LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 | Guide on using metacognitive strategies in teaching comprehension | 28 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 2.2 | QAR strategy (developed by Raphael 2005) | 35 |
| 0 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | |
| | comprehension taught by using Metacognitive Strategy | 73 |
| Figure 4.2 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | |
| | comprehension taught by using Question answer relationship | - 1 |
| E' | strategy Histogram of students' achievement in reading | 74 |
| Figure 4.3 | comprehension with high motivation | 76 |
| Figure 4.4 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | 70 |
| 115uic 1.1 | comprehension with low motivation | 77 |
| Figure 4.5 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | |
| | comprehension with high motivation and taught by using | |
| | Metacognitive Strategy | 78 |
| Figure 4.6 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | |
| | comprehension with low motivation and taught by using | 00 |
| Figure 47 | Metacognitive strategy | 80 |
| Figure 4.7 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading comprehension with high motivation and taught by using | |
| | Question answer relationship strategy | 81 |
| Figure 4.8 | Histogram of students' achievement in reading | |
| C | comprehension with low motivation and taught by using | |
| | Question answer relationship strategy | 82 |
| Figure 4.9 | The Interaction between Teaching strategies and Students' | |
| | Motivation | 90 |

