

TABLE OF CONTENT

	<i>Page</i>	
APPROVAL SHEET	i	
ORIGINAL STATEMENT PAGE	ii	
FINAL THESIS PUBLICATION APPROVAL PAGE FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST	iii	
BIOGRAPHY	iv	
ABSTRACT	v	
PREFACE	vi	
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii	
TABLE OF FIGURE	xii	
LIST OF TABLE	xiii	
LIST OF APPENDIX	xv	
CHAPTER I.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Background	1
1.2.	Problem Identification	6
1.3.	Research Scope	6
1.4.	Problem Formulation	6
1.5.	Problem Scope	7
1.6.	Research Objectives	7
1.7.	Research Benefits	8
1.8.	Operational Definition	8
CHAPTER II.	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1.	Test	10
2.1.1.	Defination Of Test	10
2.1.2.	The Type Of Test	11
2.1.3.	Types Of Objective Test	13
2.1.4.	Characteristics Of A Good Test	16

2.1.5.	Analysis Of Item Quality	18
2.1.6.	Pearson Product Moment Correlation	19
2.2.	Bloom's Taxonomy	21
2.2.1.	The Cognitive Domain	21
2.3.	Categories Of The Knowledge Dimension	28
2.4.	Conceptual Knowledge	30
2.4.1.	Knowledge Of Classification And Categories	30
2.4.2.	Knowledge Of Principles And Generalizations	31
2.4.3.	Knowledge Of Theories,Model and Structure	32
2.5.	Conceptual Knowledge Indicators	33
2.6.	Basic Competencies Of Mechanical Wave	34
2.7.	Learning Materials	34
2.8.	Relevant Research	42
2.9.	Conceptual Framework	45
2.10.	Research Hypothesis	46
CHAPTER III.	RESEARCH METHODS	47
3.1.	Location and Time Of Research	47
3.1.1.	Location Of Research	47
3.1.2.	Time Of Research	47
3.2.	Population And Sample Research	47
3.2.1.	Research Population	47
3.2.2.	Research Samples	47
3.3.	Desain and Research Variable	47
3.3.1.	Research Design	47
3.3.2.	Research Variable	50

3.4.	Research Instrument	50
3.4.1.	Item Validation Sheet	50
3.4.2.	Conceptual Knowledge Objective Test Instrument	50
3.5.	Data Collection Technique	58
3.5.1.	Interview	58
3.5.2.	Test	58
3.5.3.	Questionnaire	58
3.5.4.	Documentation	59
3.6.	Research Procedure	59
3.6.1.	Analyze Phase	61
3.6.2.	Design Phase	61
3.6.3.	Develop Phase	61
3.6.4.	Implement Phase	62
3.6.5.	Evaluate Phase	62
3.7.	Data Analysis	63
3.7.1.	Validity Of Instrument by Experts	63
3.7.2.	Validity	64
3.7.3.	Reability	64
3.7.4.	Level Of Difficulty	65
3.7.5.	Discrimination Index	66
3.7.6.	Disctractor Efficiency	67
3.7.7.	Question Quality Criteria	68
CHAPTER IV.	RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	70
4.1.	Research Result	70
4.1.1.	Analysis Stage	70
4.1.2.	Design Stage	71
4.1.3.	Development Stage	72

4.1.4. Implementation Stage	81
4.1.5. Evaluation of Extensive Field Test	
Results	87
4.2. Discussion	93
4.2.1. Qualitative Question Analysis	93
4.2.2. Quantitative Question Analysis	93
CHAPTER V.	
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	98
5.1. Conclusion	98
5.2. Suggestion	98
REFERENCES	100
APPENDIX	103

*THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY*

TABLE OF FIGURE

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 2.1. Wavelength On The Rope	36
Figure 2.2. Longitudinal Wavelength	36
Figure 2.3. Wave of string that propagates in the positive	37
Figure 2.4. The wave propagates in the positive x-axis direction	38
Figure 2.5. A reflected wave from a freely moving rope	40
Figure 2.6. Reflected wave from a tied rope	40
Figure 3.1. The ADDIE Concept	48
Figure 3.2. Research Flow	60
Figure 4.1. Pie Diagram of Limited Field Test Empirical Analysis Results	86
Figure 4.2. Pie Diagram of the Results of Extensive Field Test Empirical Analysis	91



LIST OF TABLE

	<i>Page</i>
Table 2.1. The cognitive process dimension	24
Table 2.2. The major types and sub types of the knowledge dimension	28
Table 3.1. Blue-print of objective test instruments conceptual knowledge of mechanical waves material	50
Table 3.2. Validity Criteria	64
Table 3.3. Reability Criteria	65
Table 3.4. Index of difficulty criteria	66
Table 3.5. Discriminating Power Criteria	67
Table 3.6. Question Item Quality Criteria	68
Table 4.1. Product Summary Conceptual Knowledge Objective Test	73
Table 4.2. Revision of Test Instruments After Expert Validation	75
Table 4.3. Category of Test Item Analysis Results Qualitatively	81
Table 4.4. Limited Field Test Validity Calculation Results	82
Table 4.5. The results of the reliability test of the items on the small-scale trial	83
Table 4.6. Results of the Analysis of the Difficulty Level of the Limited Field Test	83
Table 4.7. Limited Field Test Distinguishing Results	83
Table 4.8. Results of the Effectiveness Analysis of Limited Field Test Distractors	84
Table 4.9. Results of Limited Field Test Empirical Analysis	85
Table 4.10. Recapitulation of the results of the Student Assessment Questionnaire analysis on a small-scale trial	87
Table 4.11. The results of the reliability test of the items on the wide-scale	

trial	88	
Table 4.12.	Results of the Analysis of the Difficulty Level of the Area Test	89
Table 4.13.	The Results of the Distinguishing Power of the Wide Field Test	89
Table 4.14.	Results of Effectiveness Analysis of Broad Field Test Distractors	90
Table 4.15.	Results of the Extensive Field Test Empirical Analysis	90
Table 4.16.	Recapitulation of the results of the Student Assessment Questionnaire analysis on a wide-scale trial	92
Table 4.17.	Conceptual Knowledge Objective Test Accepted	92



LIST OF APPENDIX

	<i>Page</i>
Appendix 1. Objective Test Instruments Of Conceptual Knowledge Of Mechanical Wave Materials	103
Appendix 2. Expert Validation Sheet	139
Appendix 3. Recapitulation of the results of the validation of the Objective Test by experts	148
Appendix 4. Results of Limited Field Test Analysis	149
Appendix 5. Area Test Analysis Results	166
Appendix 6. Concept Headlines and Questions Recommendation On Questions	179
Appendix 7. Before and After Blue print of cognitive domains and conceptual knowledge	195
Appendix 8. Question Sheet	196
Appendix 9. Student Answers	204
Appendix 10. Limited Field Test	206
Appendix 11. Extensive Field Test	216
Appendix 12. Student Response Questionnaire	224
Appendix 13. Recapitulation of Student Response Questionnaires	226
Appendix 14. Documentation	227
Appendix 15. Student Answer Sheet	229
Appendix 16. Thesis Advisory Lecturer Approval Sheet	230
Appendix 17. Research Permit	231
Appendix 18. Research Certificate	232