TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pages

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ..... **i**
ABSTRACT ..... **iii**
ABSTRAK ..... **iv**
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... **v**
LIST OF TABEL ..... **viii**
LISF OF FIGURES ..... **ix**
TABLE OF APPENDIXES ..... **x**

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION
1.1. The Background of Study 1
1.2. The Problems of the Study 6
1.3. The Objectives of the Study 7
1.4. The Scope of the Study 7
1.5. Significances of the Stdy 8
CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE
2.1. The Nature of Reading 9
2.1.1.The Reading Comprehension 10
2.1.2.Concept of Reading 13
2.1.3.Concept of Reading Comprehension 14
2.1.4.Concept of Teaching Reading Comprehension 15
2.1.5.Types of Reading 17
2.1.6.The Assesment of Reading Comprehension 18
2.1.7.The Purpose of Teaching Reading 19
2.1.8.ProblemTeaching Reading Comprehension 19
2.1.9.Problem Reading Comprehension 21
2.2. Narrative text 22
2.3. Teaching Strategies 23
2.4. Think Pair Share Strategy 24
2.4.1.Definition of Think Pair Share Strategy 24
2.4.2.The Procedure of Think Pair Share Strategy 24
2.4.3.The Advantages of Think Pair Share Strategy 25
2.4.4.The Disadvantages of Think Pair Share Strategy .. 26
2.5. Directed Reading Thinking Activity 26
2.5.1.The Definition of DRTA Strategy 26
2.5.2.The Procedure of DRTA Strategy 27
2.5.3.The Advantages of DRTA Strategy 28
2.5.4.The Disadvantages of DRTA Strategy 29
2.6. The Differences bwtween TPS and DRTA Strategies 29
2.7. Motivation 30
2.7.1.The Concept of Motivation 30
2.7.2.Low and High Motivation 31
2.7.3.Assessment of Students' Motivation 32
2.7.4.The Interaction between teaching strategies and students motivatiob on reading comprehension .. 33

2.8. Relevant Studies 34
2.9. Conceptual Framework 38
2.10. Research Hypothesis 41
CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
3.1. Research Design 43
3.2. Population and Sample 44
3.2.1. Population 44
3.2.1. Sample 44
3.3. Instrument of Data Colection 45
3.3.1. Questionnaire 45
3.3.2. Pre-Test and Post-Test 45
3.4. Procedure of Data Colection 46
3.4.1. Procedure of Treatment 46
3.4.2. Post test 47
3.5. Control of Treatment 47
3.5.1. Internal Validity 47
3.5.2. External Validity 48
3.6. Reading comprehension Test 48
3.6.1.Students' motivation questionnaire 49
3.7. Instrument validation 50
3.7.1. Validity 51
3.7.1.1 Validity of Reading Comprehension Test 51
3.7.1.2 Validity of Motivation Questionnaire 51
3.7.2. Reliability 52
3.7.2.1 Reliability of reading test 52
3.7.2.2 Reliability of Questionnaire 52
3.8. The Technique Analyzing Data 53
3.9. Statistical Hypothesis 53
CHAPTER IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS
4.1 Description of Data 55
4.1.1 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using Think Pair Share Strategy 57
4.1.2 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using Directed Reading Thinking Activity 58
4.1.3 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation 60
4.1.4 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Motivation 61
4.1.5 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation Taught by using Think Pair Share Strategy 62
4.1.6 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Motivation Taught by using Think Pair Share Strategy 64
4.1.7 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with High Motivation Taught by using Directed Reading Thinking Activity 65

4.1.8 Students' Achievement in Reading Comprehension with Low Motivation Taught by using Directed Reading Thinking Activity 66
4.2. Requirements of Data Anlysis 68
4.2.1. Normality Test..... 68
4.2.2.Homogeneity Test 69
4.2.2.1 Groups of Reading Strategies Homogeneity 69
4.2.2.2 Groups of Students Motivation 70
4.2.2.3 Groups of Interaction 70
4.2.2.4 The result of Homogeneity varience Among Group 71
4.3. Hypothesis Testing 71
4.3.1. Students'Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using TPS Significantly Higher than Taught by using DRTA Strategies 73
4.3.2. Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement was Significant Difference with high motivation and that of Low Motivation taught by Using TPS and DRTA Strategies 74
4.3.3. The Interaction between Teaching Strategies and Students Motivation in Reading Comprehension Achievement..... 74
4.4. Discussion 77
4.4.1. Students'Achievement in Reading Comprehension Taught by using TPS Significantly Higher than Taught by using DRTA Strategies 77
4.4.2. Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement was Significant Difference with high motivation and that of Low Motivation taught by Using TPS and DRTA Strategies 80
4.4.3. The Interaction between teaching Strategies and Students Motivation in Reading Comprehension achievement..... 81
4.5. Limitation of Research..... 84
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND SUGGESTION
5.1 Conclusions 85
5.2 Implications 86
5.3 Suggestions 87
REFERENCES..... 88