NEWYSLAE LEAL ON LHE SLADE ATEN VCHIKAEPTEMEN 19 M 11 173 LHE ELLECL OS MELBORS ON TVORUS PAR STATISTIC VS

13.3

NIME

ent i de relation de relation à la sector

17467

A surgent control of the surgent of

18.1 3

BORLEN TRANS

A Thesis

THE EFFECT OF METHODS OF TEACHING AND READING ABILITY ON THE STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT

Fauziatul Halim Registration Number: 072188330010

English Applied Linguistics Study Program State University of Medan

This thesis was examined on February 4th, 2010 by the Board of Examiners

Approved by Adviser Commission

Adviser I

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd NIP. 19590713 198601 1 001

Adviser II

Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A. Ph.I NIP. 19550113 198203 1 002

The Head of English Applied Linguistics Study Progam

Prof. Dr. Buspin Garning, M. NIP. 19590713 198601 1 001

sector of the School

> Prof. Dr. Bellerik Manullang NIP. 19471015 197412 1 001

Approval

This Thesis was examined on February 4th ,2010 by Board Examiners.

Board of Examiners

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd

Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A., Ph.D

Prof. Tina Mariany Arifin, M.A., Ph.D

Dr. Eddy Setia, M.Ed., TESP

Dr. Didik Santoso, M.Pd

Approved By

nector of the adim School

Prof. Dr. Bolierik Manullang NIP. 19471015 197412 1 001 The Head of the English Applied Linguistics Study Progam

Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd NIP. 19590713 198601 1 001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer's endless gratitude is primarily expressed to Allah SWT for His forever Blessings and Mercy that has enabled her to finish writing this piece of academic work. In the process of writing this thesis, the writer has to confess that many people have given her the care, attention and bright ideas. In this connection, she would like to express her very special gratitude to Prof. Dr. Busmin Gurning, M.Pd being her First Adviser and Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A., Ph.D., being her Second Adviser, her excellent advisers, their understanding efforts in sharing their valuable time especially for comments, advice, correcting, and suggestions.

She would like to thank her whole-hearted gratitude to the reviewers and examiners, Prof. Tina Mariany Arifin, M.A., Ph.D, Dr. Eddy Setia, M.Ed., TESP, Dr. Didik Santoso, M.Pd. Prof. Dr. Lince Sihombing, M.Pd., and for their valuable inputs for completion of this thesis. She also wishes to express thanks to all lecturers who have given her the valuable knowledge and science during her study at the English Applied Linguistics Study Program of Postgraduate School, State University of Medan.

Then, she would also like to express her sincere appreciation and love to her parents, her sisters, and her brothers, especially her beloved mother, Hj. Latifah Hanum who always shows her power to give her motivation and pray never endless to finish the study. Next, she also thanks especially her beloved brother, Wahidin S.E, who has given love, support, advice, and sense of humor has helped her more than she can know. She also thanks Akmal and Bang Kusnin, who have given her spirit, motivation and encouragement in writing her thesis as soon as possible. Next, she would like to thank Kak Bety, Hilda, Andi, Happy, Azizah, Dina, Ida, Inda, Yanti, Sari, Titin, Bang Feri who have supported her. The writer also would like to say a special thank you to Siti Rohana, S.Pd. as the admistration staff who has given her full assistance.

She also thanks the headmaster of MTsN Langsa, Drs. Husaini, who permits her to conduct the research in the school; Agustina S.Pd and Husna S.Ag, as professional teachers who help her in conducting the treatment in her research. And those teachers and students of MTsN Langsa who gave supports to this study should deserve her sincere gratitude for their cooperative attitude and work during the research.

Finally, the writer must admit that the content of this thesis is still far from being perfect, she warmly welcomes any constructive ideas and critics that will improve the quality of the thesis. She also hopes this thesis would be useful for those who read it, especially majoring in English.

UN

Medan, February 6th 2010

The writer,

Fauziatul Halim Registration Number 072188330010

E

Σ

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT iii TABLE OF CONTENTS iv LIST OF TABLES .vi LIST OF FIGURES .vii LIST OF APPENDICES viii CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION . 1 Background of the Study 1.1 and the second second Identification of the Problem 1.2 1.3 The Problems of the Study 1.4 The Objective of the Study The Scope of the Study 1.5 The Significance of the Study 1.6 CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 2.1 The Students' Achievement in Writing ...6 2.2 Assessment of Writing Skill ..6 2.3 Writing7 2.4 2.5 Cooperative Learning. .14 2.5.1 2.5.2 The Principle of Cooperative Learning15 2.5.3 2.5.4 2.5.5 20 2.5.6 Strengthness and Weakness of Cooperative Learning 2.5.6.1 2.5.6.2 The Weakness of Cooperative Learning21 2.6 Contextual Teaching Learning .24 2.6.1 Definition of Contextual Teaching Learning 24

iv

Page

	2.6.2	The Principle of Contextual Teaching Learning	25
	2.6.3	Design of Contextual Teaching Learning	
	2.6.4	Procedure of Contextual Teaching Learning	
	2.6.5	Strengthness and Weakness of Contextual Teaching Learning	
	2.6.5.1	The Strengthness of Contextual Teaching Learning	
	2.6.5.2	The Weakness of Contextual Teaching Learning	
	2.7	Conceptual Framework	
	2.8	Hypotheses	
CHAPTER 3 :	RESEAL	RCH METHOD	
	3.1	Place and Time Research	
_	3.2	Population and Sample Research	
	3.3	The Method and Research Design	
	3.4	Definition of Operational Research Variables	
1	3.5	Procedures and Implementation Research	
	3.6	The Instrument of Data Collection	1.100
-	3.7	Instrument Trial Research	w
1	3.8	Technique of Data Analysis	
CHAPTER IV	: DATA	ANALYSIS	
	4.1	Description of Data Analysis	
	4.2.	Test Requirements Analysis of Variance	
	4.3	Hypothesis Testing	
	4.4	Finding Research	72
	4.5	Research Discussion	
	4.6	Research limitations	
CHAPTER V :	CONCL	USIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	79
22	5.2		α
20			~
REFERENCES			
APPENDICES			
88 REFERENCES	5.1 5.2	Conclusions	

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Page
2.1	The Structure of Narrative Text	13
2.2	The Differences between Cooperative Learning and	
	Contextual Teaching Learning	
3.1	The 2 x 2 Factorial Design of the Study	
3.2	Writing Test Items	
3.3	The difficulty index criteria	
3.4		
4.1		
	with cooperative learning	52
4.2	The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing	
4.3	The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing	
4.4	The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing	
45	The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing	
4.6		
4.0		
47		
48		
1.0		
40		
7.2		64
4 10	Test Results of normality for Student who has High Reading Ability	
7.10	and low Reading Ability	64
411	The Results of normality tests for students In each group	
4.11	(CI HRA CLIRA CTI HRA and CTI IRA)	65
4 12		
7.16		66
413		
4.15		67
4.14	Test Results Summary of Results of homogeneity score writing	67
34.17	Summary of rest results Calculation Scheree	
2		€
-		~ 1
	2.1 2.2 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10 4.11 4.12 4.13 4.14 4.15 4.16	 2.2 The Differences between Cooperative Learning and Contextual Teaching Learning 3.1 The 2 x 2 Factorial Design of the Study 3.2 Writing Test Items 3.3 The difficulty index criteria 3.4 Criteria for Determining Power or difference 4.1 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with cooperative learning 4.2 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing With contextual teaching learning 4.3 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing With contextual teaching learning 4.3 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing With high reading ability 4.4 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing With low reading ability 4.5 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with Cooperative learning which has high reading ability. 4.6 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with Cooperative learning which has low reading ability. 4.7 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with Cooperative learning which has low reading ability. 4.8 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with Contextual Teaching learning which has low reading ability. 4.8 The distribution of frequency on the students' achievement in writing with Contextual Teaching learning which has low reading ability.

NED

vi

UNI

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

R

UN

88

vii

ED

1.	The Histogram of the results on the students' achievement	
	In writing with cooperative learning method	53
2.	The Histogram of the results on the students' achievement	
	in writing with contextual teaching learning method	54
3.	The Histogram of the results Student' achievement Having	
	High reading Ability	56
4.	The Histogram of the results Student' achievement Having	
	low reading Ability	57
5.	The Histogram of the results on the Student' achievement	
	writing Cooperative learning which has high reading ability	59
6.	The Histogram of the results on the Student' achievement	
	writing Cooperative learning which has low reading ability	60
7.	The Histogram of the results on the Student' achievement writing with	1
	contextual teaching learning which has high reading ability	61
8.	The Histogram of the results on the Student' achievement writing with	2
	contextual teaching learning which has low reading ability	63
9.	Interaction Methods of Teaching and Reading Ability	11
2	on the students's achievement writing narrative text.	72

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

88

UN

Page

88

viii

ED

A	Writing Test	83
в	The Key Answer	91
С	Lesson Plan	92
D	The Analysis Test Of Narrative Text	116
E	The Result of Reading Ability	131
F	The Result of Writing Test.	133
G	The Distribution Of Frequency Research Data	142
н	Calculation Of Basic Statistics.	144
I	Normality Of The Data	168
J	Test Homogeneity Research Data	178
K	Calculation Analysis of Variance (ANAVA) Two Line	183
L	Scheffe Test	190

