5.1 Conclusions

After analyzing interpersonal metaphors in political debate of Jakarta’s governor election, conclusions are drawn as the following.

(1) Both types of Interpersonal Metaphor namely Mood Metaphor expressing command, statement as well as question and Modality Metaphor comprising probability, usuality and obligation in high, medium, low values and subjective and objective orientation are used by the debaters in delivering their ideas in the political debate. The mood metaphor is used to persuade the audience implicitly into accepting the debater’s argument, shorten the social and psychological distance between debaters and audience, induce the audience’s participation in the intended dialogue and to make other debaters’ views sound authoritative. Modality metaphor is used to highlight the firmness of their belief and to disguise the subjectivity nature of their arguments.

(2) All debaters use mood metaphor and modality metaphor which is closely to social context. The field, mode and tenor significantly affect the candidates in using mood metaphor and modality metaphor.
5.2 Suggestions

In the relation to the conclusion, suggestions are offered as the following.

(1) It is suggested that other researchers conduct detail analyses of interpersonal metaphors and any other grammatical metaphors in different phenomena to find out specifically the functions and effect they make.

(2) More researches on political discourse such as presidential acceptance speeches, political interview, etc., are needed in order to give better understanding of political discourse, especially in political debate.