5.1 Conclusions

After analyzing and drawing all the conversational maxims in ‘Polemik’ Talk Show Program on Sindo Radio, the writer draws the conclusion as follow:

1. Violating four conversational maxims found in the topic of politics, topic of economics, topic of law. They are the violation of maxim of quality, quantity, manner and relevance.

2. The reasons of using implicature due to violating the conversational maxim in three topics are different. The implicature of mocking, keeping a secret and praising did not find in the topic of economic.

a. The interviewees of topic politics used the implicature to mock, motivate, create a humour, refuse something, praise, identify, remind, excuse, suggest, keep a secret, tell the information, and critic.

b. The interviewees of topic of economics used the implicature to tell something, spicify, compare, suggest, create, suppose, propose, ask, plan, refuse, remind, choose, promote, and hope.

c. The interviewees of topic of law used the implicature to smooth the situation, tell the information, demand a fair, ask an evidence, clarify, mock, compare, express feeling, estimate, explain, praise and hide something.
5.2 **Suggestion**

In relation to the conclusions, the writer would like to propose the suggestions as follows:

1. It is suggested to next researcher who interested to reveal the violation of conversational maxims elaborate with the lexical choice to know the ideology of the speakers especially in different topics.

2. It is expected to Politicians and Practicians to pay attention the rules of cooperative principles especially the conversational maxims to make clear information. Because they share the information not only for academies but also for common society.

3. However, it is hard to avoid breaking the conversational maxims, it is suggested that speakers keep the use of language in order to avoid confrontation.