analysis

Based on the panel data regression estimation output with the fixed effect method above, we can perform statistical test analysis as follows:

Partial Test (t-test)

From the table of processing results using Eviews 8.1 above, it can be seen that the independent variables, namely t10 Population and Price of Rice, have a significant effect on the 5% significance level on the dependent variable of inflation, while the independent variables of Regional Minimum Wage (RMW) and Economic Growth (EG) have no effect. 60 lation is significant to the dependent variable at the 5% significance level, but these two independent variables have a significant effect on the 10% significance level.

43 Concurrent Test / Overall (F-test)

From the table above, it can be seen that the Prob (F-statistic) value is 0.00072, less than 11, which means that the independent variables Population, Rice Price, RMW and EG simultaneously have a very significant effect on changes in the dependent variable of inflation. .

Coefficient Determinant (R²)

From table 3, the R² value is 0.662092, indicating that the variation of the change in the value of the dependent variable INF can be explained simultaneously by the independent variables, namely the POPULATION, RICE PRICE, RMW 63 and ECONOMIC GROWTH variables of 66.21% while the remaining 33, 79% were explained by other factors not included in the model.

**Classic Assumption Test
 Heteroscedasticity Test**

Table 4. Heteroscedasticity Test Results

Dependent Variable: LOG(ABS(RESID?))				
Method: Pooled Least Squares				
Date: 10/05/19 Time: 00:36				
Sample: 2007 2017				
Included observations: 11				
Cross-sections included: 4				
Total pool (balanced) observations: 44				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-1.028130	8.095813	-0.126995	+0.8997
LOG(PENDUDUK?)	-0.258780	1.201291	-0.215419	+0.8307
LOG(UMR?)	-0.200005	1.913376	-0.104530	+0.9173
LOG(BERAS?)	1.433619	1.185116	1.209686	+0.2343
LOG(PE?)	-0.196393	0.252973	-0.776338	+0.4426
Fixed Effects (Cross)				
_SIANTAR—C	-1.060845			
_SIBOLGA—C	-0.482652			
_MEDAN—C	0.555824			
_SIDEMPUAN--C	0.987673			
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.487357	Mean dependent var	-4.247235	
Adjusted R-squared	0.387676	S.D. dependent var	1.146541	
S.E. of regression	0.897182	Akaike info criterion	2.783849	
Sum squared resid	28.97765	Schwarz criterion	3.108247	
Log likelihood	-53.24467	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.904151	
F-statistic	*4.889181	Durbin-Watson stat	1.960503	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000594			

Source: Results with EViews 8.1

From the results of processing with Eviews 8.1 software in table 4, it is found that all the coefficients of the independent variables are significant, so it can be concluded that there is no violation of the heteroscedasticity assumption.

Multicollinearity Test

It is found that $R_1^2 = 0.999345 > R_2^2 = 0.903510$; $R_3^2 = 0.903720$; $R_4^2 = 0.442361$; $R_5^2 = 0.083571$, the fixed effect model does not contain multicollinearity

Economic A priori Test Analysis (Direction and Significance)

A priori economic test explains how the independent variable affects the dependent variable by looking at the probability value of the t-statistic value to see the level of

significance and also the directional test of the coefficient value of each independent variable.

The Inflation Equation Model in North Sumatra is as follows:

$$\text{LOG (Inflation)} = -91.76667 + 8.429256 \text{ LOG (population)} - 2.302758 \text{ LOG (RMW)} + 4.738640 \text{ LOG (Price of Rice)} - 1.045921 \text{ LOG (E).G}$$

Influence of Independent Variable Total Population (Population)

The estimation results produce the coefficient value for the Population independent variable of 8.429256 and it is positive. This means that the total population has a positive effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatra. The higher the population, the higher the inflation rate in North Sumatra. An increase in population by 1% will increase the inflation rate in North Sumatra by 8.429256% with the assumption that the other independent variables remain / ceteris paribus. Judging from the test results on the t-statistic value, the probability value is .0024. This value is $< \alpha = 5\%$, which means that the Population variable has a significant effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatera at the 95% or 90% confidence level.

The increase in population in the North Sumatera region will result in an increased inflation rate, this is due to an increase in the amount of public consumption. Commodities that are consumed are not only goods, but also in the form of services. This condition can actually have a positive impact, if it is responded and addressed properly. An increase in population will increase consumption, this is an opportunity for the real sector to increase goods to be produced. The increase in the number of goods and services that will be produced means an increase in the amount of labor used which will ultimately reduce unemployment. But if the increase in consumption due to an increase in population is not accompanied by an increase in production this will cause Demand Pull Inflation (demand-driven inflation)

The consumption variable itself has very little effect and is getting smaller until the last period. In the short term, shocks to consumption will only have an impact on inflation of 4.042408 percent. In the medium-long term, shocks to consumption are not more than 3 percent.

The Influence of Variable Free Regional Minimum Wages (UMR)

The estimation results produce a coefficient value for the UMR independent variable of -2.302758 and it is negative. This means that the UMR has a negative effect on the Inflation Rate in North Sumatra. The higher the UMR, the lower the inflation rate in North Sumatra. An increase in the UMR by 1% will reduce the inflation rate in North Sumatra by 2.302758% with the assumption that the other independent variables remain / ceteris paribus. Judging from the test results on the t-statistic value, the probability value is 0.03478. This value is $< \alpha = 5\%$, which means that the UMR variable has a significant effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatra at the 95% or 90% confidence level.

Increasing the number of UMR in the North Sumatra region will cause the inflation rate to decrease, this is due to an increase in the amount of public consumption. Commodities that are consumed are not only goods, but also in the form of services. This condition can actually have a positive impact, if it is responded and addressed properly. An increase in population will increase consumption, this is an opportunity for the real sector to increase goods to be produced. The increase in the number of goods and services that will be produced means an increase in the amount of labor used which will ultimately reduce unemployment. But if the increase in consumption due to an increase in population is not accompanied by an increase in production this will cause Demand Pull Inflation (demand-driven inflation)

The consumption variable itself has very little effect and is getting smaller until the last period. In the short term, shocks to consumption will only have an impact on inflation of 4.042408 percent. In the medium-long term, shocks to consumption are not more than 3 percent (Dwijawaty, 2015).

58

The Effect of Independent Variables on Rice Price

The estimation results produce the coefficient value for the rice price independent variable of 4.738640 and is positive. This means that the price of rice has a positive effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatera. The higher the price of rice, the higher the inflation rate in North Sumatera. An increase in rice prices by 1% will increase the inflation rate in North Sumatera by 4,738640% with the assumption that the other independent variables remain / ceteris paribus. Judging from the test results on the t-statistic value, the probability value is 0.0023, this value is $\alpha = 5\%$, which means that the rice price variable has a significant effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatera at the 95% confidence level.

Rice is the staple food in North Sumatera, it is only natural that a significant increase in rice prices will cause an increase in other staple foods in North Sumatera. The dependence of the people of North Sumatera is also due to the culture of the people who make rice the main carbohydrate fulfillment.

The price of rice commodities also continues to soar. The dominant factor causing the soaring price of rice is the lack of rice supply due to crop failure due to weather disturbances in a number of rice centers in North Sumatera, such as Simalungun, Langkat, Deli Serdang, and Serdang Bedagai. The lack of rice supply was also allegedly caused by the rice distributors who carried out hoarding. On the other hand, the high price of rice in the market is because the purchase price from refineries is also expensive. This is because the price of grain from farmers is also expensive (Bank Indonesia, 2010).

Unidirectional research has also been conducted, the results are short-term (in 2001: 1 - 2001: 4) most expenditure groups have no significant effect on the inflation rate at the 5% level, meanwhile, in the long run (most expenditure groups have a significant inflation rates in Medan cities such as processed food, beverages, cigarettes and tobacco; housing, water, electricity, gas and fuel; clothing, and health, while the education, recreation sports group and the transportation, communication and financial services group did not have a significant effect on inflation. in the city of Medan. (Fitrawaty, 2018).

Head of BPS Suhariyanto said the foodstuff group was contributed by the increase in the price of shallots and rice prices. The price of rice rose slightly but contributed to inflation by 0.03%. We know that heavy weight is high, so even though the slight increase contributes to 0.03%, said Suhariyanto at Statistics Indonesia Head Office, Central Jakarta, Perum Bulog must continue to carry out market operations so that rice prices remain stable and do not have a big impact on inflation in December (Siharapanku, 2018).

From the above, it can be concluded that the price of rice is influenced by the availability of rice from the supply side, weather conditions that cause crop failure, hoarding of rice by agents and high prices of rice from refineries.

21

The Influence of Free Variables on Economic Growth

The estimation results produce a coefficient value for the independent variable of economic growth of -1.045921 and it is negative. This means that economic growth has a negative effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatera. The higher the rate of economic growth, the lower the inflation rate in North Sumatera. An increase in economic growth by 1% will reduce the inflation rate in North Sumatera by 1.045921% with the assumption that the other independent variables remain / ceteris paribus. Judging from the test results

on the t-statistic value, the probability value is 0.1985. This value is $< \alpha = 5\%$, which means that the economic growth variable does not have a significant effect on the inflation rate in North Sumatra at the 95% confidence level.

Inflation fluctuation in North Sumatera was not influenced by previous economic growth. This fluctuation is more influenced by the price of rice as a staple food and the population in North Sumatera. Fundamental factors, such as the interaction between supply and demand, influence the inflation rate more. Bank Indonesia as the authority for targetting inflation also does not make economic growth the basis for decision making.

North Sumatera's inflation in the first quarter of 2019 decreased compared to the previous period. The realization of inflation in the first quarter of 2019 was 1.05% (yoy). The foodstuff group contributed to annual deflation in the first quarter of 2019. Entering April, inflationary pressures increased again, far above the historical average. Going forward, inflation in the second quarter of 2019 is expected to increase compared to the previous quarter, in line with the entry of the month of Ramadan and Eid HBKN. The economy of North Sumatra Province is predicted to chart moderate growth in the third quarter of 2019 amidst inflationary developments that have picked up again from the previous quarter. The economic moderation stemmed from the return to normal household demand after the Ramadan and Eid al-Fitr periods, amidst stable investment and improving net exports. Meanwhile, the rate of change in prices in general is still increasing as a result of increased inflationary pressure for seasonings, clothing, and the transportation, communication and financial services group (Bank Indonesia, 2019).

The fluctuation of the inflation rate in the 2010-2017 period means that it is more influenced by fundamental things, such as household consumption and the availability of staple foods, and other things, which are short-term, meaning that economic growth will affect inflation in the long run.

CONCLUSION

Inflation in North Sumatera is a type of inflation, the causes of which are fundamental things, such as the interaction of demand and supply. Therefore, to overcome this, the availability of stock of basic commodities, for example rice, must continue to be considered.

Policies and regulations on population control, such as those that have been implemented by National Family Planning Coordinating Board, must be continuously disseminated to the public.

Socialization and counseling on cropping patterns, how to grow crops for food crops, applying effective fertilizers to farmers to increase production. The community should pay attention to their consumption patterns, by prioritizing needs rather than wants, so that they do not behave beyond their limits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thank God Almighty for His blessings and guidance so that the writing of this article can be completed. I also express my gratitude to Rector of State University of Medan (Unimed) and the Dean of the Faculty of Economics, Unimed, for the assistance provided by the university's internal research funds, to increase knowledge so that this article becomes more interesting. Furthermore, the authors also thank family and colleagues, for their extraordinary contributions so that this article can be more perfect.

REFERENCES

- Agus Widarjono. (2009). *Ekonometrika Pengantar dan Aplikasinya*, Edisi Ketiga. Yogyakarta: Ekonesia
- Annual Report UNDP Indonesia, Tahun 2013-2014.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2018). *Produk Domestik Regional Bruto (PDRB) kabupaten/kota tahun 2013-2017*. Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik
- Capello, Roberta. (2007). *Regional Economics*, Routledge. New York, Routledge.
- Gujarati, Damodar. (2003), *Ekonometri Dasar*. Terjemahan: Sumarno Zain, Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Kementerian Keuangan. (2017). *Rincian Alokasi Dana Alokasi Umum dan Tambahan Dana Alokasi Khusus Fisik Menurut Provinsi/Kabupaten/Kota Dalam APBN-P TA 2017*. Jakarta:Kementerian Keuangan
- Kuncoro, Mudrajad. (2004). *Analisis Spasial dan Regional*. Yogyakarta: AMP YKPN
- Maipita, Indra, (2013), *Memahami dan Mengukur Kemiskinan*, Cetakan I, Penerbit Absolute Media, Yogyakarta.
- Prawoto. N. (2009). *Memahami kemiskinan dan strategi penanggulangannya*, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Studi Pembangunan*, Vol 9, Nomor 1, April 2009: 56-69.
- Ranis and Stewart. (2000). *Economic Growth and Human Development*. *Jurnal World Development*. Vol, 28. No, 2: 197-219.
- Sjafrizal. (2008). *Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Ketimpangan Regional Wilayah Indonesia Bagian Barat*, Jakarta, *Jurnal Buletin Prisma*.



The Analysis of Inequality on Economic Growth in

ORIGINALITY REPORT

30%

SIMILARITY INDEX

27%

INTERNET SOURCES

17%

PUBLICATIONS

18%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	media.neliti.com Internet Source	2%
2	anzdoc.com Internet Source	1%
3	Submitted to Padjadjaran University Student Paper	1%
4	dspace.uii.ac.id Internet Source	1%
5	ojs.uma.ac.id Internet Source	1%
6	Submitted to Universitas Nasional Student Paper	1%
7	Submitted to Keimyung University Student Paper	1%
8	cibgp.com Internet Source	1%
9	eudl.eu Internet Source	1%

10	journal.umy.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	repository.ibs.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
12	repository.stei.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
13	jurnal.polines.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
14	repository.ub.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
15	ijebmr.com Internet Source	1 %
16	mp.ra.ub.uni-muenchen.de Internet Source	1 %
17	Submitted to Sriwijaya University Student Paper	1 %
18	Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB Student Paper	1 %
19	Submitted to Universitas Amikom Student Paper	1 %
20	eprints.ums.ac.id Internet Source	1 %

- 21 Ady Soejoto, M A Ghofur, Lucky Rachmawati. "The Effect of Population Density, Educational Access Inequality and Health Access Inequality on Economic Growth", AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 2022
Publication <1 %
-
- 22 Submitted to Binus University International
Student Paper <1 %
-
- 23 ojs.spiruharet.ro
Internet Source <1 %
-
- 24 Purwiyanta Purwiyanta, C Ambar Pujiharjanto, Rini Dwi Astuti. "The Impact of Financial Inclusion on Economic Growth in Indonesia: Panel Data 34 Province", Proceeding of LPPM UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta Conference Series 2020 – Economic and Business Series, 2020
Publication <1 %
-
- 25 Submitted to Queen Mary and Westfield College
Student Paper <1 %
-
- 26 Rangel Chris Eko Bieth. " The Influence of Gross Domestic Product and Human Development Index on CO Emissions ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021
Publication <1 %
-
- 27 ifrnd.org
Internet Source <1 %
-

28

Submitted to University of Lancaster

Student Paper

<1 %

29

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

<1 %

30

www.turcomat.org

Internet Source

<1 %

31

Submitted to University of Sheffield

Student Paper

<1 %

32

mafiadoc.com

Internet Source

<1 %

33

Submitted to STKIP Sumatera Barat

Student Paper

<1 %

34

garuda.kemdikbud.go.id

Internet Source

<1 %

35

www.scilit.net

Internet Source

<1 %

36

e-journal.unair.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

37

digitalrepository.trincoll.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

38

ijc.ilearning.co

Internet Source

<1 %

39

edoc.pub

Internet Source

<1 %

40	www.asianinstituteofresearch.org Internet Source	<1 %
41	www.ajhssr.com Internet Source	<1 %
42	www.tafpublications.com Internet Source	<1 %
43	Submitted to Sheffield Hallam University Student Paper	<1 %
44	Submitted to Universitas Negeri Jakarta Student Paper	<1 %
45	ejournal.stiewidyagamalumajang.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
46	Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro Student Paper	<1 %
47	Submitted to University of Greenwich Student Paper	<1 %
48	www.iosrjournals.org Internet Source	<1 %
49	jurnalmahasiswa.stiesia.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
50	www.ijicc.net Internet Source	<1 %
51	Submitted to Academic Library Consortium Student Paper	<1 %

52	Banking Academy Publication	<1 %
53	Sjamsul Arief, Ujiyanto, Djohan Mashudi. "SUSTAINABILITY ECONOMIC AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: THE MODERATING EFFECT OF DISPARITY REGION AND INCOME ON SOCIETY WELFARE", International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH, 2018 Publication	<1 %
54	dspace.univ-tlemcen.dz Internet Source	<1 %
55	journalsyntaxadmiration.com Internet Source	<1 %
56	www.easpublisher.com Internet Source	<1 %
57	Eka Rastiyanto Amrullah, Akira Ishida, Ani Pullaila, Aris Rusyiana. "Who suffers from food insecurity in Indonesia?", International Journal of Social Economics, 2019 Publication	<1 %
58	adoc.pub Internet Source	<1 %
59	doku.pub Internet Source	<1 %
60	ieomsociety.org Internet Source	<1 %

61	pdfs.semanticscholar.org Internet Source	<1 %
62	www.ajne.org Internet Source	<1 %
63	www.ijeronline.com Internet Source	<1 %
64	ejournal.unitomo.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
65	open.uct.ac.za Internet Source	<1 %
66	www.e-repository.unsyiah.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
67	Alan Prahutama, Rukun Santoso. "Modelling spline truncated and local polynomial for inflation sectors in Indonesia", Modelling, Measurement and Control D, 2018 Publication	<1 %
68	journal.ugm.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On