

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
ABSTRACT.....	i
ABSTRAK.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. The Background of the Study.....	1
1.2. The Problems of the Study.....	5
1.3. The Objectives of the Study.....	5
1.4. The Scope of the Study.....	5
1.5. The Significances of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	7
2.1. Theoretical Framework.....	7
2.1.1. Writing.....	7
2.1.1.1 The Nature of Writing.....	7
2.1.1.2. Writing Genres.....	9

2.1.1.3 Types of Genres.....	10
2.1.1.4 Argumentative Writing.....	12
2.1.1.5 Types of Argumentative Writing.....	15
2.1.1.6 Structure of Argumentative Writing.....	13
2.1.1.7 The Grammatical Features of Argumentative writing.....	16
2.1.1.8 The Students' Achievement in Argumentative Writing.....	17
2.1.1.9 Measuring Argumentative Writing.....	20
2.1.2. The Self Efficacy.....	21
2.1.2.1. The Source of Self Efficacy.....	21
2.1.2.2. The Effect of Self Efficacy.....	22
2.1.2.3. Self Efficacy Improvement.....	24
2.1.2.4. Self Efficacy and Achievement outcomes.....	25
2.1.2.5. Writing Self Efficacy.....	26
2.1.2.6. Measuring Writing Self Efficacy	27
2.1.3. PLEASE Strategy.....	29
2.1.4. PMI Strategy.....	31
2.1.5. The Previous Research related to self efficacy.....	33
2.2. The Conceptual Framework.....	36
2.2.1. The Effect of PMI and PLEASE on Argumentative Writing.....	36
2.2.2. The Effect of Self efficacy on Argumentative Writing.....	37
2.2.3. The Effect of Self efficacy on Argumentative Writing based on Positive Self efficacy.....	38
2.2.4. The Effect of Self efficacy on Argumentative Writing based on	

Negative Self efficacy.....	38
2.2.5. The interaction between teaching Strategies and Self Efficacy on the Students' Achievement in Argumentative Writing.....	39
2.3. Hypotheses.....	40
 CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD.....	41
3.1. Research Design.....	41
3.2. Population and Sample.....	42
3.2.1. Population.....	42
3.2.2. Sample.....	42
3.3. The Instrument for Collecting the Data.....	43
3.3.1. Writing Self Efficacy Scale.....	43
3.3.2. Writing Test.....	43
3.4. Scoring System of Argumentative Writing Test.....	44
3.5. The Procedure of the Treatment.....	45
3.6. Control of the Treatment.....	45
3.7. Validity of Instruments.....	46
3.7.1. Validity.....	46
3.7.1.1. The Validity of Questionnaire.....	46
3.7.1.2. The Validity of Writing Test.....	47
3.8. Reliability.....	47
3.8.1. The Reliability of Questionnaire.....	47
3.8.1. The Reliability of Writing Test.....	48

3.9. The Technique for Analyzing the Data.....	49
3.10. Statistical Hypotheses.....	49
CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 The Respondents Characteristics.....	51
4.2. The description of the Data.....	54
4.2.1. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing taught by PMI.....	54
4.2.2. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing taught by PLEASE....	56
4.2.3. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students Who have positive Self efficacy.....	57
4.2.4. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students Who have Negative Self efficacy.....	59
4.2.5. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students With Positive Self efficacy taught by using PMI.....	62
4.2.6. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students With Negative Self efficacy taught by using PLEASE.....	63
4.2.7. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students With Positive Self efficacy taught by using PMI.....	64
4.2.8. The Achievement in Argumentative Writing of the students With Negative Self efficacy taught by using PLEASE.....	66
4.3. The validity and reliability of writing Test.....	68
4.4. The validity and reliability of Self efficacy Outcomes.....	69
4.5. Requirements of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).....	71
4.5.1 Testing of Normality	71

4.5.2	Testing of Homogeneity.....	73
4.5.3	Testing of Hypotheses.....	74
4.6.	PMI and PLEASE strategies do not Significantly Affect students' Achievement in Argumentative Writing.....	76
4.6.1.	Students' Self efficacy Significantly Affect students' Achievement in Argumentative Writing.....	77
4.6.2.	There is no an Interaction between Instructional Strategies And Students' Self Efficacy to the Students' Achievement In Argumentative Writing.....	78
4.7.	Research Finding.....	80
4.8.	Discussssion.....	81
4.8.1.	The Effect of positive and negative Self efficacy on Studnets' Achievement in Argumentative Writing.....	82
4.8.2	The Interaction between teaching Strategies and Students' Self efficacy on students' Achievement in argumentative Writing.....	88
4.9.	The Limitation of the reaseach.....	83

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1	Conclusions.....	84
5.2	Implications.....	84
5.3	Suggestions.....	85
REFERENCES.....		86

APPENDIXES..... 90

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 1	The PMI chart template 32
Table 2	Factorial Research Design 2x2 41
Table 3	The Frequency Data of Students Based on Sex 52
Table 4	The Frequency Data of Students Based on Age 52
Table 5	The Frequency Data of Students Based on Academic Stream 53
Table 6	The Frequency Data of Students Based on Pre-test 53
Table 7	The Statistics Description of the Data 54
Table 8	Frequency table of the Students' Scores Taught by Using PMI 55
Table 9	Frequency table of the Students' Scores Taught by Using PLEASE 56
Table 10	Frequency table of the Students' Scores with Positive self efficacy 60
Table 11	Frequency table of the Students' Scores with Negative Self efficacy 62
Table 12	Frequency table of the Students' Scores with Positive self Efficacy Taught by Using PMI 65
Table 13	Frequency table of the Students' Scores with Negative Self Efficacy Taught by Using PMI 74
Table 14	Frequency table of the Students' Scores with Positive self Efficacy Taught by PLEASE 65
Table 15	Frequency Distribution of the Students' Scores with Negative self efficacy Taught by Using PLEASE 67
Table 16	The Result of Validity and Reliability of Writing Test 68
Table 17	The Summary of the result of the validity and reliability of Questionnaire 75
Table 18	The Summary of the result of the normality test 69
Table 19	Levene's test of equality of Error Variances 79
Table 20	Factorial designed 2x2 80
Table 21	Descriptive Statistics of Argumentative writing 80
Table 22	Summary of the Calculation Result of Two Way ANNOVA 81

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages.
Figure 1	55
The Students' Achievement in Writing Argumentation Taught by PMI strategy	
Figure 2	57
The Students' Achievement in Writing Argumentation Taught by Using PLEASE Strategy	
Figure 3	59
The Achievement in Writing Argumentation of The Students' With Positive Self efficacy	
Figure 4	61
The Achievement in Writing Argumentation of the Students with Negative Self efficacy	
Figure 5	63
The Achievement in Writing Argumentation of the Students' with Positive Self efficacy Taught by Using PMI	
Figure 6	64
The Achievement in Writing Argumentation of the Students' with negative Self efficacy Taught by Using PMI	
Figure 7	66
The Achievement in Writing Argumentation of the Students with Positive self Efficacy Taught by Using PLEASE	
Figure 8	67
Students Achievement in Writing Argumentation with Negative Self Efficacy Taught by Using PLEASE	
Figure 9	72
The Normal PP Plot	
Figure 10	72
The Histogram Graphic of Normality test	
Figure 11	79
The Interaction between the Instructional Strategies and Self Efficacy on Argumentative Writing achievement	

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Pages
Appendix A Writing Self efficacy scale	90
Appendix B Scoring System of the Test of Argumentative Writing	95
Appendix C The Procedure Treatment of the Two Strategies	96
Appendix D The background Information of the Students	99
Appendix E The Pre-Test Result to Choose the Sample	102
Appendix F Descriptive Statistics of the Data	104
Appendix G The Result of Computation of inter rater Reliability	105
Appendix H The Normality Test of Self Efficacy	107
Appendix I The Homogeneity of the Test	113
Appendix J The Data Tabulation of All Classes	115
Appendix K Positive and Negative Self Efficacy	119
Appendix L The Validity and reliability of writing test	123