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Abstract

Background and Objective: North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. Premna pubescens Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. Centella asiatica (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the important pathogens. To investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of P. pubescens and C. asiatica, that popularly used as folk medicines. Materials and Methods: The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. Results: The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. P. pubescens showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) Pseudomonas marginalis and (21 mm) Streptococcus mutans with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for P. pubescens whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for C. asiatica. Conclusion: The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual components of P. pubescens and C. asiatica using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmacokinetic properties.

Keywords: Premna pubescens- Blume, Centella asiatica, antimicrobial, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), Inhibition zone

INTRODUCTION

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).

North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the
various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuati et al., 2016). Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

Premna pubescens. Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasuas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, and fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Antimicrobial activity of Premna pubescens. Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al., 2016).

Centella asiatica (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan,
Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of C. asiatica was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** The whole plants of P. pubescens and C. asiatica were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for P. pubescens and 5-7 weeks for C. asiatica in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95%(v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. Asperigellus niger, Pencillium expansum, Fusarium oxysporum, Xanthomonas compestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius and Staphylococcus aureus including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by
inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain $5 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 and Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premnapubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO
Plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarius*, and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em> Blume</th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarius</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (-)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthomonas compestries</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/ml DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.
Fig. 1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume

Fig. 21b. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *C. asiatica*
The results of MICs values are lowest at 66\[U19\] and highest at 163\[U19\] mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0.155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our these extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against *A. niger* as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear are as surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin,
uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside (Bezerra et al., 2017). Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, caltropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes. A new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropepenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids, namely calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).

No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonasaeruginosa* are widespread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumbar puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food
poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extractsof *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternatives to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*-Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* against the important pathogens. To investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica*, that popularly used as folk medicines.

**Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms...
including bacteria and fungi using agardiffusion technique. Results: The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. Conclusion: The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual components of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.

**Keywords:** *Premna pubescens*, Blume, *Centella asiatica*, antimicrobial, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), inhibition zone

**INTRODUCTION**

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).

North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuti et al, 2016). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuti et al, 2016). Medicinal plants
represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

*Premna pubescens* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Antimicrobial activity of *Premna pubescens* Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al., 2016).

*Centella asiatica* (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of *C. asiatica* was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to
orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and extraction: The whole plants of *P. Pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

Test microorganisms: Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Asperigellus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonas compestries*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarious* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^5 cfu/mL.

Determination of antimicrobial activity: The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion
method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

Statistical analysis

RESULTS

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 band Table 1, methanolic extracts of P. pubescens and C. asiatica exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. Premnapubescens showed significant to moderate activity against P. Marginalis and S. mutans with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. Centellaasiatica significant against P. syringae and moderate against other pathogens F. oxysporum, L. acidophilus, S. Salivarius, salivarius and S. Aureus aureus with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Premna pubescens- Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus salivarius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas competes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

![Fig.1](image_url)

**Fig.1a.** Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume
The results of MICs values are lowest at \( \text{66 mg/ml} \) and highest at \( \text{1532 mg/ml} \) for \( P. \text{pubescens} \) whereas \( \text{0.155 mg/ml} \) for \( C. \text{asiatica} \). The variation of antimicrobial activity of our these extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with \( P. \text{pubescens} \) on \( P. \text{expansum} \) and \( C. \text{asiatica} \) on \( P. \text{aeruginosa} \) and \( S. \text{mutans} \).

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against \( A. \text{niger} \) as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune.

The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of
growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g., enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside (Bezerra et al., 2017). Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretanol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids, namely calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).
No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is widespread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life-threatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharma-co-kinetic properties.
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**Antimicrobial Profile of *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica* Extracts Against Bacteria and Fungi Pathogens**

Martina Restuati, DikySetyaDiningrat

*Corresponding author*

Background and Objective: North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens* Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. To investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica*, that popularly used as folk medicines. Materials and Methods: The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms
including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual components of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects, and pharmacokinetic properties.

**Keywords:** *Premna pubescens*, Blume, *Centella asiatica*, antimicrobial, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), inhibition zone

---

**INTRODUCTION**

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).

North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant-based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides, and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016).

Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuati et al., 2016). Medicinal plants...
represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

*Premna pubescens* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; (Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Antimicrobial activity of *Premna pubescens* Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al., 2016).

*Centella asiatica* (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of *C. asiatica* was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to
orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** The whole plants of *P. Pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) *Methanol* as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Asperigillus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonas campestris*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streplococcus salivarious* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10⁵ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion
method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 band Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premnapubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centellaasiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarius* and *S. Aureaus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

**Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts Premna pubescens. Blume and Centella asiatica**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Premna pubescens. Blume</th>
<th>Centellaasiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (+)</td>
<td>11  14  15  101  0 0 0 0</td>
<td>12  13  15  105  14 14 15  96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity; Volume per well: 50μl; Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A=100, B=300, and C=500 mg/DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.

Comment [U17]: Remove this figure as it caused repetition of the data that is represented in table 1.

Fig.1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts Premna pubescens-Blume.
The results of MICs values are lowest at 66 and highest at 1532 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0.155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of these extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against *A. niger* as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of
growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden, painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroaside (Bezerra et al., 2017). Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroaside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline-cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharinin, calotropeol, 3-epimoretanol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes. A new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids, namely calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).
No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids, and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is widespread in soil, water, and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumbar puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSIONS**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Antimicrobial Profile of *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica* Extracts Against Bacteria and Fungi Pathogens**
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Background and Objective: North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens* Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. To investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica*, that popularly used as folk medicines.

**Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual component of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.

**Keywords:** *Premna pubescens* Blume, *Centella asiatica*, antimicrobial, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), Inhibition zone

INTRODUCTION

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).
North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuati et al., 2016). Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

Premna pubescens Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buas buas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Antimicrobial activity of Premna pubescens Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al., 2016).
Centella asiatica (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luci Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of C. asiatica was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** The whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Asperigillus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonus comprestries*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarius* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.
including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10⁵ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allowed diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 and Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against
tested microbial strains as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1a, b. *Premnapubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarius salivarius* and *S. Aureus aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts of *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em> Blume</th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillum expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarius</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthomonas campestris</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl. Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ml DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration
Fig. 1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume
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The results of MICs values are lowest at 0.66 and highest at 1532 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0.155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of these extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against *A. niger* as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin,
uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and procero sine (Bezerra et al., 2017).

Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, procero sine, procerigenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazolincardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclitriterpinoids, namely calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).

No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are widespread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food
poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSIONS**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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9. Before: No mentioned of statistical analysis
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**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 and Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in Table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarius*, and *S. Aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em> Blume</th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus salivarius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas compestris</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.

Fig. 1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts Premna pubescens Blume.
After:

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum, L. acidophilus, S. salivarius* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

**Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em>. Blume</th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (-)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (0) Value indicates no activity, Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

11. Before:

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well.

After:

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well.
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**CONCLUSIONS**

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components inplant extracts of P. pubescens and C. asiatica using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.

After:

**CONCLUSION**
Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity as antibacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. This research is important performed to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens.

**Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics using SPSS software.

**Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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Antimicrobial Profile of *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica* Extracts Against Bacteria and Fungi Pathogens

Martina Restuati, DikySetyaDiningrat

* Biology Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Faculty, Medan State University, North Sumatera Indonesia.

**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens* Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. To investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica*, that popularly used as folk medicines. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual components of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmacokinetic properties.

**Keywords:** *Premna pubescens* Blume, *Centella asiatica*, antimicrobial, MIC, inhibition zone

**INTRODUCTION**
The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).

North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al, 2016). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuati et al, 2016). Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al, 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

Premna pubescens Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the
traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al, 2016). Antimicrobial activity of *Premna pubescens* Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al, 2016).

*Centella asiatica* (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of *C. asiatica* was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Plant materials and extraction: The whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were
grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. Asperigellus niger, Pencilliumexpansum, Fusariumoxysporum, Xanthomonas compestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Steptococcus salivarious and Staphylococcus aureus including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10⁵ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for
fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis**[15]

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 band Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premnapubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centellaasiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarius* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Premna pubescens Blume</th>
<th>Centellaasiatica</th>
<th>MIC[15]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarius</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (-)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>153</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>aeruginosa</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas compстиres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.

---

**Figure 1.** Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume.

---

**Character Building UNIVERSITY**
The results of MICs values are lowest at 66 mg/ml and highest at 1532 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0.155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of *C. asiatica* might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asiatica* on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against *A. niger* as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of
growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside (Bezerra et al., 2017). Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids, namely calotropurseny lacetate and calotropfriedelenyl acetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).
No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumbar puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extractsof *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens* Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. Native to China, India and Indonesia recently have had interest in herb for its possible health benefits. The aim of the study was to investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* against the important pathogens. To investigate *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica*, that popularly used as folk medicines. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. **Conclusion:** The extracts were assessed to validate the potential activity of the medicinal plants against microbes. These studies were conducted to separate the individual components of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* using column chromatography to develop alternative synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.

**Keywords:** *Premna pubescens*, Blume, *Centella asiatica*, antimicrobial, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), Inhibition zone

**INTRODUCTION**
The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries (Rios & Recio, 2005). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants out of about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known to be in use in folk and officially recognized systems of medicine in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua (Roosita et al., 2008).

North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine (Khairiah et al., 2017). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Suswardany et al., 2017). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents present in the plants (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al., 2016). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia (Roosita et al., 2008; Khairiah et al., 2017; Diningrat et al., 2015b; Restuati et al., 2016). Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents (Restuati et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2010; Koochak et al., 2010). Because of the side effects and the resistance that pathogenic microorganisms build against antibiotics, many scientists have recently paid attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species used in herbal medicines (Perwitasari et al., 2016; Manaf et al., 2017).

*Premna pubescens* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia (Leeratiwong et al., 2016). In the
traditional North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk (Khotimah & Muhtadi, 2017; (Wibowo & Harlia, 2016), and also increase appetite (Hidayat, 2015). Compounds derived from the plant have been found to have alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik (Diningrat et al., 2015a; Restuati et al, 2016). Antimicrobial activity of Premna pubescens Blume was previously screened by (Restuati et al, 2016).

Centella asiatica (Family Mackinlayaceae) common names include Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well known as “Pegagan” it is used to improve the mental ability (Dogra et al., 2016; Jayaprakash & Nagarajan, 2016). Antibacterial activity of C. asiatica was previously screened by (Lin et al., 2017). The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the important pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and extraction: The whole plants of P. Pubescens pubescens and C. asiatica were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for P. pubescens and 5-7 weeks for C. asiatica in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were
grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. Asperigellus niger, Pencillium expansum, Fusarium oxysporum, Xanthomonas compestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Steptococcus salivarius and Staphylococcus aureus including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^5 cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The crude extracts of the different plant parts of different species were subjected to antimicrobial assay using the agar well diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). About 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures mixed gently and poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The above procedure is allowed for
fungal assays, except the media potato dextrose agar instead of nutrient agar and incubates at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis**

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 and Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premnapubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarious salivarious* and *S. Aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

**Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Premna pubescens, Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
<th>MIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarious</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (-)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A=100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

Fig. 1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume
The results of MICs values are lowest at 66 mg/ml and highest at 1532 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0.155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of these extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asiatica* on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate to good activity against *A. niger* as it is a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods and dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of
growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas surrounding the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g., enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation (Santajit & Indrawattana, 2016) or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported to cause blisters, lesions, and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows (Khairiah et al., 2017). The latex is highly toxic to human eyes and produces sudden painless dimness of vision with photophobia (Micheloud et al., 2017). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol (Tan et al., 2017). The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin, and proceroside (Bezerra et al., 2017). Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupenol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin, voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate (Wen et al., 2017). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester, named calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids, namely calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarol isovalerate, mundarol isovalerate, and quercetin, 3-rutinoside (Wen et al., 2017). The principal active medicinals are asclepin and mudarin (Lam et al., 2016).
No inhibition was observed with controls, which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains, indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumbar puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions (Miyazaki et al., 2016). *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life-threatening infections (Brooks et al., 2004). *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported by (Thangavel Arumugam et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternatives to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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   Abstract
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   After:
Background and Objective: North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on plant based drugs both for use in preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) used for medicinal purposes. This research is important performed to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the important pathogens. Materials and Methods: The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics using SPSS software. Results: The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of (MICs) values are lowest at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* whereas 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. Conclusion: In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
8. **Plant materials and extraction:** The whole plants of *P. Pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus with 95% (v/v) Methanol as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% (Villalobos et al., 2016).

**After:** **Plant materials and extraction:** This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%.

9. **Before:** No mention of statistical analysis

**After:** **Statistical analysis:** All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference among means with significance level at p=0.05.

10. **RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 band Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centellaasiatica* significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarius*, *salivarius* and *S. Aureus*. *Aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em></th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
<th>MIC</th>
<th>MIC</th>
<th>MIC</th>
<th>MIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td><em>Aspergillus</em></td>
<td>A 10</td>
<td>B 11</td>
<td>C 13</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Antimicrobial Activity of Methanol Extracts of Premna pubescens Blume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum niger</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus salivarius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

![Antimicrobial Activity of Methanol Extracts](image-url)

**Fig. 1a** Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume
RESULTS

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarious* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em>. Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>101</strong></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm. Used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/DMSO ml). MIC - Minimum inhibitory concentration.

11. Before:

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts are harmless and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well.

After:

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts have been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well.

12. Before:

**CONCLUSIONS**

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of P. pubescens and C. asiatica using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternative to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.

After: **CONCLUSION**
Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity as antibacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. According to Fig. 1a, 2 band Table 1, methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains as shown in Table 1 and figure 1a, b. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. Marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* was significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. Salivarius*, *Salivarious* and *S. Aurea*. *Aurea* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

**Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Premna pubescens, Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria (+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarius</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthomonas campestris</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.

Fig. 1a. Antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume.
After:

RESULTS

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant to moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarius* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em>. Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DISCUSSION

These extracts are **harmful** and nonphytotoxic; it has been proved that extracts have inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well.

### CONCLUSIONS

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Further studies are being carried out in order to separate the individual components in plant extracts of P. pubescens and C. asiatica using column chromatography to develop biopesticides as alternatives to synthetic agents. However, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts of showed antimicrobial activity as antibacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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Significance statement

This research compared the antibacterial and antifungal effects of the ethanol extracts of *Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica*. *Centella asiatica* ethanol extract is more effective as an antifungal than *P. pubescens* where *C. asiatica* effective inhibits *Aspergillus* and *fusarium* growth but *P. pubescens* is more effective in inhibiting *penicillium* growth. *Premna pubescens* is more effective as an antibacterial than *C. asiatica*. Either Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria, Premna's efficacy as an antibacterial is much better than *C. asiatica*. As such, it help us in determining the development of bioactive compounds from *C. asiatica* as antifungal and *P. pubescens* as antibacterial.

Abstract

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile...
those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua\(^1,2\).

North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment\(^3\). Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs\(^4\). It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants\(^5,6\). Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia\(^3,6,7,8\). Medicinal plants represent a
rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance.

_Premna pubescens._ Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk and also increase appetite. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik.

Antimicrobial activity of _Premna pubescens._ Blume was previously screened.

_Centella asiatica_ (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as “Pegagan” this term is used to improve the mental ability. Antibacterial activity of _C.asiatica_ was previously screened. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesia medicinal plants _P. pubescens_ and _C. asiatica_ against the main pathogens. In this paper there sults of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human healt hand safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Plant materials and extraction: This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The
whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (Sigma-Aldrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%17,18.

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Asperigillus niger, Pencillium expansum, Fusariumoxysporum, Xanthomonas competestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Steptococcus salivarious and Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spin at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^5 cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method. 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes.. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five
uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis:** All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at p=0.05\(^{17,18}\).

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarius* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em>. Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
oxysporum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria (+)</th>
<th>Lactobacillus acidophilus</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>156</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streptococcus salivarius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthomonas compstries</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/mL) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 153 mg/mL for P. pubescens meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0.155 mg/mL for C. asatica. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with P. pubescens on P. expansum and C. asatica on P. aeruginosa as well as S. mutans.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against A. niger as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clearas around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial
strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation\textsuperscript{19} or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of \textit{P. pubescens} consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows\textsuperscript{3,11}. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. \textit{P. pubescens} flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol\textsuperscript{20}. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside\textsuperscript{15}. Chemical constituents of \textit{P. pubescens} flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazolinecardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate\textsuperscript{21}. Root bark of \textit{P. pubescens} contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside\textsuperscript{21}. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin\textsuperscript{22}. No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of \textit{P. pubescens} was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against \textit{S. aureus}. \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa are} which is widespread.
in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions. *S.aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections. *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa and S. aureus* was previously reported.

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica* extracts showed antimicrobial activity as anti-bacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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Significance statement

The significance of research compares antibacterial and antifungal compounds effect from 2 species of plant commonly believed to be traditional efficacious medicine in north Sumatra.

Abstract

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua. North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal
medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance\textsuperscript{10,13}.

*Premna pubescens.* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbusa in Indonesia\textsuperscript{13}. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk\textsuperscript{14,15} and also increase appetite\textsuperscript{15,16}. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik\textsuperscript{5,6}. Antimicrobial activity of *Premna pubescens.* Blume was previously screened\textsuperscript{6}.

*Centella asiatica* (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly known in Indonesia as “Pegagan”. This term is used to improve the mental ability\textsuperscript{6,8}. Antibacterial activity of *C. asiatica* was previously screened\textsuperscript{9}. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in
Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30% \(^{17,18}\).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Aspergillus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonas campestris*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarius* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^5 cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method. 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100,
300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

Statistical analysis: All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at p=0.05\textsuperscript{17,18}.

RESULTS

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of \textit{P. pubescens} and \textit{C. asiatica} exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. \textit{Premna pubescens} showed significant moderate activity against \textit{P. marginalis} and \textit{S. mutans} with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. \textit{Centella asiatica} is significant against \textit{P. syringae} and moderate against other pathogens \textit{F. oxysporum}, \textit{L. acidophilus}, \textit{S. salivarious} and \textit{S. aureus} with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts \textit{Premna pubescens}. Blume and \textit{Centella asiatica}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>\textit{Premna pubescens}. Blume</th>
<th>\textit{Centella asiatica}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Streptococcus salivarius</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 14 16 101 14 16 18 128</td>
<td>22 26 29 67 10 12 13 148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm. Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A=100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml). MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration.

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 153 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0,155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against *A. niger* as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear as around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.
The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside. Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate. Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl esternamed as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin.

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonasaeruginosa* which is widespread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue
green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions. S. aureus occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections. C. asiatica methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against P. aeruginosa and S. aureus was previously reported.

CONCLUSION

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts showed antimicrobial activity as anti-bacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Significance statement**

The significance of research compares anti-microbial compound from 2 species of plant commonly believed to be traditional efficacious medicine in north Sumatra. *Microbe is used as completely as representing ordinary pathogen which functions in human life and it comes from group of positive and negative Gram bacteria as well as fungus group*

**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua.

North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used
in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance\textsuperscript{12,16}.

\textit{Premna pubescens.} Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia\textsuperscript{10}. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk\textsuperscript{8,25} and also increase appetite\textsuperscript{1,2}. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik\textsuperscript{3,13}. Antimicrobial activity of \textit{Premna pubescens}. Blume was previously screened\textsuperscript{13}.

\textit{Centella asiatica}(Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as “Pegagan” this term is used to improve the mental ability\textsuperscript{5,6}. Antibacterial activity of \textit{C.asiatica} was previously screened\textsuperscript{11}. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesian medicinal plants \textit{P. pubescens} and \textit{C. asiatica} against the main pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Plant materials and extraction:} This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of \textit{P. pubescens} and \textit{C. asiatica} were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for \textit{P. pubescens} and 5-7 weeks for \textit{C. asiatica} in
Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%.

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. *Asperigellusniger, Pencilliumexpansum, Fusariumoxysporum, Xanthomonas compestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonasmarginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Steptococcus salivarius* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain $5 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with $50\mu l$ of the extract concentrations of 100,
300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis:** All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at \( p=0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premnapubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centellaasiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum, L. acidophilus, S. salivarious* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens, Blume* and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens, Blume</em></th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Streptococcus salivarious</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas marginalis</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas syringae</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xanthomonas compostries</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 153 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0,155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against *A. niger* as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of *Dracaena*, root stalk rot of *Sansevieria*, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear areas around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.
The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows \(^7,14\). Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisado122. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside\(^2\).

Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate\(^24\). Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenylacetate and calotropfriedelenylacetate, akundarolisovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside\(^24\). The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin\(^9\).

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which is widespread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue
green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions. \( S.\text{aureus} \) occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe lifethreatening infections. \( C. \text{asiatica} \) methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against \( P. \text{aeruginosa} \) and \( S. \text{aureus} \) was previously reported.

**CONCLUSION**

Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts showed antimicrobial activity as anti-bacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Significance statement**

This research identified extract activity of Premna and Centella on microbe however it is not new research, this covers previous research published by the same author, but the latest and different one is the following:

1. kinds of microbe are equated
2. Conducting comparison activity of bioactive compound between Premna and Centella on the same microbe.

Therefore, The findings can be used as reference and development of bioactive compound on pharmacy industry

It compares anti-microbial compound from 2 species of plant commonly believed to be traditional efficacious drug in north Sumatra. Microbe is used as completely as representing ordinary pathogen which functions in human life and it comes from group of positive and negative Gram bacteria as well as fungus group

**Abstract**

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens.

**Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software.

**Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed
significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua.

North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents.
attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance\textsuperscript{12,16}. 

*Premna pubescens.* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia\textsuperscript{10}. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk\textsuperscript{8,25} and also increase appetite\textsuperscript{1,2}. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik\textsuperscript{3,13}. Antimicrobial activity of *Premna pubescens.* Blume was previously screened\textsuperscript{13}.

*Centella asiatica* (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as “Pegagan” this term is used to improve the mental ability\textsuperscript{5,6}. Antibacterial activity of *C. asiatica* was previously screened\textsuperscript{11}. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesia medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* were collected from Medan State University campus plant
collections aged 5-7 years for *P. pubescens* and 5-7 weeks for *C. asiatica* in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%\(^2\).

**Test microorganisms:** Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin, *e.g.* *Asperigellus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonas compestries*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Strectococcus salivarious* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain \(5 \times 10^5\) cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method. 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of
each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis:** All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at p=0.05\(^1,23\).

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarious* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (+)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td>Steptococcus salivarious</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthomonas compestries</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|(0) Value indicates no activity, Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm, used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 153 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0,155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against A. niger as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear as around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites
modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside. Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20 (30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate. Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenyl acetate and calotropfriedelenyl acetate, akundarol isovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin.

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which is wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural
process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary
infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis,
when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters
and instruments or irrigating solutions. S. aureus occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the
skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in
the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life
threatening infections. C. asiatica methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against P.
aeruginosa and S. aureus was previously reported.

CONCLUSION
Premna pubescens and Centella asiatica extracts showed antimicrobial activity as anti-bacterial
and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future
recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side
effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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Abstract

**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries\(^{18}\). Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around
about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua. North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e, pathogenic microbe resistance.

Premna pubescens. Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk and also increase appetite. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik. Antimicrobial activity of Premna pubescens. Blume was previously screened.

Centella asiatica (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan,
Pegaga, vallaarai, Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok, and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as “Pegagan” this term is used to improve the mental ability. Antibacterial activity of C. asiatica was previously screened. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesia medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the main pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and extraction: This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of P. pubescens and C. asiatica were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for P. pubescens and 5-7 weeks for C. asiatica in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%.

Test microorganisms: Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. Asperigellus niger, Pencillium expansum, Fusarium oxysporum, Xanthomonas compestries, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Steptococcus salivarious and Staphylococcus aureus including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection...
Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain $5 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity:** The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

**Statistical analysis:** All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at $p=0.05$.

**RESULTS**

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica*
exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarious* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em>. Blume</th>
<th>Centella asiatica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus salivarious</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0) Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A =100, B=300, and C=500 mg/ DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0,155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions
were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against *A. niger* as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of *Dracaena*, root stalk rot of *Sansevieria*, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear as around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside. Chemical constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20 (30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate. Root bark of *P.
*pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenyl acetate and calotropfriedelenyl acetate, akundarol isovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin.

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which is wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumbar puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions. *S. aureus* occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life threatening infections. *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported.

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts showed antimicrobial activity as antibacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future
recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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**Background and Objective:** North Sumatera Indonesia has a rich heritage of knowledge on medicinal plants used for preventive and curative medicine. *Premna pubescens*. Blume (Buasbuas) has been used to increase the body immunity and endurance. *Centella asiatica* (Pegagan) is used for medicinal purposes. This research is important to find out the antimicrobial capabilities of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* methanol extracts. This research is expected to provide the scientific foundation for the development of plants that are traditionally believed to be efficacious drug. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera medicinal plants *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* against the main pathogens. **Materials and Methods:** The organic solvent plant extracts are tested on the various microorganisms including bacteria and fungi by using agar diffusion technique. The data were analyzed with Anova statistics by using SPSS software. **Results:** The length of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters from the edge of the well to the inhibition zone. *P. pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against (14 mm) *Pseudomonas marginalis* and (21 mm) *Streptococcus mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO plant drug concentration. The results of lowest (MICs) values are at 66 and highest ones are at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of (MICs) values are 0 to 155 mg/ml for *C. asiatica*. **Conclusion:** In general, based on the result of this research, it can be said that *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* plants can be used as antibacterial and antifungal compounds.
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INTRODUCTION
The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua.

North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents living in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance.

*Premna pubescens.* Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebuas and buasbuas in Indonesia. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk and also increase appetite. Compounds derived from
the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik\textsuperscript{3,13}. Antimicrobial activity of \textit{Premna pubescens}. Blume was previously screened\textsuperscript{13}.

\textit{Centella asiatica} (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, vallaarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as “Pegagan” this term is used to improve the mental ability\textsuperscript{5,6}. Antibacterial activity of \textit{C. asiatica} was previously screened\textsuperscript{11}. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesia medicinal plants \textit{P. pubescens} and \textit{C. asiatica} against the main pathogens. In this paper the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health and safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and extraction:** This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of \textit{P. pubescens} and \textit{C. asiatica} were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for \textit{P. pubescens} and 5-7 weeks for \textit{C. asiatica} in Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (sigma-adrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%\textsuperscript{23}.
Test microorganisms: Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin, i.e. *Asperigellus niger*, *Pencillium expansum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Xanthomonas compestries*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Pseudomonas marginalis*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Steptococcus salivarius* and *Staphylococcus aureus* including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broths and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spun at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^5 cfu/mL.

Determination of antimicrobial activity: The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method (Balouiri et al., 2016). 20 ml of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 ml of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3-cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make three to five uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50μl of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg/ml DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 minutes. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.
Statistical analysis: All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at p=0.05.

RESULTS
In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains. *Premna pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg/ml DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarious* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg/ml DMSO.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens*. Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th><strong>Premna pubescens. Blume</strong></th>
<th><strong>Centella asiatica</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium expansum</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus acidophilus</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus mutans</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus salivarious</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas marginalis</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthomonas campestris</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50μl, Borer size used: 6mm, used Plant Methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300, and C = 500 mg/DMSO ml) MIC-Minimum inhibitory concentration

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 152 mg/ml for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0,155 mg/ml for *C. asatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

**DISCUSSION**

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against A. niger as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of Dracaena, root stalk rot of Sansevieria, and boll rot of cotton; spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear as around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make
poison arrows\textsuperscript{7,14}. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. \textit{P. pubescens} flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol, and cyclisadol\textsuperscript{22}. The latex contains caoutchouc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and proceroside\textsuperscript{2}. Chemical constituents of \textit{P. pubescens} flowers are lupeol, uscharin, proceroside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20 (30)-en-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidin, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, a-lactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate\textsuperscript{24}. Root bark of \textit{P. pubescens} contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotropterpenyl ester, and two unknown pentacyclic triterpinoids named as calotropursenyl acetate and calotropfriedelenyl acetate, akundarol isovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside\textsuperscript{24}. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin\textsuperscript{9}.

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of \textit{P. pubescens} was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against \textit{S. aureus}. \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} which is wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns, giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions\textsuperscript{15}. \textit{S. aureus} occur harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial
pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life threatening infections\cite{17}. \textit{C. asiatica} methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{S. aureus} was previously reported\cite{6}.

**CONCLUSION**

\textit{Premna pubescens} and \textit{Centella asiatica} extracts showed antimicrobial activity as antibacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: it is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmaco-kinetic properties.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of medicinal plants is nearly 20,000, this data was according to a study conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on publications on pharmacopoeias and medicinal plants in 91 countries. Nearly 6-7 thousand species of medicinal plants from around about 17-18 thousand flowering plants are known as traditional medicine and officially recognized as medicine system in Indonesia, i.e., North Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and Papua.

North Sumatra is very rich in plants that are believed to have medicinal properties for either prevention or treatment. Since ancient civilization, the various parts of different plants were used to eliminate pain, control suffering and counteract disease. Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs. It has also been widely observed and accepted that the medicinal value of plants lies in the bioactive phytocomponents lying in the plants. Much work has been done on ethnomedicinal plants in North Sumatera Indonesia. Medicinal plants represent a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Scientists have recently paid more attention to extracts and biologically active compounds isolated from plant species are used in herbal medicines, because they want to avoid from the antibiotics side effect, i.e., pathogenic microbe resistance.

Premna pubescens. Blume (Family Lamiaceae) is a shrub or tree up to 7-10 m height. It is known by various names like bebas and buasus in Indonesia. In North Sumatera Indonesian traditionally medicinal system, it has been used to increase the body endurance, treat the unwell or catching a cold, help for blood coagulation and overcome for warm infection in children, help to increase breast milk and also increase appetite. Compounds derived from the plant have been found contain alkaloid, flavonoid, saponin, steroid, dan fenolik. Antimicrobial activity of Premna pubescens. Blume was previously screened.

Centella asiatica (Family Mackinlayaceae) commonly names Pegagan, Asiatic Pennywort, North Sumatera Indonesian Pennywort, Luei Gong Gen, Takip, Kohol, Antanan, Pegagan, Pegaga, Vallarai Kula kud, Bai Bua Bok and Brahmi. In North Sumatera Indonesia is well-known as "Pegagan" this term is used to improve the mental ability. Antibacterial activity of C. asiatica was previously screened. The aim of the study was to investigate in vitro antimicrobial activity of North Sumatera Indonesia medicinal plants P. pubescens and C. asiatica against the main pathogens. In this study, the results of such studies are reported in order to orient future investigations towards the finding of potent, less toxic to human health hand, safe antimicrobial agents from natural sources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and extraction: This research project was conducted from July 2016 to November 2016 in Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory of Medan State University. The whole plants of P. pubescens and C. asiatica were collected from Medan State University campus plant collections aged 5-7 years for P. pubescens and 5-7 weeks for C. asiatica in Medan, North Sumatera, Indonesia. The botanical identification of the collected materials was conducted in herbarium of Bogor botanical garden. The samples were separated and oven dried at 28°C room for 1 week. The samples were grounded into powder form using the grinder. Extraction using Soxhlet apparatus (IWAKI SOXHLET-100 IWAKI soxhlet extractor 100 ML) with 95% (v/v) Methanol PA (Sigma-Aldrich) as solvent for 12 h was performed. The resultant extraction was frozen and dried for 24°C/48 h. Yield of Methanol extracts: 30%.

Test microorganisms: Microbial strains of clinical, plant and aquatic origin i.e. Aspergillus niger, Penicillium expansum, Fusarium oxysporum, Xanthomona sp, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pseudomonas marginalis, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius and Staphylococcus aureus including both fungi and bacteria were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Biology Department Medan State University. Active cultures were generated by inoculating a loopful of culture in separate 100 mL nutrient/potato dextrose broth and incubating on a shaker at 37°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with normal saline, spin at 4000 rpm for 5 min again and diluted in normal saline to obtain 5 x 10^8 cfu mL^-1.

Determination of antimicrobial activity: The antimicrobial assay of both plant crude extracts was conducted by using the agar well-diffusion method 20 mL of nutrient agar was dispensed into sterile universal bottles. Then they are inoculated with 0.2 mL of cultures and mixed gently as well as poured into sterile petri dishes. After setting a number 3 cup borer (6 mm diameter) was properly sterilized by flaming and used to make 3-5 uniform cups/wells in each petri dish. A drop of molten nutrient agar was used to seal the base...
of each cup. The cups/wells were filled with 50 µL of the extract concentrations of 100, 300 and 500 mg mL⁻¹ DMSO and allow diffusion for 45 min. The solvents used to reconstitute the extracts were similarly analyzed. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h for bacteria. The procedure above is also allowed for fungal assays, however media used is not nutrient agar but potato dextrose agar and incubated at 25°C for 48 h. The zones of inhibition were measured with antibiotic zone scale in mm and the experiment was carried out in duplicates.

Statistical analysis: All data were statistically analyzed with SPSS software (version 16). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to study significant difference between means and significance level at p = 0.05¹⁶.⁴

RESULTS

In the study of methanolic extract exhibited different degree of growth inhibition against the tested bacterial and fungal strains. Methanolic extracts of *P. pubescens* and *C. asiatica* exhibited considerable antimicrobial activity against tested microbial strains (Table 1). *Premna pubescens* showed significant moderate activity against *P. marginalis* and *S. mutans* with 100 mg mL⁻¹ DMSO medicinal plant concentration. *Centella asiatica* is significant against *P. syringae* and moderate against other pathogens *F. oxysporum*, *L. acidophilus*, *S. salivarius* and *S. aureus* with 100 mg mL⁻¹ DMSO.

The results of lowest MICs value are at 66 and highest at 153 mg mL⁻¹ for *P. pubescens* meanwhile those of highest ones are at 0.155 mg mL⁻¹ for *C. asiatica*. The variation of antimicrobial activity of our extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances which is varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. No inhibitions were observed with *P. pubescens* on *P. expansum* and *C. asiatica* on *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. mutans*.

DISCUSSION

These extracts has proved that it has inhibitory effects on germination and on the viability of fungal spores as well. Both plant extracts showed moderate good activity against *A. niger* as a saprophyte in soil causing black mould of onion, garlic and shallot, stem root of *Dracaena*, root stalk rot of *Sansevieria* and boil rot of cotton, spoilage of cashew kernels, dates, figs, vanilla pods as well as dried prune. The effectiveness of the active compounds in plant extracts causes the production of growth inhibition zones that appear as clear as around the wells. However, plant extract was unable to exhibit antibacterial activity against tested bacterial strains. These bacterial strains may have some kinds of resistance mechanisms e.g. enzymatic inactivation, target sites modification and decrease of intracellular drug accumulation¹⁷ or the concentration of the compound used may not be sufficient.

The adverse effects of *P. pubescens* consumption are reported can cause blisters, lesions and eruptions when taken by patients for the treatment of joint pains and gastrointestinal problems. Due to its toxicity, the latex extracted from the stem has traditionally been used to make poison arrows³,¹⁰. Several phytochemicals are identified in different parts. *P. pubescens* flowers contain terpenes, multiflorenol and cyclisado¹⁸. The latex contains caulotoc, calotropin, calotoxin, calactin, uscharin, trypsin, voruscharin, uzarigenin, syriogenin and procerside¹⁴. Chemical

---

Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts *Premna pubescens* Blume and *Centella asiatica*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogens</th>
<th><em>Premna pubescens</em> Blume</th>
<th><em>Centella asiatica</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium expansum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (Gram +ive)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steptococcus salivarius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria (Gram -ive)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas marginalis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value indicates no activity. Volume per well: 50 µL, Borer size used: 6 mm used plant methanolic extract concentrations (A = 100, B = 300 and C = 500 mg/DMSO mL) MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration
constituents of *P. pubescens* flowers are lupeol, uscarin, proceroaside, proceragenin (cardenolide), syriogenin, taraxast-20(30)-en-3-((4-methyl-3-pentenoate), 3-thiazoline cardenolide, gigantin, giganteol, isogiganteol, uscharidan, uzarigenin voruscharin a, calotropeol, 3-epimoretenol, alactuceryl acetate and alactuceryl isovalerate. Root bark of *P. pubescens* contains triterpenes, a new norditerpenyl ester named as calotroperylpenyl ester and two unknown pentacyclic triterpenoids named as calotropursenyl acetate and calotropfriedelenyl acetate, akundarol isovalerate, mundarol isovalerate and quercetin, 3-rutinoside. The principal active medicines are asclepin and mudarin.

No inhibition was observed with control which proves that solvents could not act as antimicrobial agents. In almost all tests, crude methanolic extracts showed better inhibition against all the tested bacterial and fungal strains indicating that active ingredients in plant materials could be extracted into methanol. However, the highest antibacterial activity of *P. pubescens* was observed due to the presence of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids against *S. aureus*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are which is wide-spread in soil, water and sewage can be considered as an indication of their involvement in the natural process of mineralization of organic matter. It has long been a troublesome cause of secondary infections of wound, especially burns and giving rise to blue green pus. It produces meningitis, when introduced by lumber puncture and urinary tract infection when introduced by catheters and instruments or irrigating solutions. *S. aureus* occurs harmlessly as a normal flora of the skin and mucous membrane and it is one of the commonest bacterial pathogens encountered in the community causing severe food poisoning or minor skin infections to severe life threatening infections. *C. asiatica* methanol extract having strong inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* was previously reported.

**CONCLUSION**

*Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica* extracts showed antimicrobial activity as anti-bacterial and anti-fungal against tested pathogens including antibiotic resistant strains. Future recommendation: It is necessary to determine the toxicity of the active constituents, their side effects and pharmacokinetic properties.

**SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENTS**

This research compared the antibacterial and antifungal effects of the ethanol extracts of *Premna pubescens* and *Centella asiatica*. *Centella asiatica* ethanol extract is more effective as an antifungal than *P. pubescens* where *C. asiatica* effective inhibits *Aspergillus* and *fusarium* growth but *P. pubescens* is more effective in inhibiting *penicillium* growth. *Premna pubescens* is more effective as an antibacterial than *C. asiatica*. Either Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria, *Premna*’s efficacy as an antibacterial is much better than *C. asiatica*. As such, it helps us in determining the development of bioactive compounds from *C. asiatica* as antifungal and *P. pubescens* as antibacterial.
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