## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDMENTS	
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF APPENDICES	iix
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
CHAPTER I . INTRODUCTION  1.1 The Baground of the Study	
1.1 The Baground of the Study	1
1.2 The Problem of the Study	
1.3 The Objective of the Study	
1.4 The Scope of The Study	
1.5 The Significance of the Study	7
CHAPTER II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Theorical Framework	8
2.1.1 Students Achievemen in Writing	8
2.1.2 Writing	
2.1.2.1 The purpose of Writing	
2.1.2.2 The Process of Writing	11
2.1.2.3 The Measurement of Writing	
2.1.2.4 Genre writing	
2.1.3 Teaching Models	
2.1.3.1 Definition of Quantum Learning	
2.1.3.2 Quantum Learning Design	
2.1.3.3 The Strenghts and the Weakness of the Quantum Learning	
2.1.4 Contextual Teaching and Learning	
2.1.4.1 Definition of Contextual Teaching and Learning	
2.1.4.3 Contextual Teaching Learning Design	27
2.1.4.5 The Strenghts and the Weakness of Contextual	
Teaching Learning	
2.1.5 Habit	
2.2 Relevant Studies	
2.3 Conceptual Framework	40
2.3.1 The Differences Between The Students' Achievement	
in Writing Taught by Quantum Learning and Contextual	10
Teaching Learning	40
2.3.2 The Differences Effect of Good and Bad Writing on	
Students Achievement in Writing	42
2.3.3 The Interaction Between Teaching Models and Writing	4.4
Habit on Students' Achievement in Writing	
2.4 The Hyphotesis of the Study	45
CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Research Methode	
3.2 Population and Sample	
3.2.1 Population	
3.2.2 Sample	
3.3 Research Variables	49

	echnique of Analyzing Data	
3.5 The Ins	struments Data Collection	
3.5.1	Questionaire of Students Wriing Habit	50
3.5.2	Writing Test	
3.6 The Ins	struments of Validation	
3.6.1	Validity of the Questionnaire	
3.6.2	Validation of Writing Test	
3.6.3	Reliability of students Habits Questionaire	
3.6.4	Reliability of Writing Test	54
3.7 Proced	ure Data Collection	55
3.7.1	Preparation	55
3.7.2	Pre-Test	55
3.7.3	Control of the Treatment	56
3.7.3	Post Test	57
3.8 Statisti	cal Hyphothesis	57
CHAPTER IV RI	ESULT AND DATA ANALYSIS	
	esciption of Data	60
	nalysis	
4.2.1	Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by QL	
4.2.2	Students' Achievement in Writing Taught by CTL	
4.2.3	Students' score Good Habit in writing	
4.2.4	Students score 'bad Habit achievement in writing	
4.2.5	Students' good Habit in Writing taught by	07
4.2.3	Quantum Learning	68
4.2.6	Students' Bad Habit in Writing taught by Quantum	08
4.2.0	Learning	60
4.2.7	Students' Good Habit in writing Achievement Using	09
4.2.7	Contextual Teaching Learning	71
4.2.8		/1
4.2.8	Students' Bad Habit in writing Achievement Using	70
4.2 Dagwin	Contextual Teaching Learning.	
-	ement Testing of Data Analysis	
4.3.1	Homogeneity Testing.	/4
4.3.1.1		7.4
4212	and Contextual Teaching Learning	
	Homogeneity Testing Good and Bad Habit	
	Homogeneity Testing of Teaching Models and habit	
4.3.2	Normality Testing	
	nesis testing	
4.4.1	Hypothesis 1	
4.4.2	Hypothesis 2	
4.4.3	Hypothesis 3	
	sions	80
4.5.1	The Differences Between The Students'	
	achivement in writing taught by quantum learning	
	and contextual teaching learning	80
4.5.2	The Differences Effect of Good and Bad	
	Writing on Students Ahievemnet in writing	82
4.7.0		
4.5.3	The Interaction Between Teaching Models	

and Writing Habit on Students' writing	84
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion	86
5.2 Suggestion	86
REFERENCES	88
APPENDICES	91

