

ABSTRAK

Hetni Afrida: Pengaruh Strategi *Problem Solving*, *Guided Discovery* dan Konvensional Terhadap Sikap Ilmiah, Hasil Belajar Biologi, dan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Di SMA Negeri 3 Langsa. Tesis. Program Pascasarjana UNIMED, Medan 2014.

Penelitian bertujuan untuk mengetahui: Pengaruh strategi pembelajaran *Problem Solving*, *Guided Discovery* dan Konvensional terhadap (1) Hasil belajar Biologi; (2) Kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa; (3) sikap ilmiah siswa pada materi pokok ekosistem, di kelas X SMA Negeri 3 Langsa. Populasi dalam penelitian berjumlah 6 kelas dan sampel yang digunakan dalam penelitian sebanyak 3 kelas yang ditentukan secara acak dengan teknik *cluster random sampling* yaitu kelas X_1 sebagai kelas yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi pembelajaran *Guided Discovery*, X_3 sebagai kelas yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi pembelajaran *Problem Solving*, dan X_2 dibelajarkan dengan strategi pembelajaran Konvensional. Instrumen pengumpulan data menggunakan tes hasil belajar sebanyak 30 soal dan kemampuan berpikir kritis sebanyak 25 soal, dalam bentuk pilihan berganda, serta lembar observasi untuk mengetahui sikap ilmiah siswa. Teknik analisis menggunakan Analysis of Covariances (ANAKOVA) dan One Way Analysis of Variances (One Way ANOVA) dengan taraf signifikansi $\alpha = 0,05$ dengan bantuan program SPSS 18.0.

Hasil penelitian ini diperoleh bahwa: (1) tidak ada pengaruh yang signifikan strategi pembelajaran terhadap hasil belajar Biologi siswa dengan $F_{hit} 3,308$; $p = 0,051$ meskipun demikian hasil belajar biologi siswa yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi *Guided discovery* $73,57 \pm 8,95$ lebih tinggi dibandingkan strategi *Problem solving* $72,79 \pm 4,58$ maupun strategi Konvensional $70,57 \pm 4,58$ (2) ada pengaruh yang signifikan strategi pembelajaran terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa dengan $F_{hit} 10,588$; $p = 0,000$ Kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi *Problem solving* $76,93 \pm 8,68$ tidak berbeda signifikan dibandingkan dengan kelas `strategi *Guided discovey* $76,19 \pm 10,03$ namun berbeda signifikan dari kelas yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi Konvensional $68,95 \pm 8,56$ (3) ada pengaruh yang signifikan strategi pembelajaran terhadap sikap ilmiah belajar siswa $F_{hit} 26,193$; $p = 0,000$. Sikap ilmiah siswa yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi *Guided discovery* $75,83 \pm 5,76$ lebih tinggi dibandingkan dengan strategi *Problem solving* $72,26 \pm 5,08$ maupun kelas yang dibelajarkan dengan strategi Konvensional $67,64 \pm 5,05$. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian strategi *Guided discovery* lebih baik dari strategi yang lain.

Kata Kunci: Strategi *Guided discovery*, strategi *Problem solving*, strateg Konvensional, Hasil belajar biologi, Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis, Sikap Ilmiah.

ABSTRACT

Hetni afrida: The Effect of *Problem Solving Strategy, Guided Discovery* and *Conventional* on *Biology Against Scientific Attitude, Biology Learning Outcomes and Critical Thinking Skills Student's* in SMA Negeri 3 Langsa. Thesis. Medan: Post Graduate Program Study, UNIMED 2014.

This research aims to determine: The effect of learning strategy *Problem Solving, Guided Discovery* and *Conventional* on (1) students' biology learning outcomes, (2) students' critical thinking skills; (3) scientific attitude of students in the subject matter of ecosystems, in class X SMA Negeri 3 Langsa. The population in this study amounted six sains classes and otherwise being research sample was as much as three class. This research method is quasi experiment, X_1 as a class that learned by learning strategy *Guided Discovery*, X_3 as the class learned with the learning strategy of *Problem Solving*, and X_2 learned with *Conventional* learning strategy. The instrument of research used test student's critical thinking skills in the form of 25 questions; test student's learning outcomes in the form of 30 questions with multiple-choice items, and the observation sheet is provided to know the Scientific Attitude of students learning. Analysis technique using Analysis of Covariances (ANACOVA) and One Way Analysis of Variances (One Way ANOVA) with a significance level $\alpha = 0,05$ SPSS program version 18.0.

The result of this research found that (1) There is no effect significant of learning strategy on student's biology learning outcomes with $F_{hit} 3,308$; $p = 0,051$. Nevertheless, *Guided Discovery* strategy $73,57 \pm 8,95$ and it was significantly higher compared *Problem Solving* strategy $72,79 \pm 4,58$ and *Conventional* strategy $70,57 \pm 8,37$; (2) There is an effect significant of learning strategy on students' critical thinking skills with $F_{hit} 10,588$; $p = 0,000$. The students' learning critical thinking skills not different with *Problem Solving* strategy $76,93 \pm 8,68$ when learned with *Guided Discovery* strategy $76,19 \pm 10,03$ however it was significant higher compared with *Conventional* strategy $68,95 \pm 8,56$; (3) There is an effect significant of learning strategy on students' learning Scientific Attitude with $F_{hit} 26,193$; $p = 0,000$. The students' learning Scientific Attitude which are taught with *Guided Discovery* strategy $75,83 \pm 5,76$ and it was significant higher compared to *Problem Solving* strategy $72,26 \pm 5,08$ or significantly higher compared to *Conventional* strategy $67,64 \pm 5,05$. The result of research showed that *Guided Discovery* higher compared to other strategy.

Key word: *Guided Discovery* strategy, *Problem Solving* strategy and *Conventional* Biology Learning Outcomes, Critical Thinking Skill, Scientific Attitude Learning,