REFERENCES

Airasian, P and L. R. Gay. (2000). Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application Sixth Edition. United State of America: New Jersey Press

Arikunto, S (2000). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta

Allan, K. (1986). Linguistic meaning (Vols 1 and 2). London: Routledge.

Austin, J.L. (1962). How to do things with words. Oxfor: Clarendon Press.

Creswell, JW. (2009). Research Design. (3th ed). Callifornia: Sage.

Cropley, A. 2019. Introduction to Qualitative Research Method. German: University of Hamburg. Unpublished

Dana. Waskita. (2014). Transitivity in Telephone Conversation in A Bribery Case in Indonesia: A Forensic Linguistic Study. Jurnal Sosioteknologi volume 13, nomor 2, Agustus 2014.

Danet, B. (1980) Language in the Legal Process. Law and Society Review, 14 (3), 446-564. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053192.

Dan. Zhang, Luoyang, Henan (2015). Presupposition in Courtroom Discourse. International Confernece on Education, Managemnt, Commerce and Society (EMCS 2015).

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. 1994. Handbook of Qualitative Research. London: Sage

Eggin, S and Slade. D. (1997) Analysing Casula conversation, London: Cassell

Farinde, R. O (2009). Forensic Linguistics: An Intriduction to the Study of Language and the Law. Muenchen: Lincom Europe.

Georgia.Heydon (2014). Forensic Linguistics: Forms and Process. Linguistik Indonesia, February 2014,1-10 volume ke 32 no 1

Gibbson, J. (2008) Questioning in common Law Criminal Courts. In J. Gibbson & M,T . Turrel (Eds.), Dimension Forensic Linguistics (115-130). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Jhon Benjamins Publishing Company.

Griffiths, A., & Milne, R (2006). Will it all end in tears? Police interviews with suspects in Britain. Investigative interviewing, 167-189

Griffiths, P. (2006). An Introduction to English Semantics and Pragmatics. Endinburgh University Press.

Halliday, M.A.K. (1994). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. 2nd edn. London: Edward Arnold. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laskar Pelangi

Halliday, M.A., Hasan, R. (1985). Language, Context, and Text. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Halliday, M.A.K & Mathiessen (20014). Introduction to Functional Grammar. Britain, University Press

Huang, Yan (2007). Pragmatic. New York: Oxford University Press.

Isaac,S., & Michael, W.B. (1987). Handbook in Research and Evaluation. San Diego, CA: Edits Publishers.

Jerson,S.Catoto (2017). On Courtroom questioning: a forensic linguistic analysis. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (IOSR-JHSS) Volume 22, Issue 11 Ver 8 (November, 2017) pp 65-97) e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845. www.iosrjournals.org

Jian. Li and Yuxiu Sun. (2018). Presuppositions as Discourse Strategies in Court Examinations: international journal legal discourse (2018), 3 (2): 197-212

John Olsson, (2008). Forensic Linguistics: An Introduction to Language, Crime and the Law. London, Continuum

John Olsson. What is Forensic Linguistcs. www.thetext.co.uk.

Gatitu. Kiguru, Emily. A Ogutu, and Marthin. C. Njogore (2018).Speech Act Functions in Cross Examination Discourse in the Kenyan Courtroom page 1-26

Kitab Undang Undang Hukum Acara Pidana (KUHAP): Modul 04. www.kpk.go.id
Kreidler,C. W (1998) Introducing English Pragmatics.

Leech, (1989). Principles of Pragmatics. London: Longman.

Levinson, S.S, (1983). Pragmatics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Liaou, Meihzen .(2006). A Study on "formulation" in Chinese Courtroom interaction. Foreign Language research 2

Luoyang & Henan (2015). Presupposition in courtroom Discourse: International Conference on Education , Managemnet, Commerce and Society (EMCS): China

Malcolm, C & Alison, J (2007). An Introduction to Forensic Linguistics: Language in Evidence;USA and Canada : Routledge

Matoesian, G. (1993). Reproducing Rape: Domination through talk in the courtroom. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mey,J.L. (2001). Pragmatics: An Introduction. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Miles, B.M., Huberman, and J.Saldana. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sorcebook. Edition 3. United States of America. SAGE Publications, Inc

Mooney, A (2014). Language and Law. London: Palgrave Macmillan

Potts, Cgristopher (2014). Presupposition and Implicature. ONR Grant

Rahmaniah. (2018) The Speech Functions used by Ibu Muslimah and Pak Harfan in "Laskar Pelangi" Drama, Advances in Language and Literrary Studies; ISSN: 2203-4714; www.alla.aia.org.au: http://dx.doi.org/10.7575 aiac.alls.v.9n.1p.14

Richards, Jack C. & Schmidt, R. (2010). Longman dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistics 4th ed). Pearson Education limited.

Saragih, A. (2014) Discourse Analysis . A Systemic Functional Approaches to the Analysis of texts. Faculty of Language and Arts. The Satet University of Medan.

Sarma, Panggabean (2019). Konstruksi Wacana Pemeriksaan Tersangka Kajian Linguistik Forensik. Disertasi: Fakultas Ilmu Budaya Universitas Sumatra Utara: medan

Sinar, T.S. (2018). Functional Features of Forensic Corruption Case in Indonesia. AICLL: The First Annual International Conference on Language and Literature . Vol 2018, (pp 66-77)

Sinar, T.S. (2019). Exploring Textual Function Realization in Corruption Courtroom Discourse. International Conference on English Language and Teaching (ICOELT 2019), Advances in Social Sciences, Education, and Humanities Research, Atlantis Press.

Sinar, T.S. (2019). Identification of speakers' voices in open trials of corruption cases: acoustic phonetic analysis. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Applied Social Sciences, Business, and Humanity (ICo-ASCNITY), European Union Digital Library https://eudl.eu/proceedings/ICO-ASCNITY/2019

Sri.Waljinah. Linguistik Forensik Interogasi: Kajian Implikatur Percakapan dari Perspektif Makna Simbolik Bahasa Hukum. International Seminar Prasasti III: Current Research in Linguitics.

Stygall, G. Trial Language: Differential Discourse Processing and Discursive Formation. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Stalknaker, R. (1970). Pragmatics Synthese, 22 (1/2), 272-289).

Stubbs, M. (1983). Discourse Analysis: The sociolinguistics of natural language. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Suhariyanto (2019).Statistik Kriminal 2019: Badan Pusat Statistik

Susanto (2016) Language in Courtroom discourse, The fourth International Conference on Education and Language (4th ICEL(2016 Universitas Bandar Lampung (UBL), Indonesia ISSN 2303-1417

Tirsma, P, and Solan, L. (2003). The Linguist on the Witness Stand: Forensic Linguistics in American Courts Language

Qin, Zhang (2019). On Judge's trial Discourse in Chinese Courtroom From Goal-Driven Perspective. Comparative Linguistics. Vol. 38/2019; DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.14746/cl.2019.38.3

Quirk, R., Greenbaum,S., Leech, G & Svartvik,J. (1980). A Gramar of Contemporary English: London:Longman

Vaezi, R., Tabatabaei, S., & Bakhtiarvand,M. (2014). A Comparative Study of Speech Act in the Textbooks by Native and Non-native Speakers: A Pragmatic Analysis of New Interchange Series vs. Locally made EFL Textbooks. Theory and Practice in Language Stuies, 4 (1), 167-180.

Virna.S. Villanueva, Language and Power in the Courtroom: Examining the Discourse in Phillipine Rape Trials.

Xinyun Tang, (2019). Pragmatic Presupposition and Its Function in Advertisemnets: a case study of Lancome: 4th International Conference on Contemporary Education Social Sciences and Humanities (ICCESSH 2019): Advances in Social Science, Education and Hummanities Research, Volume 329. http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nc/4.0

Yule, George. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford . Oxford University Press.