

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| BIOGRAPHY | i |
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| PREFACE | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF FIGURE | viii |
| LIST OF TABLE | ix |
| TABLE OF APPENDIX | x |
| CHAPTER I | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Identification | 6 |
| 1.3 Problem Scope | 7 |
| 1.4 Problem Formulation | 7 |
| 1.5 Research Objectives | 7 |
| 1.6 Research Benefits | 8 |
| 1.7 Operational Definition | 9 |
| CHAPTER II | 10 |
| 2.1 Research & Development | 10 |
| 2.2 Test | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Definition of Tes | 11 |
| 2.2.2 The Function of Test | 12 |
| 2.2.3 The type of Test | 13 |
| 2.2.4 Objective Test | 14 |
| 2.2.5 Objective Tests for Multiple Choice Item Forms | 16 |
| 2.2.6 Test Development | 18 |
| 2.2.7 Making Objectives Amenable to Measurement and Evaluation | 20 |
| 2.2.8 Characteristics of Good Test | 21 |
| 2.2.9 Question Item Quality Analysis | 23 |
| 2.2.10 Bloom's Taxonomy | 24 |
| 2.2.11 Cognitive Domain | 25 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.2.12 Core Competencies (KI) and Basic Competencies (KD) | 28 |
| 2.3 Definition of Conceptual Knowledge | 29 |
| 2.3.1 Classifying learning objectives | 31 |
| 2.3.2 Conceptual Knowledge in Physics (Multiple Representations) | 32 |
| 2.4 Conceptual Knowledge on Rotation Dynamic | 32 |
| 2.4.1. Knowledge of Classification and Categories (CC) | 32 |
| 2.4.2. Knowledge of Principles and Generalizations (PG) | 33 |
| 2.4.3. Knowledge of Theory, Models and Structure (TMS) | 42 |
| 2.5 Relevant Research Studies | 47 |
| 2.6 Framework of thinking | 50 |
| 2.7 Research Hypothesis | 50 |
| CHAPTER III | 52 |
| 3.1 Location and Time of Research | 52 |
| 3.2 Population and Sample Research | 52 |
| 3.2.1 Research Population | 52 |
| 3.2.2 Research Samples | 52 |
| 3.3 Research variable | 52 |
| 3.4 Research Type and Design | 52 |
| 3.4.1 Research Type | 52 |
| 3.4.2 Research Design | 53 |
| 3.4.2.1 Research Method Design | 53 |
| 3.4.2.2 Validator Design | 54 |
| 3.5 Research Procedure | 54 |
| 3.6 Data collection technique | 58 |
| 3.6.1 Literature Study | 59 |
| 3.6.2 Interview | 60 |
| 3.6.3 Test | 60 |
| 3.7 Research Instrument | 61 |
| 3.7.1 Item Validation Sheet | 61 |
| 3.7.2 Conceptual Knowledge Objective Test Instrument | 61 |
| 3.8 Data Analysis technique | 61 |
| 3.8.1 Validity of Instrument by Experts | 61 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.8.2 Validity of the test | 62 |
| 3.8.3 Reliability | 63 |
| 3.8.4 Level of Difficulty | 64 |
| 3.8.5 Discrimination Index Test | 64 |
| 3.8.6 Distractor Efficiency Test | 65 |
| 3.8.7 Question Quality Criteria | 66 |
| CHAPTER IV | 68 |
| 4.1 Research Description | 68 |
| 4.2 Research Result | 69 |
| 4.2.1 Analysis Stage | 69 |
| 4.2.2 Design Stage | 70 |
| 4.2.3 Development Stage | 74 |
| 4.2.4 Implementation Stage | 83 |
| 4.2.5 Evaluation | 99 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 105 |
| 4.3.1 Qualitative Question Analysis | 105 |
| 4.3.2 Quantitative Question Analysis | 106 |
| CHAPTER V | 114 |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 114 |
| 5.2 Suggestion | 115 |
| REFERENCES | 116 |

LIST OF FIGURE

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| Figure 2. 1 | Multiple representation in kinematics | 32 |
| Figure 2. 2 | Adjustable spanner | 34 |
| Figure 2. 3 | (a) Force $F_1 \perp l$, (b) Force F_2 Forms an Angle θ to l | 34 |
| Figure 2. 4 | Moment of Force F | 35 |
| Figure 2. 5 | Determination of the Direction of the Moment of Force Using the Right Hand Rule | 36 |
| Figure 2. 6 | Particle Rotation Motion | 36 |
| Figure 2. 7 | Moments of Inertia of Homogeneous Rigid Objects with Different Geometries | 38 |
| Figure 2. 8 | Angular Momentum | 39 |
| Figure 2. 9 | The Four Fingers Show The Direction of Rotation, While The Thumb Shows The Direction of Angular Momentum | 40 |
| Figure 2. 10 | A person standing on a rotating plate with a load in both hands when: (a) Both hands stretched out; (b) Both hands pressed to the body | 41 |
| Figure 2. 11 | A spinning roof has an angular momentum vector L Which is vertical and doesn't change as long as there's no torque affecting it | 41 |
| Figure 2. 12 | The mass load m connected to the rope on the pulley | 42 |
| Figure 2. 13 | A wheel that rolls without a slip can be interpreted as a wheel that moves translation and rotation with speed $V = \omega R$ | 43 |
| Figure 2. 14 | The Cylinder Rolls on A Flat, Rough Surface | 44 |
| Figure 3. 1 | The process of developing an instrument using ADDIE model | 53 |
| Figure 3. 2 | Research Flow | 55 |
| Figure 4. 1 | Results of Limited Field Test Analysis | 91 |
| Figure 4. 2 | Large Field Test Interpretation | 105 |

LIST OF TABLE

| | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1 Cognitive Process Dimensions | 26 |
| Table 2.2 Analogies of Translation and Rotational Motion | 33 |
| Table 2.3 Analogy between Translational and Rotational Motion | 33 |
| Table 2.4 Relevant Research Studies | 47 |
| Table 3.1 Validator Design | 54 |
| Table 3.2 Criteria for the Evaluation of Instrument Validity | 62 |
| Table 3.3 Question Reliability Criteria | 63 |
| Table 3.4 Level of Difficulty Criteria | 64 |
| Table 3.5 Discrimination Index Criteria | 65 |
| Table 3.6 Criteria for Distractor Efficiency | 65 |
| Table 3.7 Question Item Quality Criteria | 66 |
| Table 4.1 Blueprint Objective Test for Conceptual Knowledge of Rotation Dynamic | 71 |
| Table 4.2 Revision of Test Instruments after Expert Validation | 76 |
| Table 4.3 Qualitative Test Item Analysis Result Categories | 82 |
| Table 4.4 Results of Calculation Validity of Limited Field Test Questions | 83 |
| Table 4.5 Limited Field Validity Test Results | 83 |
| Table 4.6 Results Analysis of the Difficulty Level of Limited Field Test Questions | 85 |
| Table 4.7 Results of Limited Field Test Difficulty Level Analysis | 85 |
| Table 4.8 Results of Discrimination Index of Limited Field Test Questions | 86 |
| Table 4.9 Results of Limited Field Test Differential index Analysis | 87 |
| Table 4.10 Results of Limited Field Distractor Efficiency Analysis | 89 |
| Table 4.11 Results of Limited Field Test Distractor Efficiency Analysis | 89 |
| Table 4.12 Limited Field Test Empirical Analysis Results | 91 |
| Table 4.13 Revision of Limited Field Test Results | 93 |
| Table 4.14 The Results of the Analysis of the Difficulty Level of the Large Field Test Questions | 100 |
| Table 4.15 Results of the Analysis of the Difficulty Level of Large Field Test | 100 |
| Table 4.16 Results of Discrimination Index of Large Field Test Questions | 101 |
| Table 4.17 Results of Large Field Test Discrimination Index Analysis | 101 |
| Table 4.18 Results of Large Field Test Distractor Efficiency Analysis | 103 |
| Table 4.19 Results of Effectiveness Analysis of Distractor in Large Field Test | 103 |
| Table 4.20 Results of Empirical Analysis of Large Field Tests | 104 |
| Table 4.21 Conceptual Knowledge Objective Test Accepted | 105 |

TABLE OF APPENDIX

| | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| Appendix 1 Objective Test Instruments of Conceptual Knowledge Materials of Rotation Dynamics Topic for High School | 119 |
| Appendix 2 Blueprint of Question based on Type of Conceptual Knowledge and Level Cognitive | 155 |
| Appendix 3 Recommendation of Conceptual Knowledge Questions | 155 |
| Appendix 4 Expert Validation Sheet | 170 |
| Appendix 5 Conceptual objective test answer key | 179 |
| Appendix 6 Results of Quantitative Analysis of Limited Field Tests | 180 |
| Appendix 7 Limited Field Test Empirical Analysis | 189 |
| Appendix 8 Results of Quantitative Analysis of Large Field Tests | 194 |
| Appendix 9 Results of Empirical Analysis of Large Field Tests | 198 |
| Appendix 10 Limited Field Validity, Reliability, Level of Difficulty and Discrimination Index Test | 202 |
| Appendix 11 Large Field Validity, Reliability, Level of Difficulty and Discrimination Index Test | 207 |
| Appendix 12 Bank of Conceptual Knowledge | 214 |
| Appendix 13 Limited Field and Large Field Test in XI Mipa | 223 |
| Appendix 14 Thesis Advisory Lecturer Approval Sheet | 227 |
| Appendix 15 Research Permit | 228 |
| Appendix 16 Research Certificate | 229 |