

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Conclusion

After analyzing and showing whole of flouting maxim in governor candidates of North Sumatera in election debate 2018, the researchers concluded that:

1. In this study there were found that four types flouting maxim namely : flouting maxim of quantity, flouting maxim of quality, flouting maxim of relevance and flouting maxim of manner occurred in governor candidates of North Sumatera in election debate 2018. The highest flouting maxim in governor candidates of North Sumatera in election debate 2018 was the flouting maxim of Quantity (43,1%), flouting maxim of manner that applied by governor candidates was (25,9%). flouting maxim of relevance was (17,2%). The last type that applied in governor candidates was flouting maxim of quality (13,8%).
2. The process of flouting maxim can be divided into four, as follows :
 - a. The maxim flouting of quantity happened while the speakers have to more explain, defend their opinion, give clarification and give explanation to the matters that they are discussing.
 - b. The maxim flouting of quality occurred when the speakers give contribution one that was lack adequate evidence and actually the

speakers statement used figure of speech as Metaphore, Irony and sarcasm

- c. The flouting maxim of relevance arised when the speakers replied question with irrelevantly to the matters that were being discussed.
 - d. The flouting maxim of manner take place the speakers is being unclear, wordy, and ambiguous in give their statement.
3. The reason for occurrences of the highest flouting maxim which in the data was flouting maxim of quantity, it happened because the speakers give more and less information were required. The findings shown that use of flouting maxim is increased when the governor candidates conveyed the response, statement or arguments to the hearer through implicature. Another reason candidates used flouting maxim of quantity to give more explanation for information and expect something about what they are talking about.

5.2 Suggestion

Based on the findings of the research, some suggestions can be offered:

1. It is useful for debater who want to understand the cooperative principle and flouting maxim, particularly the cooperative principle related with flouting maxim. Actually the debater should have sufficient knowledge or skill of debate to avoid floluting maxim in their response.
2. It is suggested to other researchers and the students of Applied Linguistics who are taking pragmatics to find more flouting maxim related to formal and informal debate.

3. It is expected that flouting maxim will not be a barrier in conversation since listeners understand what speakers mean by other aspect in pragmatics to get the point out, such as speech act, reference and presupposition.



THE
Character Building
UNIVERSITY